

As at January 31, 2023



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CAUTION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	1
ABOUT ROYAL BANK OF CANADA	1
CAPITAL FRAMEWORK	1
LEVERAGE FRAMEWORK	2
TLAC FRAMEWORK	3
DISCLOSURE MAP	5
OVERVIEW OF KEY METRICS, RISK MANAGEMENT AND RWA	10
KM1: Key Capital and Leverage metrics (at consolidated group level)	
OVA: Bank risk management approach	11
OV1: Overview of risk weighted assets (RWA)	
LINKAGES BETWEEN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND REGULATORY EXPOSURES	
LI1: Differences between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and mapping of financial statement categories with regulatory ris categories	
LI2: Main sources of differences between regulatory exposure amounts and carrying values in financial statements	17
LIA: Explanations of differences between accounting and regulatory exposure amounts	
CAPITAL	19
CC1: Composition of Capital	19
CC2: Regulatory capital balance sheet	
CREDIT RISK	
CRA: General qualitative information about credit risk	
CR1: Credit quality of assets	
CRC: Qualitative disclosure requirements related to credit risk mitigation techniques	
CRD: Qualitative disclosures on banks' use of external credit ratings under the standardized approach for credit risk	36
CR4: Standardized approach – credit risk exposure and credit risk mitigation (CRM) effects	
CR5: Standardized approach – exposures by asset classes and risk weights	
CR6: IRB – Credit risk exposures by portfolio and PD range	43
CR7: IRB – Effect on RWA of credit derivatives used as CRM techniques	49
CR8: RWA flow statements of credit risk exposures	
COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK	
CCRA: Qualitative disclosure related to counterparty credit risk	50
CCR1: Analysis of counterparty credit risk (CCR) exposure by approach	
CCR3: Standardized approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk weights	
CCR4: IRB – CCR exposures by portfolio and PD scale	54
CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures	56
CCR7: RWA flow statements of CCR exposures under the Internal Model Method (IMM)	
SECURITIZATION	
SECA: Qualitative disclosure requirements related to securitization exposures SEC1: IRB – Securitization exposures in the banking book	
SEC2: IRB – Securitization exposures in the trading book	
SEC3: Securitization exposures in the banking book and associated regulatory capital requirements – bank acting as originator or as sponsor	r64
SEC4: Securitization exposures in the banking book and associated capital requirements – bank acting as investor	66
MARKET RISK	68
MRA: Qualitative disclosure requirements related to market risk	
MRB: Qualitative disclosures for banks using the Internal Models Approach (IMA)	
MR1: Market risk under standardized approach	
MR3: IMA values for trading portfolios	
LEVERAGE	74
LR1: Summary comparison of accounting assets vs leverage ratio exposure measure	
LR2: Leverage ratio common disclosure template	





TOTAL LOSS ABSORBING CAPACITY (TLAC) DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS	76
KM2: Key metrics – TLAC requirements (at resolution group level)	76 77
TLAC2: Material subgroup entity – creditor ranking at legal entity level (G-SIBs only)	79
OPERATIONAL RISK	83
INTEREST RATE RISK IN THE BANKING BOOK	83



Caution regarding forward-looking statements

From time to time, we make written or oral forward-looking statements within the meaning of certain securities laws, including the "safe harbour" provisions of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and any applicable Canadian securities legislation. We may make forward-looking statements in this Pillar 3 Report, our 2022 Annual Report, in other filings with Canadian regulators or the SEC, in reports to shareholders including our Q1 2023 Report to Shareholders, and in other communications. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words such as "believe", "expect", "foresee", "forecast", "anticipate", "intend", "estimate", "goal", "commit", "target", "objective", "plan" and "project" and similar expressions of future or conditional verbs such as "will", "may", "might", "should", "could" or "would". By their very nature, forward-looking statements require us to make assumptions and are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties, which give rise to the possibility that our predictions, forecasts, projections, expectations or conclusions will not prove to be accurate, that our assumptions may not be correct that our financial performance objectives, vision and strategic goals will not be achieved and that our actual results may differ materially from such predictions, forecasts, projections, expectations or conclusions. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on these statements as a number of risk factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from the expectations expressed in such forward-looking statements. Additional information about certain risk factors can be found in the Caution regarding forward-looking statements and risk sections of our 2022 Annual Report and the Risk management section of our Q1 2023 Report to Shareholders. When relying on our forwardlooking statements to make decisions with respect to us, investors and others should carefully consider these risk factors as well as other uncertainties and potential events. Except as required by law, we do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time by us or on our behalf.

About Royal Bank of Canada

Royal Bank of Canada is a global financial institution with a purpose-driven, principles-led approach to delivering leading performance. Our success comes from the 97,000+ employees who leverage their imaginations and insights to bring our vision, values and strategy to life so we can help our clients thrive and communities prosper. As Canada's biggest bank, and one of the largest in the world based on market capitalization, we have a diversified business model with a focus on innovation and providing exceptional experiences to our 17 million clients in Canada, the U.S. and 27 other countries. Learn more at rbc.com.

Effective the first quarter of 2023, we simplified our reporting structure by eliminating the Investor & Treasury Services segment and moving its former businesses to existing segments. We moved our Investor Services business to our Wealth Management segment, and our Treasury Services and Transaction Banking businesses to our Capital Markets segment. From a reporting perspective, there were no changes to our Personal & Commercial Banking and Insurance segments. Our business segments are supported by Corporate Support, which consists of Technology & Operations and Functions. Technology & Operations provides the technological and operational foundation required to effectively deliver products and services to our clients, while Functions includes our finance, human resources, risk management, internal audit and other functional groups, as well as our corporate treasury function.

Capital framework

Our consolidated regulatory capital requirements are determined by guidelines issued by the Office of the Superintendent of the Financial Institutions (OSFI), which are based on the Basel III framework adopted by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS).

The Basel III framework integrates three "Pillars" to establish a robust foundation for banking supervision and financial stability:

- Pillar 1 prescribes minimum capital requirements and addresses capital adequacy, including standards for calculating risk-weighted assets (RWA);
- Pillar 2 requires the establishment of internal assessment processes and supervisory review to evaluate
 the risk profile and capital adequacy of banks;
- Pillar 3 enhances the consistency and comparability of risk and capital profiles between banks and across jurisdictions for market participants through meaningful disclosures.

Under Basel III, banks use defined approaches to calculate their minimum regulatory capital required to support various risks and exposure types including credit risk, counterparty credit risk, market risk, operational risk, and securitizations exposures. Refer to the "Capital management" section of our 2022 Annual Report for further information on calculation approaches. Refer to the following sections in this report for further information on:

- Capital
- Credit Risk
- Counterparty Credit Risk
- Market Risk
- Operational Risk
- Securitization Exposures



Capital framework (continued)

In January 2015, the BCBS published the "Revised Pillar 3 Disclosure Requirements" (Revised Standards) to encourage market discipline through regulatory disclosure requirements. The Revised Standards require comprehensive disclosure of our risks and regulatory capital including our methodologies used in calculating capital requirements instituted under Pillar 1. Existing requirements in the areas of credit risk, counterparty credit risk and securitization activities were replaced by the Revised Standards. OSFI mandated the domestic implementation of the first phase of the Revised Standards for Canadian domestic systemically important banks (D-SIBs) for the reporting period ending October 31, 2018. This Pillar 3 report provides disclosures reflective of this first phase of the Revised Standards. In addition, this Pillar 3 report provides disclosure required under OSFI's May 2018 Capital Disclosure Requirements Guideline.

In March 2017, the BCBS issued its second phase of the Pillar 3 disclosure requirements entitled, "*Pillar 3 disclosure requirements – consolidated and enhanced framework*". The disclosure standard consolidates all existing Pillar 3 disclosure requirements of the Basel III framework, including the leverage and liquidity ratios disclosure templates.

In December 2018, the BCBS issued its third and final phase (phase three) of the Pillar 3 disclosure requirements, *Pillar 3 disclosure requirements – updated framework.* This phase incorporates revisions and additions to the Pillar 3 framework arising from the finalization of the Basel III reforms in December 2017, such as additional disclosure requirements comparing RWA as determined by banks' internal models against results based on the Standardized Approach, and new disclosure requirements on asset encumbrance and capital distribution constraints. The phase three requirements, together with the phase one and two disclosure requirements released in January 2015 and March 2017, respectively, complete the Pillar 3 framework. On March 27, 2020, OSFI announced that it would delay its implementation of the phase three BCBS Pillar 3 disclosure requirements to January 31, 2023. On March 11, 2021, OSFI released for public consultation its draft revised Pillar 3 guideline incorporating the domestic implementation of all three phases to be effective in fiscal 2023. This Pillar 3 guideline was finalized by OSFI on January 31, 2022 with an implementation date requirement of April 30, 2023. Our Pillar 3 disclosures for Q2 2023 will be updated to reflect this finalized OSFI disclosure guideline requirements. On November 11, 2021, BCBS finalized revisions to its market risk disclosures which we expect OSFI will also incorporate in due course into its disclosure requirements for market risk, the framework which is effective in Q1 2024.

Effective November 1, 2018, OSFI adopted the BCBS frameworks related to the Standardized Approach for measuring counterparty credit risk (SA-CCR), capital requirements for bank exposures to central counterparties (CCPs) and the revised securitization framework as incorporated in OSFI's Capital Adequacy Requirements (CAR) guideline.

On November 1, 2019, the impact of adoption of IFRS 16 *Leases*, and removal of allowed grandfathering and transitioning treatment for certain securitization and counterparty credit risk exposures was incorporated in our measurement of regulatory capital. In addition, we adopted, the Standardized Approach for consolidated regulatory reporting of operational risk as the use of the Advanced Measurement Approach was discontinued by OSFI. This Pillar 3 report reflects the CAR guideline requirements for all periods, including prior period comparatives.

To address the economic disruption caused by the COVID-19 pandemic in Q2 2020, OSFI announced a series of regulatory capital modifications as described in our Capital management section of our 2020 Annual report and further updated as described in the Capital Management section of our 2021 and 2022 Annual Reports and Q1 2023 Report to Shareholders. Announced changes included the reduction of the domestic stability buffer to 1% of total RWA while also providing transitional expected credit loss (ECL) modifications over 3 years and risk-weight exclusions for certain exposures. In addition, OSFI also allowed delaying for six months, from the date of deferral, the past due treatment of all loan deferrals requested by clients. On August 31, 2020, OSFI amended this deferral treatment allowing any deferrals issued on or after August 31 to September 30, 2020 to only be exempt from past due treatment for a period of 3 months. Therefore, any deferrals given to clients on or after October 1, 2020 must follow the past due treatment required under the CAR guidelines. Our reported figures reflect this guidance and further updated guidance from OSFI including the raising of the domestic stability buffer to 2.5% effective October 31, 2021, as fully described in our Capital management section of our 2022 Annual Report and as updated in the Capital management section of our Q1 2023 Report to Shareholders. As announced by OSFI on December 8, 2022, effective February 1, 2023 the domestic stability buffer requirement will further increase to 3.0% of RWA.

Since Q2 2020, our disclosure for KM1: Key Capital and Leverage metrics template includes disclosure of our capital ratios assuming transitional ECL modifications had not been applied, as required by OSFI. This transitional ECL modification is no longer applicable in fiscal 2023.

Refer to the Capital management section of our Q1 2023 Report to Shareholders for further information on upcoming regulatory developments which were announced during the guarter.

Leverage framework

On October 30, 2014, OSFI issued its "Leverage Requirements (LR)" guideline, which reflected its adoption of the BCBS "Basel III leverage ratio framework and disclosure requirement" effective the first fiscal quarter of 2015. The LR guideline requires banks to disclose their leverage ratio and its underlying components. The leverage ratio is defined as the capital measure divided by the leverage exposure measure. The capital measure is defined as Tier 1 capital and the leverage exposure measure is the sum of (a)



on-balance sheet exposures; (b) derivative exposures; (c) securities financing transaction (SFT) exposures and (d) off-balance sheet items

Unmanaged leverage can lead to unwarranted corrective measures due to excessive exposure growth or capital reduction, causing detriment to the bank's balance sheet and overall shareholders' wealth. Maintaining a prescribed minimum level of leverage helps neutralize leverage risk in the event of unexpected economic crises. OSFI requires maintenance of a minimum leverage ratio of 3% at all times.

On October 30, 2018, OSFI published its updated LR guideline, effective November 1, 2018. The revisions aligned the LR guideline with OSFI's adoption of the BCBS "Standardized approach for measuring counterparty credit risk exposures" and Revisions to the securitization framework". On November 1, 2019, the impact of adoption of IFRS 16 Leases, was incorporated into our leverage ratio.

In addition to the pre-existing 3% leverage ratio minimum requirement, the BCBS introduced an additional leverage ratio buffer requirement for global systemically-important banks (G-SIB) as part of their Basel III reforms "Basel III: Finalizing post-crisis reforms (December 2017)". The G-SIB leverage ratio must be met with Tier 1 capital and is set at 50% of a G-SIB's higher-loss absorbency risk-weighted requirement. On July 16, 2018 OSFI announced that all Canadian D-SIBs will be held to the 3.5% minimum leverage requirements including RBC which has been designated a G-SIB. This minimum leverage requirement has now been incorporated into the revised LR guideline effective Q2 2023 released by OSFI on January 31, 2022.

On November 20, 2018, OSFI updated its Leverage disclosure guidelines, which are based on BCBS phase two disclosure requirements, to reflect the revisions to the LR and CAR guidelines mentioned above. Refer to our Leverage disclosures included in this report, as required by OSFI.

To address the economic disruption caused by the COVID-19 pandemic in Q2 2020, OSFI announced two leverage ratio modifications. The first leverage ratio modification required the exclusion of central bank reserves and sovereign-issued securities that qualify as high quality liquid assets from our total leverage exposure amount for a period of one year ending April 30, 2021. The second modification required the exclusion of loans issued under the US Government Payment Protection Program (PPP) from our total leverage exposure amount. On November 5, 2020, OSFI extended the allowed exclusion of central bank reserves and sovereign-issued securities another eight months to December 31, 2021. On August 12, 2021 OSFI announced that sovereign-issued securities would no longer be eligible for exclusion effective January 1, 2022 but that central bank reserves would continue to eligible for exclusion until further notice. On September 13, 2022, OSFI announced that central bank reserves would no longer be eligible for exclusion starting April 1, 2023. Our reported leverage figures reflect this guidance as fully described in our Capital management section of our Q1 2023 Report to Shareholders.

Since Q2 2020, our disclosure of LR2: Leverage ratio common disclosure template includes disclosure of our leverage ratio assuming transitional ECL modification had not been applied, as required by OSFI. This transitional ECL modification is no longer applicable in fiscal 2023.

TLAC framework

The Canadian Bail-in regime, including OSFI's Total Loss Absorbing Capacity (TLAC) guideline, came into effect on September 23, 2018. The purpose of the TLAC requirement is to address the sufficiency of a Canadian D-SIB's loss absorbing capacity in supporting its recapitalization in the event of its failure. TLAC is defined as the aggregate of Tier 1 capital, Tier 2 capital, and other TLAC instruments (senior bail-in debt), which includes senior unsecured debt with an original term to maturity of greater than 400 days and remaining term to maturity of greater than 365 days. Under the Bail-in regime, claims of some creditors whose claims otherwise rank equally with those of the holders holding bail-inable notes would be excluded from a bail-in conversion and thus the holders and beneficial owners of bail-inable notes will have to absorb losses ahead of these other creditors as a result of the bail-in conversion.

TLAC requirements establish two minimum standards; which were required to be met effective November 1, 2021: the risk-based TLAC ratio, which builds on the risk-based capital ratios described in the CAR guideline, and the TLAC leverage ratio, which builds on the leverage ratio described in OSFI's LR guideline. The risk-based TLAC ratio is defined as TLAC divided by Total risk-weighted assets (RWA) while the TLAC leverage ratio is defined as TLAC divided by the Leverage ratio exposure. OSFI requires systemically important banks to maintain a minimum TLAC ratio of 24% (inclusive of the domestic stability buffer (DSB) of 2.5%) and a TLAC leverage ratio of 6.75%. On December 8, 2022, OSFI announced the DSB would further increase to 3% of RWA effective February 1 2023. Thus our TLAC ratio minimum requirements subsequent to Q1 2023 will be 24.5%. Our TLAC leverage ratio minimum requirement subsequent to Q1 2023 will be 7.25% reflecting the incorporation of the 50 bps leverage buffer discussed in the Leverage Framework section above.

We began issuing TLAC eligible debt in Q4 2018 and our TLAC ratio is expected to increase through normal course refinancing of maturing debt. More details on our TLAC issuance is available in our Capital management section of our Q1 2023 Report to Shareholders.

In May 2018, OSFI published its TLAC Disclosure guideline for Canadian D-SIBs. The disclosure requirements reflect the BCBS TLAC disclosure requirements as outlined in the BCBS March 2017 phase two requirements mentioned above. Refer to our TLAC disclosures included in this report, as required by OSFI.



To reflect the transitional ECL modification provided by OSFI, as discussed in the Capital framework and Leverage framework sections above, since Q2 2020, our disclosure of KM2: Key metrics – TLAC requirements includes both our TLAC ratio and TLAC leverage ratio assuming the transitional ECL modification had not been applied, as required by OSFI. This transitional ECL modification is no longer applicable in fiscal 2023.



DISCLOSURE MAP

ar 3 Requirement		Pillar 3 Requirement	2022 Annual Report section	Sub-section	2022 Annu Report Reference
	KM1				
			Top and emerging risks Top and emerging risks		58-60
			Risk management overview	Risk management principles	60
			Thor management everyien	Risk drivers	60-61
		a) Business model and risk profile		Risk governance	61-62
			Enterprise riek management	Risk appetite	63
			Enterprise risk management	Risk measurement	63-64
				Risk control	64-65
				Risk governance	61-62
		b) Risk governance structure	Enterprise risk management	Risk control	64-65
		c) Communication and enforcement of risk culture within the bank	Enterprise risk management	Culture and conduct risk	96
		d) Scope and main features of risk measurement systems	Enterprise risk management	Risk measurement	63-64
		e) Risk information reporting	Enterprise risk management	Risk control - Risk monitoring and reporting	65
			Enterprise risk management	Risk measurement – Stress testing	64
		f) Stress testing	Market risk	Stress tests	76
			Systemic risk	Systemic risk	99-100
				Risk appetite	63
			Enterprise risk management	Risk measurement	63-64
				Risk control	64-65
				Overview	66
				Credit risk measurement	66-67
			Credit risk	Credit risk assessment	67-69
					69
				Credit risk mitigation	
				Credit risk approval	70
				Credit risk administration	70
			Market risk	Market risk controls – FVTPL positions	76
verview of key				Stress tests	76
metrics, risk anagement and				Market risk controls – Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book (IRRBB) positions	78
RWA				IRRBB measurement	78
				Non-trading foreign exchange rate risk	79
				Overview	81
				Risk control	82
				Risk measurement	82
			Liquidity and funding risk	Funding	84-86
				Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR)	88-89
		g) Strategies and processes applied to manage, hedge and		Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR)	89-91
		mitigate risks	Insurance risk	Insurance risk	94
			modiance non		94
			Operational risk	Operational risk framework	94-96
			Pogulatory compliant	Operational risk framework	
			Regulatory compliance risk	Regulatory compliance risk	96-97
			Strategic risk	Strategic risk	97
			Reputation risk Legal and regulatory	Reputation risk Legal and regulatory environment risk	97-98 98-99
			environment risk	0 0 ,	
			Competitive risk	Competitive risk	99
			Systemic risk	Systemic risk	99-100
			Environmental and social risk	Environmental and social risk Note 9 – Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities - Derivatives issued for trading purposes	101-104 188
			Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 9 – Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities - Derivatives issued for other-than-trading purposes	188
				Note 9 – Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities - Derivative-related credit risk	190-191



Pillar 3 Requirement		Pillar 3 Requirement	2022 Annual Report section	Sub-section	2022 Annu Report Reference
Linkages between	LI1				
financial statements	LI2				
and regulatory					
exposures	LIA				
	CC1				
Composition of	CC2				
Capital	CCA ¹	Main features of regulatory capital instruments and of other TLAC-eligible instruments			
Macroprudential upervisory measures	GSIB 1 ²	Disclosure of G-SIB indicators			
		a) Translation of the business		Overview	66
		model into the components of the	Credit risk	Measurement of economic and regulatory	67
		bank's credit risk profile		capital - Gross credit risk exposure	
				Risk governance	61-62
			Enterprise risk management	Risk appetite Risk measurement	63 63-64
		b) Criteria and approach used for	Enterprise risk management	Risk measurement Risk control - Delegated risk approval	
		defining credit risk management		authorities and risk limits	65
		policy and for setting credit risk		Overview Overview	66
		limits		Credit risk assessment	67-69
			Credit risk	Credit risk mitigation	69
	CRA			Credit risk approval	70
	CIVA	c) Structure and organization of		Risk governance	61-62
		the credit risk management and	Enterprise risk management		
		control function d) Interaction between the credit risk management, risk control,	Enterprise risk management	Risk control Risk governance	64-65
		compliance and internal audit functions e) Scope and content of the reporting on credit risk exposure	Enterprise risk management	Risk governance	61-62
	CR1	to the executive management and to the board of directors	Emerprise risk management	Risk control - Risk monitoring and reporting	65
	CR2 ³				
Credit risk	SILE	a) The scope and definitions of "past due" and "impaired" exposures used for accounting purposes and the differences, if any, between the definition of past	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 2 – Summary of significant accounting policies, estimates and judgments - Allowance for credit losses - Definition of default Credit impaired financial assets (Stage 3)	149
		due and default for accounting and regulatory purposes		Note 5 – Loans and allowance for credit losses - Loans past due but not impaired	182
		b) The extent of past-due exposures (more than 90 days) that are not considered to be impaired and the reasons for this	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 5 – Loans and allowance for credit losses - Loans past due but not impaired	182
	CRB	c) Description of methods used for determining accounting provisions for credit losses	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 2 – Summary of significant accounting policies, estimates and judgments - Allowance for credit losses	147
		Description of the categorization of ECL accounting provisions (general and specific) for standardized approach exposures	n/a	n/a – For regulatory calculations under both the Standardized and IRB approaches, the IFRS 9 stage 3 allowances are considered to be specific allowances and the IFRS 9 stage 1 and stage 2 allowances are considered to be general allowances	
		d) The bank's own definition of a restructured exposure	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 2 – Summary of significant accounting policies, estimates and judgments - Allowance for credit losses - Modifications	149-150

¹ CCA is available at https://www.rbc.com/investor-relations/regulatory-information.html.

²G-SIB1 is provided on page 42 of our Q1 2023 Report to Shareholders available at https://www.rbc.com/investor-relations/regulatory-information.html.

³ Requirement for disclosure of this table is only semi-annual.



Pillar 3 Requirement		Pillar 3 Requirement	2022 Annual Report section	Sub-section	2022 Annual Report Reference
	a) Core features of policies a		Credit risk	Credit risk assessment – Counterparty credit risk	68
Credit risk (continued)		processes for, and an indication of the extent to which the bank makes use of, on– and off– balance sheet netting	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 9 – Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities - Derivative-related credit risk Note 30 – Offsetting financial assets and	190-191
	CRC	b) Core features of policies and processes for collateral evaluation and management	Credit risk	financial liabilities Credit risk mitigation - Collateral	225-226 69
		c) Information about market or	Cradit rials	Credit risk mitigation	69
		credit risk concentrations under	Credit risk	Credit risk approval - Credit risk limits	70
0		the credit risk mitigation instruments used	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 9 – Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities	187-196
	CR3 ³		Statements	and nedging activities	
	CRD				
	CR4				
	CR5				
	CRE				
	CR6				
	CR7				
	CR8				
	CR9 ⁴				
	CR10		n/a	n/a	n/a
	CCRA	a) Risk management objectives	Credit risk	Credit risk assessment – Counterparty credit risk	68
		and policies related to counterparty credit risk	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 9 – Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities - Derivative-related credit risk	190-191
		b) The method used to assign the operating limits defined in terms of internal capital for counterparty credit exposures and for CCP exposures	Credit risk	Credit risk assessment – Counterparty credit risk	68
		c) Policies relating to guarantees	Credit risk	Credit risk assessment – Counterparty credit risk	68
		and other risk mitigants and assessments concerning counterparty credit risk, including	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 9 – Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities - Derivative-related credit risk	190-191
Counterparty availt		exposures towards CCPs	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 30 – Offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities	225-226
Counterparty credit risk		d) Policies with respect to wrong- way risk exposures	Credit risk	Credit risk assessment – Wrong-way risk	69
		e) The impact in terms of the amount of collateral that the bank would be required to provide given a credit rating downgrade	Liquidity and funding risk	Credit ratings	87
	CCR1				
	CCR2				
	CCR3				
	CCR4				
	CCR5 ³				
	CCR6				
		T. Control of the Con	·	I	
	CCR7	f) Exposures to central	n/a	n/a	n/a

³ Requirement for disclosure of this table is only semi-annual.

⁴ Requirement for disclosure of this table is only annual.



Pillar 3 Requirement		Pillar 3 Requirement	2022 Annual Report section	Sub-section	2022 Annual Report Reference
			Off-balance sheet arrangements	Off-balance sheet arrangements	56-58
		a) Objectives in relation to securitization activities	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 7 – Derecognition of financial assets	182-183
			Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 8 – Structured entities	183-187
		b) List of SPEs where RBC is sponsor / provides implicit support	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 8 – Structured entities	183-187
			Consolidated Financial	Note 2 – Summary of significant accounting policies, estimates and judgments – Basis of consolidation	143-144
	SECA	c) Accounting policies for securitization	Statements	Note 2 – Summary of significant accounting policies, estimates and judgments – Derecognition of financial assets	150
			Critical accounting policies and estimates	Consolidation of structured entities	116
Securitization		d) the names of external credit assessment institution (ECAIs) used for securitizations and the types of securitization exposure for which each agency is used	Capital management (also refer to CRD in this document)	Regulatory capital approach for securitization exposures	114
		e) Use of Basel IAA for capital	Credit risk	n/a	66-75
		purposes	Capital management	Regulatory capital approach for securitization exposures	114
		f) Use of other internal assessment for capital purposes	Credit risk	Credit risk assessment	67-69
	SEC1	Securitization exposures in the banking book			
	SEC2	Securitization activities in the			
	SEC3	trading book Securitization exposures in the banking book and associated regulatory capital requirements - bank acting as originator or as sponsor			
	SEC4	Securitization exposures in the banking book and associated capital requirements - bank acting as investor			
				Market risk controls – FVTPL positions	76
				Stress tests	76
				Market risk measures – FVTPL positions Market risk measures for assets and	77-78
		a) Processes implemented to identify, measure, monitor and	Market risk	liabilities of RBC Insurance Market risk controls – Interest Rate Risk in	78
		control the bank's market risks		the Banking Book (IRRBB) positions	78
				IRRBB measurement	78
				Market risk measures – IRRBB Sensitivities Market risk measures for other material	78-79
Market risk	MRA			non-trading portfolios	79
Walketijsk	WIIVA	Policies for hedging risk and strategies/processes for monitoring the continuing effectiveness of hedges	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 2 – Summary of significant accounting policies, estimates and judgements – Hedge accounting	151-152
				Risk governance	61-62
		b) Description of the market risk		Risk appetite	63
		governance structure established	Enterprise risk management	Risk measurement	63-64
		to implement the strategies and processes of the bank	Littorphise hisk management	Risk control	64-65
		processes of the balls		Risk measurement – Stress testing	64
				Culture and conduct risk	96



Pillar 3 Requirement	Pillar 3 Requirement		2022 Annual Report section	Sub-section	2022 Annual Report Reference
		Description of the relationships and the communication mechanisms between the different	Enterprise risk management	Risk governance	61-62
		parties involved in market risk management	g	Risk control	64-65
				Risk measurement	63-64
			Enterprise risk management	Risk control	64-65
				Risk measurement – Stress testing	64
				Market risk controls – FVTPL positions	76
	MRA			Stress tests	76
	(continued)			Market risk measures – FVTPL positions	77-78
		c) Scope and nature of risk reporting and/or measurement systems		Market risk measures for assets and liabilities of RBC Insurance	78
Market risk			Market risk	Market risk controls – Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book (IRRBB) positions	78
(continued)				IRRBB measurement	78
				Market risk measures – IRRBB Sensitivities	78-79
				Market risk measures for other material non-trading portfolios	79
	MRB	c) General description of the models (VaR/stressed VaR)	Market risk	Market risk controls – FVTPL positions	76
		g) Description of stress testing applied to the modelling parameters	Market risk	Stress tests	76
	MR1				
	MR2				
	MR3				
	MR43				
1	LR1				
Leverage	LR2				
	KM2				
Total loss absorbing	TLAC1				
capacity	TLAC2				
	TLAC3				
		a) Details of the approach for operational risk capital assessment for which the bank qualifies	Operational risk	Operational risk capital	96
Operational R	isk	b) Description of the advanced measurement approaches for operational risk (AMA) ⁵	n/a	n/a	n/a
		c) For banks using the AMA, a description of the use of insurance for the purpose of mitigating operational risk ⁵	n/a	n/a	n/a
Interest rate risk	in the banki	ng book	Market risk	Market risk	76-81

³ Requirement for disclosure of this table is only semi-annual.

⁵ Effective November 1, 2019, OSFI discontinued the AMA approach.



OVERVIEW OF KEY METRICS, RISK MANAGEMENT AND RWA

KM1: Key Capital and Leverage metrics (at consolidated group level)

		а	b	С	d
		January 31	October 31	January 31	Q o Q Change
	(Millions of Canadian dollars) ¹	2023	2022	2022	(a-b)
	Available capital (amounts)				
1	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1)	78,055	76,945	77,080	1,110
1a	Common Equity Tier 1 with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied	78,055	76,774	76,885	1,281
2	Tier 1	85,357	84,242	84,493	1,115
2a	Tier 1 with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied	85,357	84,071	84,298	1,286
3	Total capital	96,438	93,850	94,502	2,588
3a	Total capital with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied	96,438	93,850	94,502	2,588
	Risk-weighted assets (amounts)				
4	Total risk-weighted assets (RWA)	614,250	609,879	569,285	4,371
	Risk-based capital ratios as a percentage of RWA				
5	Common Equity Tier 1 ratio	12.7%	12.6%	13.5%	0.1%
5a	Common Equity Tier 1 ratio with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied	12.7%	12.6%	13.5%	0.1%
6	Tier 1 ratio	13.9%	13.8%	14.8%	0.1%
6a	Tier 1 ratio with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied	13.9%	13.8%	14.8%	0.1%
7	Total capital ratio	15.7%	15.4%	16.6%	0.3%
7a	Total capital ratio with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied	15.7%	15.4%	16.6%	0.3%
	Additional CET1 buffer requirements as a percentage of RWA				
8	Capital conservation buffer requirement	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	-
9	Countercyclical buffer requirement ²	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-
10	Bank G-SIB and/or D-SIB additional requirements	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	-
11	Total of bank CET1 specific buffer requirements (row 8 + row 9 + row 10)	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	-
12	CET1 available after meeting the bank's minimum capital requirements (row 5 - 8%) ³	4.7%	4.6%	5.5%	0.1%
	Basel III leverage ratio				
13	Total Basel III leverage ratio exposure measure	1,921,310	1,898,179	1,760,629	23,131
14	Basel III leverage ratio (row 2 / row 13)	4.4%	4.4%	4.8%	-
14a	Basel III leverage ratio (row 2a / row 13) with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied	4.4%	4.4%	4.8%	-

¹No ECL modification is allowed in fiscal 2023. For fiscal 2022 quarters the table incorporates the impact of expected credit loss (ECL) accounting on regulatory capital including transitional ECL provisioning modification granted by OSFI on Mar. 27, 2020. This ECL provisioning modification allowed reduced from a 75% after-tax exclusion rate for growth in Stage 1 and Stage 2 allowances in 2020 to a 50% after-tax exclusion rate in 2021 and a 25% after-tax exclusion rate in 2022.

Our CET1 ratio was 12.7%, up 10 bps from last quarter, mainly reflecting net internal capital generation and a favourable impact from fair value OCI adjustments, partially offset by the impact of the Canada Recovery Dividend and other tax related adjustments and RWA growth (excluding FX). Refer to the Financial performance section of our Q1 2023 Report to Shareholders.

Our Tier 1 capital ratio of 13.9% was up 10 bps, reflecting the factors noted above under the CET1 ratio.

Our Total capital ratio of 15.7% was up 30 bps, reflecting the factors noted above under the Tier 1 capital ratio and a favourable impact from the issuance of subordinated debentures.

RWA increased by \$4 billion, mainly reflecting business growth in wholesale lending, including loan underwriting commitments, securities and due from banks, as well as retail lending. These factors were partially offset by lower trading exposures, and the impact of foreign exchange translation on RWA is largely mitigated with economic hedges in our CET1 ratio.

Our Leverage ratio of 4.4% was flat from last quarter, as net internal capital generation was offset by growth in leverage exposure and the impact of the Canada Recovery Dividend and other tax related adjustments.

Leverage exposures increased by \$23 billion, mainly driven by business growth in repo-style transactions, undrawn commitments, wholesale and retail loans, as well as securities. These factors were partially offset by the higher regulatory modification for central bank reserves and the impact of foreign exchange translation.

² Bank specific countercyclical buffer requirement for Q1 2023 was not material, the amount which is determined based on our private sector exposures in jurisdictions identified by BCBS.

³ 8% reflects minimum capital requirements which includes D-SIB/G-SIB surcharge, and excludes the OSFI Domestic Stability Buffer of 2.5% effective October 2021 (3% effective February 2023). Refer to the Capital management section of our Q1 2023 Report to Shareholders.



OVA: Bank risk management approach

The table below presents an overview of Pillar 3 disclosure requirements that have been met within our 2022 Annual Report and incorporated by reference into this Pillar 3 report. Our 2022 Annual Report is available free of charge on our website at http://www.rbc.com/investorrelations

	Pillar 3 disclosures requirement	RBC 2022 Annual Report section	Sub-section			
		Top and emerging risks	Top and emerging risks			
		Diela management Overview	Risk management principles			
		Risk management Overview	Risk drivers			
a)	Business model and risk profile		Risk governance			
		Entermise viels mean remark	Risk appetite			
		Enterprise risk management	Risk measurement			
			Risk control			
L\	Diele mayor and a structure	Entermise viels management	Risk governance			
b)	Risk governance structure	Enterprise risk management	Risk control			
c)	Communication and enforcement of risk culture within the bank	Operational risk	Culture and conduct risk			
d)	Scope and main features of risk measurement systems	Enterprise risk management	Risk measurement			
e)	Risk information reporting	Enterprise risk management	Risk control - Risk monitoring and reporting			
		Enterprise risk management	Risk measurement - Stress testing			
f)	Stress testing	Market risk	Stress tests			
		Systemic risk	Systemic risk			
			Risk appetite			
		Enterprise risk management	Risk measurement			
			Risk control			
			Overview			
			Credit risk measurement			
		Credit risk	Credit risk assessment			
		Credit risk	Credit risk mitigation			
			Credit risk approval			
			Credit risk administration			
	Otrotopic and management at the		Market risk controls - FVTPL positions			
g)	Strategies and processes applied to manage, hedge and mitigate risks		Stress tests			
		Market risk	Market risk controls - Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book (IRRBB) positions			
			IRRBB measurement			
			Non-trading foreign exchange rate risk			
			Overview			
			Risk control			
		Limited and Granding wints	Risk measurement			
		Liquidity and funding risk	Funding			
			Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR)			
			Net Stable Funding Ratio (NSFR)			



OVA: Bank risk management approach (continued)

	Pillar 3 disclosures requirement	RBC 2022 Annual Report section	Sub-section
		Insurance risk	Insurance risk
		Operational risk	Overview
		Operational risk	Operational risk framework
		Regulatory compliance risk	Regulatory compliance risk
		Strategic risk	Strategic risk
		Reputation risk	Reputation risk
		Legal and regulatory environment risk	Legal and regulatory environment risk
١.	Strategies and processes applied to	Competitive risk	Competitive risk
(g)	manage, hedge and mitigate risks (continued)	Systemic risk	Systemic risk
	(continued)	Environmental and social risk (including climate change)	Environmental and social risk (including climate change)
			Note 9 - Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities - Derivatives issued for trading purposes
		Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 9 - Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities - Derivatives issued for other-than-trading purposes
			Note 9 - Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities - Derivative-related credit risk



OV1: Overview of risk weighted assets (RWA)

The following table presents an overview of our RWA and the related minimum capital requirements by risk type.

		а	b	С	d	е
		RWA			Minimum capital requirement ¹	RWA
		January 31	October 31	January 31	January 31	Change
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	2023	2022	2022	2023	(a-b)
1	Credit risk (excluding counterparty credit risk)	413,636	406,601	366,916	33,091	7,035
2	Of which Standardized approach (SA)	121,940	121,839	103,614	9,755	101
3	Of which Internal rating-based (IRB) approach	291,696	284,762	263,302	23,336	6,934
4	Counterparty credit risk (CCR)	45,136	50,613	48,553	3,611	(5,477)
4a	Of which other CCR	9,769	9,182	9,410	781	587
4b	Credit valuation adjustment (CVA)	13,469	15,682	15,854	1,078	(2,213)
5	Of which Standardised approach for counterparty credit risk (SA-CCR) ²	21,898	25,749	23,289	1,752	(3,851)
6	Of which Internal model method (IMM)	-	-	-	-	-
7	Equity positions in banking book under market-based approach	2,790	2,561	2,890	223	229
8	Equity investments in funds – look-through approach	-	-	-	-	-
9	Equity investments in funds – mandate-based approach	3,811	3,419	3,099	305	392
10	Equity investments in funds – fall-back approach	48	43	35	4	5
11	Settlement risk	3,503	978	1,765	280	2,525
12	Securitisation exposures in banking book	13,209	12,543	10,724	1,057	666
13	Of which IRB ratings-based approach (SEC-IRBA)	-	-	-	-	-
14	Of which External ratings-based approach (SEC-ERBA)	10,109	9,572	8,317	809	537
15	Of which Standardized approach (SEC-SA)	3,100	2,971	2,407	248	129
16	Market risk	32,635	35,342	41,812	2,610	(2,707)
17	Of which Standardized approach (SA)	16,149	17,253	15,392	1,292	(1,104)
18	Of which Internal model approaches (IMA)	16,486	18,089	26,420	1,318	(1,603)
19	Operational risk	78,808	77,639	74,776	6,305	1,169
20	Of which Basic Indicator Approach	-	-	-	-	-
21	Of which Standardized Approach	78,808	77,639	74,776	6,305	1,169
22	Of which Advanced Measurement Approach ³ (AMA)	-	-	-	-	-
23	Amounts below the thresholds for deduction (subject to 250% risk weight)	20,674	20,140	18,715	1,654	534
24	Floor adjustment	-	-	-	-	-
25	Total (1+4+7+8+9+10+11+12+16+19+23+24)	614,250	609,879	569,285	49,140	4,371

¹The minimum capital requirements for each category can be calculated by multiplying the total RWA by 8% as per OSFI CAR guidelines.

Total RWA increased by \$4.4 billion or 0.7%, driven by the following:

Credit risk

RWA increased by \$7.0 billion, mainly reflecting business growth in wholesale lending, including underwriting, securities and due from banks, and retail lending, partially offset by the impact of foreign exchange translation. The impact of foreign exchange translation on RWA is largely mitigated with economic hedges in our CET1 ratio.

Counterparty credit risk

RWA decreased by \$5.5 billion, mainly due to client driven activity in our derivatives business.

Securitization exposures in banking book

RWA increased by \$0.7 billion, mainly driven by client activity.

Market risk

RWA decreased \$2.7 billion, mainly driven by lower fixed income inventories and reduced commodity prices.

Operational risk

RWA increased \$1.2 billion, mainly driven by average revenue growth.

² Includes RWA associated with CCP exposures, which EAD is calculated based on SA-CCR.

³ Effective November 1, 2019, OSFI discontinued the AMA approach.



RWA: Risk-Weighted Assets by Regulatory Approach

The following table provides details of our risk-weighted assets by type of risk and regulatory approach.

	Q1/2023						Q1/2023				
TOTAL CAPITAL RISK-WEIGHTED ASSETS 1		Risk-weighted assets All-in Basis				Capital Risk-weigh requirements		-weighted as	ghted assets All-in Basis		
(Millions of Canadian dollars, except percentage and per share amounts)	Exposure 2	Average of risk weights 3	Standardized approach	IRB approach	Other	Total ⁴	Total ⁴	Q4/2022 Total ⁴	Q3/2022 Total ⁴	Q2/2022 Total ⁴	Q1/2022 Total ⁴
Credit risk 5	LAPOGUIO	Worginto	ирргодол	иррі одон	- Cuiloi	i otai	10141	- Otal	10141	Total	. ota:
Lending-related and other											
Residential mortgages ¹²	504.173	8%	13,452	28.039	_	41.491	3,319	41.662	40,392	38.860	36.803
Other retail (Personal, Credit cards and Small business treated as retail) ¹²	248,710	26%	8,156	57,579	_	65,735	5,259	65,506	64,404	63,622	64,343
Business (Corporate, Commercial, Medium-sized enterprises and Non-bank financial institutions)	487,117	50%	72,893	171,727	-	244,620	19,570	238,823	227,105	221,001	210,091
Sovereign (Government)	359,795	5%	2,867	13,622	-	16,489	1,319	15,910	15,393	14,542	14,758
Bank	31,790	18%	1,348	4,218	-	5,566	445	5,483	5,644	5,868	5,112
Total lending-related and other	1,631,585	23%	98,716	275,185	-	373,901	29,912	367,384	352,938	343,893	331,107
Trading - related											
Repo-style transactions	1,017,535	1%	59	9,077	89	9,225	738	8,668	8,294	9,112	8,882
Derivatives - including CVA	123,461	28%	1,663	18,533	14,059	34,255	2,740	40,138	39,086	42,244	37,957
Total trading-related	1,140,996	4%	1,722	27,610	14,148	43,480	3,478	48,806	47,380	51,356	46,839
Total lending-related and other and trading-related	2,772,581	15%	100,438	302,795	14,148	417,381	33,390	416,190	400,318	395,249	377,946
Banking book equities ⁶	4,810	130%	-	6,273	-	6,273	502	5,682	5,667	5,645	5,682
Securitization exposures	80,183	16%	6,011	7,198	-	13,209	1,057	12,543	11,897	11,706	10,724
Regulatory scaling factor ⁷	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	18,544	n.a.	18,544	1,484	18,267	17,961	17,768	16,959
Other assets	33,913	140%	n.a.	n.a.	47,400	47,400	3,792	44,216	42,843	42,148	41,386
Total credit risk	2,891,487	17%	106,449	334,810	61,548	502,807	40,225	496,898	478,686	472,516	452,697
Market risk ^{8,9}											
Interest rate			1,850	9,975	-	11,825	946	13,256	12,034	14,127	19,176
Equity			2,392	1,131	-	3,523	282	4,001	4,292	4,840	4,669
Foreign exchange			3,287	355	-	3,642	291	3,735	2,996	3,904	4,155
Commodities			1,270	122	-	1,392	111	1,750	2,627	1,791	1,136
Specific risk			7,350	1,051	-	8,401	672	8,411	8,110	8,758	8,376
Incremental risk charge ^{10, 11}			-	3,852	-	3,852	308	4,189	4,492	4,431	4,300
Total market risk			16,149	16,486	-	32,635	2,610	35,342	34,551	37,851	41,812
Operational risk			78,808	-	n.a.	78,808	6,305	77,639	75,813	75,472	74,776
Total risk-weighted assets (RWA)	2,891,487		201,406	351,296	61,548	614,250	49,140	609,879	589,050	585,839	569,285

¹ Calculated using guidelines issued by OSFI under the Basel III All-in framework.

² Total exposure represents exposure at default (EAD) which is the expected gross exposure upon the default of an obligor. This amount excludes any allowance against impaired loans or partial write-offs and does not reflect the impact of credit risk mitigation. Exposures acquired through the Federal Reserve Paycheck Protection Program lending facility have been excluded, as required by OSFI.

³ Represents the average of counterparty risk weights within a particular category.

⁴ The minimum capital requirements for each category can be calculated by multiplying the total RWA by 8% as per OSFI CAR guidelines.

⁵ For credit risk, a majority of our portfolios use the Internal Ratings Based (IRB) Approach and the remainder use the Standardized Approach.

Royal Bank of Canada Pillar 3 Report

⁶ CAR guidelines define banking book equities based on the economic substance of the transaction rather than the legal form or accounting treatment associated with the financial instrument. As such, differences exist in the identification of equity securities held in the banking book and those reported in the financial statements. Banking book equities are financial instruments held for investment purposes and are not part of our trading book, consisting of publicly-traded and private equities, partnership units, venture capital and derivative instruments tied to equity interests.

As at Q1/23, the amount of publicly-traded equity exposures was \$2,216 million and private equity exposures amounted to \$2,594 million. Total exposure represents EAD, which is the expected gross exposure upon the default of an obligor.

Under OSFI guidelines, the Simple Risk Weight method under the Market-based Approach is being used to calculate RWA for direct equity exposures (\$2,632 million). The calculation of RWA for Equity Investments in Funds (\$3,640 million) uses the Mandate-based and Fall-Back Approaches.

- ⁷The scaling factor represents a calibration adjustment of 6% as prescribed by OSFI under the Basel III framework and is applied to RWA amounts for credit risk assessed under the IRB Approach.
- ⁸ For market risk RWA measurement, we use an internal models approach where we have obtained regulatory approval, and a standardized approach for products yet to be approved. For standardized approach, we use internally validated models.
- ⁹ Regulatory capital for our correlation trading portfolios is determined through the standardized approach as prescribed by OSFI. Therefore, we do not have a Comprehensive Risk Charge for these portfolios. Our securitization and resecuritization positions in our trading book also have capital requirements under the standardized approach. The changes in value due to market and credit risk in the securitization and resecuritization in the trading book are managed through the daily mark-to-market process. Furthermore, we employ market risk measures such as sensitivities to changes in option-adjusted spreads and underlying asset prices as well as value-at-risk (VaR) and stress testing measures.
- ¹⁰ The incremental risk charge (IRC) was \$303 million as at Q1/23. The average was \$307 million, high was \$389 million and low was \$224 million for Q1/23. The IRC is measured over a one-year horizon at a 99.9% confidence level. We utilize a technique known as the Monte Carlo simulation process to generate a statistically relevant number of loss scenarios due to ratings migration and default in order to establish the losses at that confidence level. We also make certain assumptions about position liquidity (the length of time to close out a position) within the model that range from a floor of three months to maximum of one year. The determination of liquidity is based on issuer type and credit rating. Credit rating migration and default probabilities (PD) are based on historical data.
- ¹¹The models are subject to the same internal independent vetting and validation procedures used for all regulatory capital models. Important assumptions are re-reviewed at least annually. Due to the long time horizon and high confidence level of the risk measure, we do not perform back-testing as we do for the VaR measure.
- ¹² Beginning Q2 2022, home equity line of credit (HELOC) exposures under the IRB Approach reported as "Other Retail" in this table in prior quarters have now been grouped with Residential Mortgages to ensure consistent classification between Standardized Approach and IRB Approach. Prior quarter periods have been updated to the new format.



LINKAGES BETWEEN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND REGULATORY EXPOSURES

LI1: Differences between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and mapping of financial statement categories with regulatory risk categories

The following table provides the differences between carrying values presented in our financial statements prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) and our regulatory exposures. It further breaks down the amounts in our financial statements into regulatory risk categories.

	а	b	С	d	е	f	g
					Carrying values of	items:1	
(Millions of Canadian dollars)	Carrying values as reported in published financial statements	Carrying values under scope of regulatory consolidation	Subject to credit risk framework	Subject to counterparty credit risk framework	Subject to the securitization framework	Subject to the market risk framework	Not subject to capital requirements or subject to deduction from capital
Assets	00.077	00.077	22.222				40
Cash and due from banks	86,277	86,277	86,229	-	-	-	48
Interest-bearing deposits with banks	93,495	93,495	93,495	-	-	-	-
Securities	445 547	400.004	5 470		04	400.000	440
Trading	145,517	133,681	5,478	-	61	128,023	119
Investment, net of applicable allowance	175,036	171,536	160,080	-	11,479	-	(23
Assets purchased under reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowed Loans	320,553 328,379	305,217 328,379	165,558 -	328,381	11,540	128,023	96 (2
Retail	549,893	549,393	549,393	-	-	-	-
Wholesale ³	277,900	275,615	256,869	2,369	10,455	3,408	2,514
	827,793	825,008	806,262	2,369	10,455	3,408	2,514
Allowance for loan losses	(3,999)	(3,999)	-	-	-	-	(3,999
	823,794	821,009	806,262	2,369	10,455	3,408	(1,485
Segregated fund net assets Other	2,827	-	-	-	-	-	-
Customers' liability under acceptances	19,188	19,188	19,229	-	-	-	(41
Derivatives ²	130,120	129,944	-	129,944	-	121,052	-
Premises and equipment, net	7,019	7,004	7,004	-	-	-	-
Goodwill	12,204	12,204	-	-	-	-	12,204
Other intangibles	5,957	5,817	-	-	-	-	5,817
Other assets	103,206	106,947	57,942	34,583	-	7,201	7,221
	277,694	281,104	84,175	164,527	-	128,253	25,201
Total assets ²	1,933,019	1,915,481	1,235,719	495,277	21,995	259,684	23,858
Liabilities and equity							
Deposits							
Personal	418,287	418,287	-	-	-	-	418,287
Business and government	738,923	739,481	-	-	-	-	739,481
Bank	46,632	46,632	-	-	-	-	46,632
	1,203,842	1,204,400	-	-	-	-	1,204,400
Segregated fund net liabilities Other	2,827	-	-	-	-	-	-
Acceptances	19,229	19,229	-	-	-	-	19,229
Obligations related to securities sold short	35,247	35,247	-	-	-	-	35,247
Obligations related to assets sold under repurchase agreements and securities loaned	290,367	290,040	-	290,040	-	-	-
Derivatives ²	131,082	131,082	-	131,082	-	125,247	-
Insurance claims and policy benefit liabilities	12,103	445.607	-	-	-	-	445.007
Other liabilities	118,993	115,607		404 400	-	105.047	115,607
Subordinated debentures	607,021 11,530	591,205 11,530	-	421,122	-	125,247	170,083 11,530
Total liabilities ²	1,825,220	1,807,135	<u>-</u>	421,122		125,247	1,386,013
Equity attributable to shareholders	1,020,220	1,007,133	-	421,122	-	120,247	1,300,013
Preferred shares	7,333	7,333	_	_	_	_	7,333
Common shares	16,953	16,953	-	-	_	-	16,953
Retained earnings	78,369	78,327	-	-	-	-	78,327
Other components of equity	5,041	5,630	_	-	-	-	5,630
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	107,696	108,243	-	-	_	-	108,243
Non-controlling interests	103	103	-	-	-	-	103
Total equity	107,799	108,346	-	-	-	-	108,346
Total liabilities and equity ²	1,933,019	1,915,481	_	421,122	_	125,247	1,494,359

¹ Column c to g reflect a further breakout of column b by providing the respective CAR guideline frameworks utilized and OSFI COVID-19 guidance.

² Derivative assets and liabilities are subject to both counterparty credit risk and market risk framework – hence column b will not equal to the sum of column c to g.

³ Amount includes exposure related to the US Government Paycheck Protection Program which are excluded from risk-weighting as per OSFI COVID-19 guidance.



LI2: Main sources of differences between regulatory exposure amounts and carrying values in financial statements

The following table provides the key differences between the exposure amounts for regulatory purposes and the accounting carrying values as presented in our financial statements that are within the scope of regulatory consolidation.

As at January 31, 2023

AS at	January 31, 2023					
		а	b	С	d	е
				Items su	bject to:	
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	Total	Credit risk framework	Securitization framework	Counterparty credit risk framework	Market risk framework
1	Asset carrying value amount under scope of regulatory consolidation (as per template LI1)¹	1,891,623	1,235,719	21,995	495,277	259,684
2	Liabilities carrying value amount under regulatory scope of consolidation (as per template LI1)¹	421,122	-	-	421,122	125,247
3	Total net amount under regulatory scope of consolidation	1,470,501	1,235,719	21,995	74,155	134,437
4	Off-balance sheet amounts ²	1,553,832	428,885	58,188	1,066,759	-
5	Differences due to Fair Value adjustment	3,146	3,064	-	82	-
6	Differences due to different netting rules, other than those already included in row 2	1,749	1,749	-	-	-
7	Differences due to consideration of provisions	-	-	-	-	-
8	Differences due to prudential filters	-	-	-	-	-
9	Difference due to accounting and risk treatment of securitizations and other items	891	891	-	-	-
10	Exposure amounts considered for regulatory purposes	3,030,119	1,670,308	80,183	1,140,996	134,437

¹ Amount reflects Table LI1 columns (c), (d), (e) and (f) from the previous page. Derivative assets and liabilities are subject to both counterparty credit risk and market risk framework – hence column a will not equal to the sum of column b to e.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ Off-balance sheet amounts reflect the application of credit conversion factors.



LIA: Explanations of differences between accounting and regulatory exposure amounts

Our consolidated balance sheet ("accounting balance sheet") is prepared in compliance with IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board. We leverage our accounting balance sheet to apply the required regulatory requirements prescribed by OSFI to determine our regulatory capital consolidated balance sheet.

In Template LI1: Differences between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and mapping of financial statement categories with regulatory risk categories, we identify the differences between our IFRS consolidated accounting balance sheet (column a in LI1) and our regulatory capital consolidated balance sheet (column b in LI1). Our regulatory capital consolidated balance sheet, on which capital adequacy requirements are determined, reflects all of our consolidated subsidiaries except for our insurance subsidiaries as prescribed by OSFI's CAR guidelines.

In Template LI2: Main sources of differences between regulatory exposure amounts and carrying values in financial statements, we quantify measurement differences other than regulatory consolidation.

Our banking book regulatory carrying values reflect our IFRS accounting balance sheet values except for our fair valued loans and debt securities carried at fair value through other comprehensive income (FVOCI), which under the Credit risk framework, are measured at amortized cost. Off-balance sheet regulatory asset values reflect prescribed conversion factors and undrawn amounts.

Regulatory carrying values for our Counterparty credit risk related to our derivative assets and liabilities, assets purchased under reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowed and obligations related to assets sold under repurchase agreements and securities loaned are determined using OSFI's CAR guidelines Chapter 4 Settlement and Counterparty risk framework. On November 1, 2018, OSFI adopted the BCBS Standardized Approach for measuring Counterparty credit risk for derivative regulatory exposures and we have adopted this methodology for our derivative regulatory exposures. The main differences between the accounting and regulatory amounts for Counterparty credit risk relate to regulatory inclusion of potential future exposure amounts and differences in allowed IFRS and regulatory netting rules, and also application of financial collateral in the calculation of regulatory exposure amount.

The regulatory carrying value of exposures subject to the securitization framework includes our on-balance sheet third party securitization holdings as well as our securitized credit card exposures which meet the risk transference requirements under the CAR guidelines Chapter 7 but are not considered securitized for the purposes of our IFRS accounting balance sheet. Our regulatory carrying values are determined based on the BCBS revised securitization framework adopted by OSFI on November 1, 2018.

Our trading book regulatory carrying values are determined as prescribed under the CAR guidelines Chapter 9. We employ OSFI's prudent valuation guidance requirements, as stated in CAR Chapter 9 section 9.8 to our trading book. Refer to our 2022 Annual Report - Risk Management section which provides further insight into how we measure our market risk and the linkage of market risk to selected balance sheet items.



CAPITAL

CC1: Composition of Capital

The following table provides details of our regulatory capital and required regulatory adjustments under OSFI's CAR guidelines. Reconciliation references to CC2 of where these items are located on our IFRS and regulatory balance sheet are also included.

Composition of Capital Template	Cross Reference of Current Quarter to Regulatory Capital Balance Sheet (CC2)	Q1/23	Q4/22	Q3/22	Q2/22	Q1/22
(Millions of Canadian dollars, except percentage and otherwise noted) Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1): Instruments and Reserves	Dalance Chest (CC2)					
1 Directly issued qualifying common share capital (and equivalent for non-joint stock companies) plus related stock surplus	a+a'	17,141	17,162	17.327	17,554	17.817
2 Retained earnings	b+b'	78.182	77.859	76.230	75.691	73.297
3 Accumulated other comprehensive income (and other reserves)	C-C'	5.040	5,725	3,011	3,761	3,355
4 Directly issued capital subject to phase out from CET1 (only applicable to non-joint stock companies)	U-U	3,040	3,723	3,011	3,701	3,333
5 Common share capital issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group CET1)	d	10	11	10	10	10
6 Common Equity Tier 1 capital before regulatory adjustments	u	100,373	100,757	96,578	97,016	94,479
Common Equity Tier 1 capital: Regulatory adjustments		100,373	100,757	90,576	97,010	34,473
7 Prudential valuation adjustments						
8 Goodwill (net of related tax liability)	e+e'-t+e"	12,096	12,135	10,806	10,853	10,884
9 Other intangibles other than mortgage-servicing rights (net of related tax liability)	f+f'-v+f"	4.858	4.887	3.566	3.579	3.649
10 Deferred tax assets excluding those arising from temporary differences (net of related tax liability)		254	278	232	228	227
11 Cash flow hedge reserve	g	1,998	2,394	1,431	1.681	695
12 Shortfall of provisions to expected losses	h :	1,990	2,394	1,431	1,001	695
13 Securitization gain on sale	ı	-	-	-	-	
14 Gains and losses due to changes in own credit risk on fair valued liabilities		879	1.893	1.371	1,009	(33)
J J	le	2,233	2,395	2,399		
15 Defined benefit pension fund net assets (net of related tax liability) 16 Investments in own shares (if not already netted off paid-in capital on reported balance sheet)	k-u	2,233	2,395	2,399	2,701	2,171
		-	-	-	-	
J J			-	-		
Non-significant investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities, net of eligible short positions (amount above 10% threshold)		-	-	-	-	-
Significant investments in the common stock of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short positions (amount above 10% threshold)		-	-	-	-	-
20 Mortgage servicing rights (amount above 10% threshold)		-	-	- 1	-	-
21 Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (amount above 10% threshold, net of related tax liability)		-	-	-	-	_
22 Amount exceeding the 15% threshold		-	-	-	-	
23 of which: significant investments in the common stock of financials	I	-	-	- 1	-	-
24 of which: mortgage servicing rights		-	-	-	-	_
25 of which: deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences	m	-	-	-	-	
26 Other deductions or regulatory adjustments to CET1 as determined by OSFI		-	(170)	(134)	(104)	(194)
27 Regulatory adjustments applied to Common Equity Tier 1 due to insufficient Additional Tier 1 and Tier 2 to cover deductions		-	- 1	-	- 1	
28 Total regulatory adjustments to Common Equity Tier 1		22,318	23,812	19,671	19,947	17,399
29 Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1)		78,055	76,945	76,907	77,069	77,080
29a Common Equity Tier 1 Capital (CET1) with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied		78,055	76,774	76,773	76,966	76,885
Additional Tier 1 capital (AT1): Instruments		-,	-, -,	-, -	-,	
30 Directly issued qualifying Additional Tier 1 instruments plus related stock surplus		7,300	7,294	7,297	7,274	7,411
31 of which: classified as equity under applicable accounting standards	n'+n'''	7,300	7,294	7,297	7,274	7,411
32 of which: classified as liabilities under applicable accounting standards		-	-	-	-	-





Com	position of Capital Template <i>continued</i>	Cross Reference of Current Quarter to Regulatory Capital	Q1/23	Q4/22	Q3/22	Q2/22	Q1/22	
(Millio	ns of Canadian dollars, except percentage and otherwise noted)	Balance Sheet (CC2)						
33	Directly issued capital instruments subject to phase out from Additional Tier 1	x'+n"	-	-	-	-		
34	Additional Tier 1 instruments (and CET1 instruments not included in row 5) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties	0	_	_	_	_	_	
	(amount allowed in group AT1)		2	3	3	2	2	
35	of which: instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out		-	-				
36	Additional Tier 1 capital before regulatory adjustments		7,302	7,297	7,300	7,276	7,413	
0.7	Additional Tier 1 capital: Regulatory adjustments							
37	Investments in own Additional Tier 1 instruments		-	-	-	-		
36	Reciprocal cross holdings in Additional Tier 1 instruments Non-significant investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities, net of eligible short positions (amount		-	-	-	-		
39	above 10% threshold)		-	-	-	-	-	
40	Significant investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short positions		-	-	-	-	-	
41	Other deductions from Tier 1 capital as determined by OSFI		-	-	-	-	-	
41a	of which: reverse mortgages		-	-	-	-	-	
42	Regulatory adjustments applied to Additional Tier 1 due to insufficient Tier 2 to cover deductions		-	-	-	-	-	
43	Total regulatory adjustments to Additional Tier 1 capital		-	-	-	-	-	
44	Additional Tier 1 Capital (AT1)		7,302	7,297	7,300	7,276	7,413	
45	Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + AT1)		85,357	84,242	84,207	84,345	84,493	
	Tier 1 capital with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied		85,357	84,071	84,073	84,242	84,298	
	2 Capital: Instruments and Provisions							
46	Directly issued qualifying Tier 2 instruments plus related stock surplus	q" + q"""	9,780	8,587	8,741	8,710	9,009	
47	Directly issued capital instruments subject to phase out from Tier 2	q'''	-	-	-	-		
48	Tier 2 instruments (and CET1 and AT1 instruments not included in rows 5 or 34) issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amount allowed in group Tier 2)	r + q''''	3	3	3	3	3	
49	of which: instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out	q""	-	-	-	-	-	
50	Collective allowances	S	1,298	1,018	906	813	997	
51	Tier 2 capital before regulatory adjustments		11,081	9,608	9,650	9,526	10,009	
	Tier 2 Capital: Regulatory adjustments							
52	Investments in own Tier 2 instruments		-	-	-	-		
53	Reciprocal cross holdings in Tier 2 instruments and Other TLAC-eligible Instruments		-	-	-	-		
54	Non-significant investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities, and Other TLAC-eligible instruments issued by G-SIBs and Canadian D-SIBs that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, where the institution does not own more than 10% of the issued common share capital of the entity (amount above 10% threshold)		-	-	-	-	-	
54a	Non-significant investments in the other TLAC-eligible instruments issued by G-SIBs and Canadian D-SIBs, where the institution does not own more than 10% of the issued common share capital of the entity: amount previously designated for the 5% threshold but that no longer meets the conditions		-	-	-	-	-	
55	Significant investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities and Other TLAC-eligible instuments issued by G-SIBs and Canadian D-SIBs that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation		-	-	-	-	-	
56	Other deductions from Tier 2 capital		-	-	-	-	-	
57	Total regulatory adjustments to Tier 2 capital			-		-		
58	Tier 2 capital (T2)		11,081	9,608	9,650	9,526	10,009	
59	Total capital (TC = T1 + T2)		96,438	93,850	93,857	93,871	94,502	
	Total Capital with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied		96,438	93,850	93,857	93,871	94,502	
60	Total risk-weighted assets		614,250	609,879	589,050	585,839	569,285	
60a	Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) Capital RWA		614,250	609,879	589,050	585,839	569,285	
60b	Tier 1 Capital RWA		614,250	609,879	589,050	585,839	569,285	
60c	Total Capital RWA		614,250	609,879	589,050	585,839	569,285	

	osition of Capital Template <i>continued</i>	Cross Reference of Current Quarter to Regulatory Capital	Q1/23	Q4/22	Q3/22	Q2/22	Q1/22
	s of Canadian dollars, except percentage and otherwise noted)	Balance Sheet (CC2)					
	al ratios						
61	Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets)		12.7%	12.6%	13.1%	13.2%	13.5%
61a	CET1 Ratio with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied		12.7%	12.6%	13.0%	13.1%	13.5%
62	Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets)		13.9%	13.8%	14.3%	14.4%	14.8%
62a	Tier 1 Capital Ratio with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied		13.9%	13.8%	14.3%	14.4%	14.8%
63	Total capital (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets)		15.7%	15.4%	15.9%	16.0%	16.6%
63a	Total Capital Ratio with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied		15.7%	15.4%	15.9%	16.0%	16.6%
64	Buffer (minimum CET1 requirement plus capital conservation buffer plus G-SIB buffer requirement plus D-SIB buffer expressed		0.00/	0.00/	0.00/	0.00/	0.00/
- 05	as a percentage of risk-weighted assets)		8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%
	of which: capital conservation buffer		2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
	of which: bank-specific countercyclical buffer		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	of which: G-SIB buffer ¹		1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
	of which: D-SIB buffer		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Common Equity Tier 1 available to meet buffers (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets)		12.7%	12.6%	13.1%	13.2%	13.5%
	arget (minimum + capital conservation buffer + D-SIB surcharge (if applicable))	<u> </u>				1	
69	Common Equity Tier 1 target ratio		8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%
70	Tier 1 capital target ratio		9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%
71	Total capital target ratio		11.5%	11.5%	11.5%	11.5%	11.5%
Amou	nts below the thresholds for deduction (before risk-weighting)	1	1				
	Non-significant investments in the capital and Other TLAC-eligible instruments of other financials entities		448	600	769	1,324	958
73	Significant investments in the common stock of financials		6,642	6,533	5,960	6,112	5,980
74	Mortgage servicing rights (net of related tax liability)		-	-		-	-
	Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (net of related tax liability)		1,628	1,523	1,622	1,514	1,506
Appli	cable caps on the inclusion of allowances in Tier 2						
76	Allowances eligible for inclusion in Tier 2 in respect of exposures subject to standardized approach (prior to application of cap)		900	874	781	737	849
77	Cap on inclusion of allowances in Tier 2 under standardized approach		900	874	781	737	849
78	Allowances eligible for inclusion in Tier 2 in respect of exposures subject to internal ratings-based approach (prior to application of cap)		2,831	2,693	2,609	2,485	2,882
79	Cap on inclusion of allowances in Tier 2 under internal ratings-based approach		2,831	2,693	2,609	2,485	2,882

¹ Capital surcharge, equal to the higher of our D-SIB surcharge and the BCBS's G-SIB surcharge, is applicable to risk-weighted capital.



CC2: Regulatory capital balance sheet

The following table provides a reconciliation of our regulatory capital elements as reported in CC1 with our balance sheet prepared in accordance with IFRS and our regulatory balance sheet.

		Q1/23		
Regulatory capital balance sheet	Cross Reference to Basel III Regulatory Capital Components (CC1)	Balance sheet as in Report to Shareholders	Under regulatory scope of consolidation	
(Millions of Canadian dollars)		Silarenolders	Consolidation	
Assets				
Cash and due from banks		86,277	86,277	
Interest-bearing deposits with banks		93,495	93,495	
Securities, net of applicable allowance		320,553	305,217	
Non-significant investments in capital of other financial institutions not exceeding regulatory thresholds			448	
Other securities			304,769	
Assets purchased under reverse repurchase agreements and securities borrowed		328,379	328,379	
Loans				
Retail		549,893	549,393	
Wholesale		277,900	275,615	
Allowance for loan losses		(3,999)	(3,999	
Collective allowance reflected in Tier 2 regulatory capital ¹	s		(1,298	
Shortfall of allowances to expected loss ²	i		-	
Allowances not reflected in regulatory capital			(2,701	
		823,794	821,009	
Segregated fund net assets		2,827	-	
Other				
Customers' liability under acceptances		19,188	19,188	
Derivatives		130,120	129,944	
Premises and equipment, net		7,019	7,004	
Goodwill	е	12,204	12,204	
Goodwill related to insurance and joint ventures	e'	·		
Other intangibles	f	5,957	5,817	
Other intangibles related to insurance and joint ventures	f	·	140	
Other		103,206	106,947	
Significant investments in other financial institutions and insurance subsidiaries		·	6,642	
of which: exceeding regulatory thresholds	1			
of which: not exceeding regulatory thresholds			6,642	
Defined - benefit pension fund net assets	k		3,079	
Deferred tax assets			1,664	
of which: deferred tax assets excluding those arising from temporary differences	g		254	
of which: deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences exceeding regulatory thresholds	m			
of which: deferred tax liabilities related to permitted tax netting			(1,749	
of which: deferred tax assets - other temporary differences			3,159	
Other assets			95,562	
of which: relates to assets of operations held for sale – Goodwill	e"		31	
of which: relates to assets of operations held for sale – Intangibles	f"		108	
Total assets	-	1,933,019	1,915,481	

¹ Collective allowance includes Stage 1 and Stage 2 ACL on financial assets.

² Expected loss as defined under the Basel III framework.



		Q1/23		
Regulatory capital balance sheet continued	Cross Reference to Basel III Regulatory Capital Components (CC1)	Balance sheet as in Report to Shareholders	Under regulatory scope of consolidation	
(Millions of Canadian dollars) Liabilities				
Deposits				
Personal		418.287	418,287	
Business and government		738,923	739.481	
Bank		46,632	46,632	
Dalik		1,203,842	1,204,400	
Segregated fund net liabilities		2.827	1,204,400	
Other				
Acceptances		19,229	19,229	
Obligations related to securities sold short		35,247	35,247	
Obligations related to assets sold under repurchase agreements and securities loaned		290,367	290.040	
Derivatives		131,082	131,082	
Insurance claims and policy benefit liabilities		12,103	-	
Other liabilities		118,993	115,607	
Gains and losses due to changes in own credit risk on fair value liabilities	i	,,,,,,,	879	
Deferred tax liabilities	•		446	
of which: related to goodwill	t		139	
of which: related to intangibles	V		1,208	
of which: related to pensions	u		846	
of which: relates to permitted tax netting			(1,747	
of which: other deferred tax liabilities	W		-	
Other Liabilities			114,282	
Subordinated debentures	q	11,530	11,530	
Regulatory capital amortization of maturing debentures	q''''		(1,197	
Subordinated debentures not allowed for regulatory capital	q'		1,750	
Subordinated debentures used for regulatory capital:			10,977	
of which: are qualifying	q"		10,977	
of which: are subject to phase out directly issued capital:	a'''		-	
of which: are subject to phase out issued by subsidiaries and held by 3rd party	q'''		-	
Total liabilities	·	1,825,220	1,807,135	
Equity attributable to shareholders		107,696	108,243	
Common shares	a	16,953	16,953	
of which are treasury - common shares			(389	
Retained earnings		78,369	78,327	
of which relates to contributed surplus	a'		188	
of which relates to retained earnings for capital purposes	b		78,139	
of which relates to insurance and joint ventures	b'		43	
Other components of equity	С	5,041	5,630	
Gains and losses on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges	h		1,998	
Unrealized foreign currency translation gains and losses, net of hedging activities			4,800	
Other reserves allowed for regulatory capital			(1,168	
of which relates to Insurance	c'		590	
Preferred shares and other equity instruments	n	7,333	7,333	
of which: are qualifying	n'		7,300	
of which: are subject to phase out	n"		-	
of which portion are not allowed for regulatory capital			23	
of which: are qualifying treasury - preferred shares	n'''		-	
of which: are qualifying treasury - other			10	
of which: are subject to phase out treasury - preferred shares			-	



			/23
Regulatory capital balance sheet continued (Millions of Canadian dollars)	Cross Reference to Base III Regulatory Capital Components (CC1)	Balance sheet as in Report to Shareholders	Under regulatory scope of consolidation
Non-controlling interests		103	103
of which: are qualifying			
portion allowed for inclusion into CET1	d		10
portion allowed for inclusion into Tier 1 capital	0		2
portion allowed for inclusion into Tier 2 capital	r		3
of which: are subject to phase out	x'		-
of which: portion not allowed for regulatory capital			88
Total equity		107,799	108,346
Total liabilities and equity		1,933,019	1,915,481

		Equity	Assets
Insurance subsidiaries ¹	Principal activities		
Assured Assistance Inc.	Service provider for insurance claims	2	
Royal Bank of Canada Insurance Company Limited	Life, annuity, trade credit, title and property reinsurance company provides coverage to international clients	2,064	1,283
RBC (Barbados) Services Company Ltd	Investment management, reinsurance transaction support and corporate services to Royal Bank of Canada Insurance Company Ltd.	1	
RBC Insurance Agency Ltd.	Distribution of H&A products through AVIVA	39	5
RBC Insurance Company (Cayman) Limited	Life, annuity reinsurance company provides coverage to international clients	5	
RBC Insurance Company of Canada	Property and casualty insurance company	126	120
RBC Insurance Holdings Inc.	Holding company	1	
RBC Insurance Services Inc.	Service provider for insurance companies listed and the bank (creditor)	84	8
RBC Life Insurance Company	Life and health insurance company	3,589	21,90
· ·		5,911	23,449

¹ The list of legal entities that are included within the accounting scope of consolidation but excluded from the regulatory scope of consolidation.



CREDIT RISK

CRA: General qualitative information about credit risk

The table below presents an overview of Pillar 3 disclosure requirements that have been met within our 2022 Annual Report and incorporated by reference into this Pillar 3 report. Our 2022 Annual Report is available free of charge on our website at http://www.rbc.com/investorrelations

F	Pillar 3 disclosures requirement	RBC 2022 Annual Report section	Sub-section		
	Translation of the business model	One did sink	Overview		
(a)	into the components of the bank's credit risk profile	Credit risk	Measurement of economic and regulatory capital - Gross credit risk exposure		
			Risk governance		
			Risk appetite		
		Enterprise risk management	Risk measurement		
b)	Criteria and approach used for defining credit risk management policy and for setting credit risk		Risk control – Delegated risk approval authorities and risk limits		
	limits		Overview		
		Credit risk	Credit risk assessment		
		Credit risk	Credit risk mitigation		
			Credit risk approval		
c)	Structure and organization of the credit risk management and control	Enterprise risk management	Risk governance		
	function	Enterprise risk management	Risk control		
d)	Interaction between the credit risk management, risk control, compliance and internal audit functions	Enterprise risk management	Risk governance		
e)	Scope and content of the reporting on credit risk exposure to the	Enterprise risk management	Risk governance		
	executive management and to the board of directors	Emerphse fisk management	Risk control – Risk monitoring and reporting		



CR1: Credit quality of assets

The following table presents a comprehensive view of the credit quality of our on- and off-balance sheet assets.

As at January 31, 2023

		а	b	С	d	е	f	g
		Gross carryi	ng values of		Of which EC provisions on	L accounting SA exposures	Of which ECL	
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	Defaulted exposures ¹	Non-defaulted exposures	Allowances/ impairments ²	Allocated in regulatory category of Specific ³	Allocated in regulatory category of General ³	accounting provisions on IRB exposures	Net values (a+b-c)
1	Loans ⁴	2,714	804,177	3,999	112	296	3,591	802,892
2	Debt Securities	-	162,733	23	-	-	23	162,710
3	Off-Balance Sheet exposures ⁵	727	318,954	432	-	-	432	319,249
4	Total	3,441	1,285,864	4,454	112	296	4,046	1,284,851

¹ Definition of default as per the CAR guidelines and recent OSFI COVID-19 guidance.

As at October 31, 2022

		а	b	С	d	е	f	g
		Gross carryi	ng values of	y values of		L accounting SA exposures	Of which ECL	
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	Defaulted exposures ¹	Non-defaulted exposures	Allowances/ impairments ²	Allocated in regulatory category of Specific ³	Allocated in regulatory category of General ³	accounting provisions on IRB exposures	Net values (a+b-c)
1	Loans	2,183	800,495	3,753	105	272	3,376	798,925
2	Debt Securities	-	158,391	22	-	-	22	158,369
3	Off-Balance Sheet exposures ⁴	854	315,821	403	-	-	403	316,272
4	Total	3,037	1,274,707	4,178	105	272	3,801	1,273,566

¹ Definition of default as per the CAR guidelines and recent OSFI COVID-19 guidance.

² Reflects Stage 1, 2 and 3 allowances under IFRS 9, excluding ACL on fair value through OCI on financial instruments.

³ Regulatory category of specific allowance reflects IFRS 9 Stage 3 allowances. Regulatory category of general allowances reflects Stage 1 & 2 allowances.

⁴ Includes exposures related to operations held for sale that have been reclassified to Other Assets on our balance sheet.

⁵ Off balance sheet amounts are before the application of credit conversion factors and reflect guarantees given and irrevocable loan commitments. Revocable loan commitments are excluded as per BCBS requirements.

² Reflects Stage 1, 2 and 3 allowances under IFRS 9, excluding ACL on fair value through OCI on financial instruments.

³ Regulatory category of specific allowance reflects IFRS 9 Stage 3 allowances. Regulatory category of general allowances reflects Stage 1 & 2 allowances.

⁴Off balance sheet amounts are before the application of credit conversion factors and reflect guarantees given and irrevocable loan commitments. Revocable loan commitments are excluded as per BCBS requirements.



The table below presents an overview of Pillar 3 disclosure requirements that have been met within our 2022 Annual Report and incorporated by reference into this Pillar 3 report. Our 2022 Annual Report is available free of charge on our website at http://www.rbc.com/investorrelations

Pillar 3 disclosures requirement		RBC 2022 Annual Report section	Sub-section	
a)	Definitions of past due and impaired exposures	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 2 - Summary of significant accounting policies, estimates and judgments Allowance for credit losses - Definition of default Credit impaired financial assets (Stage 3) Note 5 - Loans and allowances for credit losses Loans past due but not impaired	
b)	Extent of past due exposures	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 5 – Loans and allowances for credit losses Loans past due but not impaired	
	Description of methods used for determining accounting provisions for credit losses	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 2 - Summary of significant accounting policies, estimates and judgments Allowance for credit losses	
c)	Description of the categorization of ECL accounting provisions (general and specific) for standardized approach exposures	n/a	n/a - For regulatory calculations under both the Standardized and IRB approaches, the IFRS 9 stage 3 allowances are considered to be specific allowances and the IFRS 9 stage 1 and stage 2 allowances are considered to be general allowances	
d)	Definition of a restructured exposure	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 2 - Summary of significant accounting policies, estimates and judgments Allowance for credit losses - Modifications	



(e) Breakdown of exposures by geographical areas, industry and residual maturity

The following table provides a breakdown of our credit risk exposures by industry, geographical areas and residual maturity. Our classification below reflects the Basel regulatory defined exposure classes. Amounts shown below reflect Exposures at default (EAD), which is the amount expected to be owed by an obligor at the time of default.

As at January 31, 2023

As at January 31, 2023					
	a	b	С	d	е
		Credit Risk ^{1,2}		Counterparty	Credit Risk ⁵
	On-balance sheet	Off-balance she	eet amount ³	Repo-style	Derivatives
(Millions of Canadian dollars)	amount	Undrawn	Other ⁴	Transaction	Denvalives
Retail					
Residential secured ⁶	393,631	110,510			
Qualifying revolving	32,378	97,050			
Other retail	98,796	20,337	140		
Total Retail	524,805	227,897	140		
Wholesale	,	,			
Agriculture	10,624	2,164	43	-	98
Automotive	10,369	8,764	468	-	1,285
Banking	74,444	4,683	1,059	101,662	41,202
Consumer Discretionary	19,059	10,414	553	-	1,184
Consumer Staples	7,149	7,915	333	-	1,832
Oil and Gas	6,256	11,027	1.700	-	3,473
Financial Services	45,631	26,043	3,762	76,581	16,892
Financing Products	6,061	2,446	160	275	1,560
Forest Products	1,329	994	233	-	46
Governments	298,369	5,252	1.684	21,401	7,072
Industrial Products	10,802	10,068	732	-	738
Information Technology	6,484	8,060	297	73	1,590
Investments	23,168	4,101	677	114	353
Mining and Metals	1,704	4,067	923	-	305
Public Works and Infrastructure	2,571	1,983	595	-	175
Real Estate and Related	92,313	18,550	1,583	-	1,092
Other Services	28,771	13,899	2,890	12	932
Telecommunication and Media	7,828	7,957	89	-	2.668
Transportation	7,013	6,500	931	-	1,697
Utilities	14,194	20,059	5,140	-	4,439
Other Sectors	4,059	1,546	88	225	14,196
Total Wholesale	678,198	176,492	23,940	200,343	102,829
Total Exposure ¹	1,203,003	404,389	24,080	200,343	102,829
By Geography ⁷					
Canada	707,186	291,280	9,623	73,735	33,017
United States	336,940	80,789	9,726	57,753	23,730
Europe	85,913	25,444	2,664	39,220	35,208
Other International	72,964	6,876	2,067	29,635	10,874
Total Exposure ^{1,7}	1,203,003	404,389	24,080	200,343	102,829
By Maturity					
Unconditionally cancellable	73,738	260,296		-	
Within 1 year	412,689	30,363	13,381	200,343	48,053
1 to 5 year	580,265	109,588	9,261	-	29,945
Over 5 years	136,311	4,142	1,438	-	24,831
Total Exposure ¹	1,203,003	404,389	24,080	200,343	102,829

¹ Excludes securitization, banking book equities and other assets not subject to standardized or IRB approach. Also excludes exposures acquired through the US Government Paycheck Protection Program (PPP).

² EAD for Standardized exposures are reported net of Stage 3 allowances and EAD for IRB exposures are reported gross of all allowances for credit loss and partial write-off as per regulatory definitions.

³ EAD for Undrawn credit commitments and other off-balance sheet amounts are reported after the application of credit conversion factors.

⁴ Includes other off-balance sheet exposures such as letters of credit & guarantees.

⁵ Counterparty credit risk EAD reflects exposure amount after netting. Collateral is included in EAD for repo-style transactions to the extent allowed by regulatory guidelines. Exchange traded derivatives are included in Other Sectors.

⁶ Includes residential mortgages and HELOC.

⁷ Geographic profile is based on the country of residence of the borrower.



As at October 31, 2022

As at October 31, 2022	а	b	С	d	e
	<u> </u>	Credit Risk ^{1,2}		Counterparty	
	On-balance sheet				Or out I tion
				Repo-style Transaction	Derivatives
(Millions of Canadian dollars)	amount	Undrawn	Other ⁴	Transaction	
Retail					
Residential secured ⁶	393,346	107,604			
Qualifying revolving	32,474	94,949			
Other retail	98,070	19,993	136		
Total Retail	523,890	222,546	136		
Wholesale					
Agriculture	10,417	2,089	36	-	161
Automotive	8,919	9,184	317	-	1,606
Banking	73,335	5,487	1,036	111,559	38,830
Consumer Discretionary	19,666	9,297	569	-	949
Consumer Staples	7,103	6,750	346	-	1,923
Oil and Gas	6,086	11,272	1,923	-	5,959
Financial Services	45,394	25,017	3,530	69,790	24,546
Financing Products	5,762	2,352	163	237	780
Forest Products	1,143	1,033	230	-	78
Governments	279,401	5,678	1,563	18,745	6,290
Industrial Products	10,755	9,319	601	-	1,216
Information Technology	5,291	7,144	298	55	1,908
Investments	23,764	3,946	669	157	458
Mining and Metals	2,377	4,259	945	-	467
Public Works and Infrastructure	2,614	2,417	497	-	144
Real Estate and Related	89,926	18,295	1,872	_	818
Other Services	27,839	13,425	2,848	33	852
Telecommunication and Media	7,301	8,298	79	-	2,751
Transportation	6,394	6.386	930	_	2.069
Utilities	12,318	20,651	5,275	_	5,081
Other Sectors	4,113	1,700	71	73	20,126
Total Wholesale	649,918	173,999	23,798	200,649	117,012
Total Exposure ¹	1,173,808	396,545	23,934	200,649	117,012
	1,110,000	555,515	20,001	200,010	,•
By Geography ⁷					
Canada	697,015	284,705	9.444	79,795	41,923
United States	334,821	79,829	10,145	59,866	24,16
Europe	79,343	25,485	2,603	39,244	36,107
Other International	62,629	6,526	1,742	21,744	14,821
Total Exposure ^{1,7}	1,173,808	396,545	23,934	200,649	117,012
	1,110,000	555,515	20,001	200,010	,•
By Maturity					
Unconditionally cancellable	74,782	254,437	_	_	
Within 1 year	393,378	30,301	13,241	200,649	57,882
1 to 5 year	572,186	106,404	9,217	200,040	39,398
Over 5 years	133,462	5,403	1,476		19.732
Total Exposure ¹	1,173,808	396,545	23,934	200,649	117,012

¹ Excludes securitization, banking book equities and other assets not subject to standardized or IRB approach. Also excludes exposures acquired through the US Government Paycheck Protection Program (PPP).

²EAD for Standardized exposures are reported net of Stage 3 allowances and EAD for IRB exposures are reported gross of all allowances for credit loss and partial write-off as per regulatory definitions.

³ EAD for Undrawn credit commitments and other off-balance sheet amounts are reported after the application of credit conversion factors.

⁴ Includes other off-balance sheet exposures such as letters of credit & guarantees.

⁵ Counterparty credit risk EAD reflects exposure amount after netting. Collateral is included in EAD for repo-style transactions to the extent allowed by regulatory guidelines. Exchange traded derivatives are included in Other Sectors.

⁶ Includes residential mortgages and HELOC.

⁷ Geographic profile is based on the country of residence of the borrower.



(f) Amounts of impaired exposures (according to the definition used by the bank for accounting purposes) and related allowances and write-offs, broken down by geographical areas and industry

The following tables provide a breakdown of impaired exposures by geographical areas and industry.

As at January 31, 2023

Impaired exposures by geography¹ and portfolio (Millions of Canadian dollars)	Gross impaired exposures	Allowance ²	Net impaired exposures
Canada			
Retail	765	212	553
Wholesale	487	117	370
Securities	-	-	-
Total - Canada	1,252	329	923
United States			
Retail	36	3	33
Wholesale	848	241	607
Securities	-	-	-
Total - United States	884	244	640
Other International			
Retail	189	94	95
Wholesale	274	110	164
Securities	149	(24)	173
Total - Other International	612	180	432
Total			
Retail	990	309	681
Wholesale	1,609	468	1,141
Securities	149	(24)	173
Total impaired exposures	2,748	753	1,995

 $^{^{\}mbox{\tiny 1}}$ Geographic information is based on residence of borrower.

As at October 31, 2022

Impaired exposures by geography¹ and portfolio (Millions of Canadian dollars)	Gross impaired exposures	Allowance ²	Net impaired exposures
Canada			
Retail	664	177	487
Wholesale	356	115	241
Securities	-	-	-
Total - Canada	1,020	292	728
United States			
Retail	34	2	32
Wholesale	674	175	499
Securities	-	-	-
Total - United States	708	177	531
Other International			
Retail	200	98	102
Wholesale	271	102	169
Securities	150	(23)	173
Total - Other International	621	177	444
Total			
Retail	898	277	621
Wholesale	1,301	392	909
Securities	150	(23)	173
Total impaired exposures	2,349	646	1,703

¹ Geographic information is based on residence of borrower.

² Allowance reflects only Stage 3 IFRS 9 allowances and includes allowances on acquired credit-impaired loans and securities.

² Allowance reflects only Stage 3 IFRS 9 allowances and includes allowances on acquired credit-impaired loans and securities.



Net write-offs by geography¹ and portfolio	For the three months ended	For the three months ended
(Millions of Canadian dollars)	January 31, 2023	October 31, 2022
Canada		
Retail	196	176
Wholesale	11	90
Total Canada	207	266
United States ²		
Retail	2	3
Wholesale	8	(6)
Total United States	10	(3)
Other International		
Retail	1	(1)
Wholesale ²	(2)	(8)
Total Other International	(1)	(9)
Total		
Retail	199	178
Wholesale	17	76
Total net write-offs	216	254

¹ Geographic information is based on residence of borrower.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}\,\mbox{lncludes}$ acquired credit-impaired loans related to the acquisition of City National.



As at January 31, 2023

As at January 31, 2023			
Impaired exposures by portfolio and sector	Gross impaired exposures	Allowance ¹	Net impaired exposures
(Millions of Canadian dollars)	САРОСИГОС		
Retail			
Residential mortgages	594	133	461
Personal	227	118	109
Small business	169	58	111
Total Retail	990	309	681
Wholesale			
Agriculture	44	9	35
Automotive	8	5	3
Banking	3	-	3
Consumer Discretionary	285	69	216
Consumer Staples	191	40	151
Oil and Gas	67	65	2
Financial Services	95	29	66
Financial Products	-	-	-
Forest Products	6	1	5
Governments	12	2	10
Industrial Products	86	26	60
Information Technology	5	2	3
Investments	12	3	9
Mining and Metals	10	9	1
Public Works and Infrastructure	15	9	6
Real Estate and Related	459	86	373
Other Services	232	67	165
Telecommunication and Media	38	31	7
Transportation	5	3	2
Utilities	-	-	-
Other	36	12	24
Total Wholesale	1,609	468	1,141
Total impaired loans and acceptances	2,599	777	1,822
Securities	149	(24)	173
Total impaired exposures	2,748	753	1,995

¹ Allowance reflects only Stage 3 IFRS 9 allowances and includes allowances on acquired credit-impaired loans and securities.



As at October 31, 2022

As at October 31, 2022		Т	
Impaired exposures by portfolio and sector	Gross impaired exposures	Allowance ¹	Net impaired exposures
(Millions of Canadian dollars)	CAPOCATOO		охроситос
Retail			
Residential mortgages	560	132	428
Personal	200	97	103
Small business	138	48	90
Total Retail	898	277	621
Wholesale			
Agriculture	18	2	16
Automotive	9	5	4
Banking	1	-	1
Consumer Discretionary	254	50	204
Consumer Staples	122	39	83
Oil and Gas	57	77	(20
Financial Services	96	26	70
Financial Products	-	-	-
Forest Products	7	1	6
Governments	3	1	2
Industrial Products	77	15	62
Information Technology	5	2	3
Investments	9	2	7
Mining and Metals	12	9	3
Public Works and Infrastructure	16	9	7
Real Estate and Related	322	78	244
Other Services	246	53	193
Telecommunication and Media	8	8	
Transportation	6	3	3
Utilities	-	-	
Other	33	12	21
Total Wholesale	1,301	392	909
Total impaired loans and acceptances	2,199	669	1,530
Securities	150	(23)	173
Total impaired exposures	2,349	646	1,703

¹ Allowance reflects only Stage 3 IFRS 9 allowances and includes allowances on acquired credit-impaired loans and securities.



CRB: Additional disclosure related to the credit quality of assets (continued)

(g) Ageing analysis of accounting past-due exposures

The following table provides the ageing of our retail and wholesale past due exposures. Amounts presented may include loans past due as a result of administrative processes, such as mortgage loans on which payments are restrained pending payout due to sale or refinance, which can fluctuate based on business volumes. Past due loans arising from administrative processes are not representative of the borrowers' ability to meet their payment obligations. The table excludes loans less than 30 days past due as they are not generally representative of the borrowers' ability to meet their payment obligations.

As at January 31, 2023

(Millions of Canadian dollars)	30 to 89 days	90 days and greater	Total
Retail	1,392	173	1,565
Wholesale	1,080	26	1,106
Total	2,472	199	2,671

As at October 31, 2022

7 to 4t 0 oto20. 0 ., 2022					
(Millions of Canadian dollars)	30 to 89 days	90 days and greater	Total		
Retail	1,328	168	1,496		
Wholesale	1,279	2	1,281		
Total	2,607	170	2,777		

(h) Breakdown of restructured exposures between impaired and not impaired exposures

Restructured exposures actively benefitting from modified contractual terms as at Jan 31, 2023 are not material (Oct 31, 2022 – not material).



CRC: Qualitative disclosure requirements related to credit risk mitigation techniques

The table below presents an overview of Pillar 3 disclosure requirements that have been met within our 2022 Annual Report and incorporated by reference into this Pillar 3 report. Our 2022 Annual Report is available free of charge on our website at http://www.rbc.com/investorrelations

F	Pillar 3 disclosures requirement	RBC 2022 Annual Report section	Sub-section Sub-section
	Core features of policies and	Credit risk	Credit risk assessment – Counterparty credit risk
a)	processes for, and an indication of the extent to which the bank makes use of, on- and off-balance sheet	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 9 - Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities – <i>Derivative-related credit risk</i>
	netting	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 30 - Offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities
b)	Core features of policies and processes for collateral evaluation and management	Credit risk	Credit risk mitigation – <i>Collateral</i>
		Cradit rial	Credit risk mitigation
c)	Information about market or credit risk concentrations under the credit risk mitigation instruments used	Credit risk	Credit risk approval – <i>Credit risk limits</i>
	3	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 9 - Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities



CRD: Qualitative disclosures on banks' use of external credit ratings under the standardized approach for credit risk

As detailed in section CR4, certain of our portfolios RWA amounts are calculated as per OSFI's CAR Guideline Standardized Approach requirements. OSFI's Standardized Approach methodology allows for the reliance on the external credit ratings of counterparties, issued by independent rating agencies, for the determination of RWA. Five external rating agencies ratings, namely, Standard & Poor's (S&P), Moody's Investors Service, Fitch Rating Services, DBRS and Kroll Bond Rating Agency, Inc. have been approved by OSFI. Currently, external ratings are used to determine the RWA amounts associated with our wholesale exposures under the asset classes of corporate, sovereign, public sector entities, multilateral development banks, banks and securities firms. As well, external ratings are used for determining the risk weighting for certain of our securitizations exposures.

External ratings utilized from the above-mentioned rating agencies are either an issuer rating or an issue-specific rating. We rely on an issue-specific rating if it is available for the purposes of determining RWA for the exposure we hold. We utilize the issuer rating only for our exposures which rank pari-passu with senior claims of the issuer.

Our supervisor, OSFI specifies in its CAR guideline the required standard mapping of long term external ratings of the above rating agencies to an equivalent risk weight. We rely on OSFI's mapping to determine the appropriate risk buckets for our Standardized Approach portfolios under the guideline. OSFI's current mapping of external rating agencies rating is reflected in the table below:

		Long-term ratir	ng		
Standardized Risk Weight Category	S&P	Moody's	Fitch	DBRS	Kroll
Long Term					
(AAA to AA-)	AAA to AA-	Aaa to Aa3	AAA to AA-	AAA to AA (low)	AAA to AA-
(A+ to A-)	A+ to A-	A1 to A3	A+ to A-	A(high) to A(low)	A+ to A-
(BBB+ to BBB-)	BBB+ to BBB-	Baa1 to Baa3	BBB+ to BBB-	BBB(high) to BBB(low)	BBB+ to BBB-
(BB+ to BB-)	BB+ to BB-	Ba1 to Ba3	BB+ to BB-	BB(high) to BB(low)	BB+ to BB-
(B+ to B-)	B+ to B-	B1 to B3	B+ to B-	B(high) to B(low)	B+ to B-
(Below B-)	Below B-	Below B3	Below B-	CCC or lower	Below B-

We understand that OSFI reviews the list of acceptable rating agencies and will reflect any changes in allowed rating agencies in its revisions to the CAR guidelines.



CR4: Standardized approach - credit risk exposure and credit risk mitigation (CRM) effects

The following table provides the effect of CRM on the calculation of capital requirements under the standardized approach. It presents on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet exposures before and after credit conversion factors (CCF) and CRM as well as associated RWA and RWA density by asset classes. As noted in CRD, the external ratings of the counterparty is relied on to determine the prescribed regulatory risk weight to be assigned.

As at January 31, 2023

	·	а	b	С	d	е	f
	(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)		es before nd CRM		sures and CRM	RWA and R	WA density
	Asset Classes	On-balance sheet amount	Off-balance sheet amount	On-balance sheet amount	Off-balance sheet amount	RWA	RWA density
1	Sovereigns and their central banks ¹	34,166	260	50,071	42	93	0.2%
2	Non-central government public sector entities	14,471	371	14,470	179	2,773	18.9%
3	Multilateral development banks	4,446	-	4,446	-	10	0.2%
4	Banks	4,334	647	4,333	242	1,096	24.0%
5	Securities firms ¹	1,280	1,765	2,452	234	1,065	39.7%
6	Corporates ¹	74,119	50,514	61,720	11,802	71,389	97.1%
7	Regulatory retail portfolios	10,165	5,785	10,165	418	8,069	76.2%
8	Secured by residential property ¹	51,484	19	34,408	-	12,864	37.4%
9	Secured by commercial real estate	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Past-due loans	585	25	583	2	793	135.6%
12	Higher-risk categories	258	298	258	117	563	150.0%
13	Other assets	23,021	-	23,021	-	23,225	100.9%
14	Total	218,329	59,684	205,927	13,036	121,940	55.7%

¹ When CRM is available in the form of an eligible guarantee, the portion that is covered by the guarantee will attract the risk weight of the protection provider and will be reflected in the protection provider's asset class in column c and d. Exposures acquired through the US Government Paycheck Protection Program have been excluded, as required by OSFI.

		а	b	С	d	е	f
	(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)		es before nd CRM		sures and CRM	RWA and R	WA density
	Asset Classes	On-balance sheet amount	Off-balance sheet amount	On-balance sheet amount	Off-balance sheet amount	RWA	RWA density
1	Sovereigns and their central banks ¹	37,023	337	52,791	43	79	0.1%
2	Non-central government public sector entities	15,305	427	15,305	187	2,955	19.1%
3	Multilateral development banks	4,380	-	4,380	-	-	-
4	Banks	4,376	545	4,376 2,772	190 929	1,032	22.6%
5	Securities firms ¹	1,707	2,355			1,250	33.8%
6	Corporates ¹	74,431	51,086	61,249	11,790	71,083	97.3%
7	Regulatory retail portfolios	10,334	5,926	10,334	432	8,233	76.5%
8	Secured by residential property ¹	51,523	20	34,602	-	12,931	37.4%
9	Secured by commercial real estate	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Past-due loans	466	23	466	2	614	131.2%
12	Higher-risk categories	268	288	268	109	565	150.0%
13	Other assets	21,960	-	21,960	-	23,097	105.2%
14	Total	221,773	61,007	208,503	13,682	121,839	54.8%

¹ When CRM is available in the form of an eligible guarantee, the portion that is covered by the guarantee will attract the risk weight of the protection provider and will be reflected in the protection provider's asset class in column c and d. Exposures acquired through the US Government Paycheck Protection Program have been excluded, as required by OSFI.



CR5: Standardized approach – exposures by asset classes and risk weights

The following table presents the breakdown of credit risk exposures under the standardized approach by asset classes and risk weight.

AS	at January 31, 2023										
		а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j
	Risk weight Asset Classes (Millions of Canadian dollars)	0%	10%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Others	Total credit exposures amount (post CCF and post-CRM)
1	Sovereigns and their central banks	50,020	-	-		-	-	93	-	-	50,113
2	Non-central government public sector entities	1,200	-	13,344	-	1	-	104	-	-	14,649
3	Multilateral development banks	4,396	-	50	-	-	-	-	-		4,446
4	Banks	-	-	4,338	ı	20	-	219	-	1	4,577
5	Securities firms	-	-	1,584	-	707	-	394	-	ı	2,685
6	Corporates	-	-	1,489	1,432	21	-	70,579	-	-	73,521
7	Regulatory retail portfolios	-	-	-	ı	Ī	10,054	529	-	1	10,583
8	Secured by residential property	-	-	-	32,356	-	2,052	-	-	ı	34,408
9	Secured by commercial real estate	-	-	-	ı	ı	ı	ı	-	ı	-
10	Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Past-due loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	171	414	-	585
12	Higher-risk categories	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	375	-	375
13	Other assets	3,803	-	64	-	_	-	18,801	-	353	23,021
14	Total	59,419	-	20,869	33,788	749	12,106	90,890	789	353	218,963



	at October 31, 2022	а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j
	Risk weight Asset Classes (Millions of Canadian dollars)	0%	10%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Others	Total credit exposures amount (post CCF and post-CRM)
1	Sovereigns and their central banks	52,755	-	-	-	-	-	79	-	-	52,834
2	Non-central government public sector entities	1,326	-	14,013	-	-	-	153	-	-	15,492
3	Multilateral development banks	4,380	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,380
4	Banks	-	-	4,407	-	16	-	143	-	-	4,566
5	Securities firms	-	-	2,622	-	708	-	371	-	-	3,701
6	Corporates	-	-	1,276	1,428	14	-	70,321	ı	-	73,039
7	Regulatory retail portfolios	-	-	ı	ı	Ī	10,135	631	Ī	-	10,766
8	Secured by residential property	-	-		32,551	-	2,051		-	-	34,602
9	Secured by commercial real estate	-	-	ı	-	ı	-	-	ı	-	-
10	Equity	-	-	ı	1	Ī	-	1	Ī	-	-
11	Past-due loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	176	292	-	468
12	Higher-risk categories	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	377	-	377
13	Other assets	2,832	-	-	-	-	-	18,783	-	345	21,960
14	Total	61,293	-	22,318	33,979	738	12,186	90,657	669	345	222,185



CRE: Qualitative disclosures related to internal risk-based (IRB) models

In measuring credit risk to determine regulatory capital, two principal approaches applied are: the Internal Ratings Based (IRB) approach and the Standardized approach. The majority of our credit risk exposures are reported under the IRB approach, as approved by OSFI. The remainder of our portfolios are reported under the Standardized approach. The Standardized approach uses risk weights prescribed by OSFI to calculate RWA for credit risk exposures.

Under the IRB approach, we determine our own estimates for Probability of Default (PD), Loss Given Default (LGD) and Exposure at Default (EAD). They are the key credit parameters that form the basis of our credit risk measures. Internal ratings for borrower facilities and their corresponding estimates are used for credit approval, risk management, internal capital allocations, and corporate governance functions. In addition, the IRB parameter estimates are critical inputs for enterprise and regulatory stress-testing.

In accordance with the IRB approach for credit risk, models are designed for wholesale and retail portfolios. For Wholesale portfolios, a PD is estimated for each internal borrower grade and LGD and EAD parameters are estimated for each credit facility. For Retail portfolios, borrowers are risk rated using internal credit scoring models. Credit scores are one of the key drivers for segmentation of the portfolios into pools. Retail PD, EAD and LGD parameters are estimated at the pool level. All IRB approach regulatory capital models for wholesale and retail credit risk are subject to approval by the Office of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions (OSFI).

Credit parameter estimates are based on our internal historical default and loan loss experience and are augmented by external data where appropriate. We employ a two-dimensional risk rating system for the majority of our credit portfolios. The first dimension is oriented to the risk of borrower default and quantified through the PD assigned to the borrower. The second dimension captures transaction-specific factors such as collateral, product type, and seniority, and is quantified by LGD and EAD estimates that apply at the credit facility level.

PD is an estimated percentage that represents the likelihood of default of an obligor within a given time period for a specific rating grade or for a particular pool of exposure. Each wholesale obligor is assigned a Borrower Risk Rating (BRR), reflecting an assessment of the credit quality of the obligor and each BRR has a PD calibrated against it. The assignment of BRRs is based on the evaluation of the obligor's business risk and financial risk and is based on fundamental credit analysis, as well as data-driven modelling. PD estimates are designed to be a long-run average of our experience across the economic cycle with margins of conservatism related to the likely range of errors. The BRR differentiates the riskiness of obligors and represents our evaluation of the obligors' ability and willingness to meet their contractual obligations on time over a three-year time horizon.

EAD is an amount expected to be owed by an obligor at the time of default. EAD is estimated based on the current exposure to the obligor and the possible future changes in that exposure driven by factors such as the nature of the credit commitment. Rates are estimated to reflect an economic downturn, with added conservatism to reflect data and statistical uncertainties identified in the modelling process.

LGD is an estimated percentage of EAD that is not expected to be recovered during the collection and recovery process. Each credit facility is assigned an LGD rate reflective of the extent of losses anticipated in the event the obligor defaults. Factors used in estimating LGD include seniority of debt, collateral security, and the industry sector in which the obligor operates. Estimated LGD rates draw primarily on internal loss experience and appropriate external data is used to supplement the estimation process when necessary. LGD rates are estimated to reflect conditions that might be expected to prevail in an economic downturn, with additional conservatism added to reflect data limitations and statistical uncertainties identified in the estimation process.

The IRB credit risk parameters are reviewed, at a minimum, annually and more frequently if deemed necessary by an independent validation team within the bank. In addition, quarterly monitoring and back-testing procedures are performed to compare the realized results with established estimates by the model development team.



CRE: Qualitative disclosures related to internal risk-based (IRB) models (continued)

EAD Covered by the Various Approaches

The following table outlines the percentage of our EAD covered by the IRB and Standardized approaches for each of our portfolios. The Foundation Internal Ratings Based (FIRB) approach is currently not applied. This table reflects the methodology outlined by OSFI for IRB banks to determine whether they are meeting the 80% threshold calculation minimum requirement.

As at January 31, 2023

710 dt bandary 01, 2020			
	EAD cov	rered by the various app	proaches
EAD (in %)	Standardized Approach ¹	IRB Approach	Other
Retail			
Residential secured	14%	86%	-
Qualifying revolving	=	100%	-
Other retail	4%	96%	-
Wholesale	-	-	-
Corporate	18%	82%	-
Sovereign	15%	85%	-
Bank	18%	82%	-
Equity	=	100%	-
Total credit risk	13%	87%	-
Counterparty credit risk	=	83%	17%
Securitization	35%	65%	-
Other assets not subject to Standardized or IRB Approaches	-	-	100%
Total ²	9%	91%	-

¹ Standardized Approach includes assumptions and waivers granted by OSFI based on an OSFI approved rollout plan.

	EAD cov	ered by the various app	roaches
EAD (in %)	Standardized Approach ¹	IRB Approach	Other
Retail			
Residential secured	14%	86%	-
Qualifying revolving	-	100%	-
Other retail	4%	96%	-
Wholesale	-	-	-
Corporate	18%	82%	-
Sovereign	17%	83%	-
Bank	22%	78%	-
Equity	-	100%	-
Total credit risk	13%	87%	-
Counterparty credit risk	-	80%	20%
Securitization	37%	63%	-
Other assets not subject to Standardized or IRB Approaches	-	-	100%
Total ²	9%	91%	-

¹ Standardized Approach includes assumptions and waivers granted by OSFI based on an OSFI approved rollout plan.

²The total for this table is calculated using OSFI's guidance on what exposures are included in the 80% Threshold Calculation for IRB banks.

² The total for this table is calculated using OSFI's guidance on what exposures are included in the 80% Threshold Calculation for IRB banks.



CRE: Qualitative disclosures related to internal risk-based (IRB) models (continued)

Parameters Governance

The techniques used to develop models are in accordance with banking industry standards and regulatory requirements. We calibrate our models to ensure that variations of default rates through an economic cycle are included in the underlying data. We also build conservatism into our model development process to reflect statistical uncertainties.

Our models have Model Development Owners (MDO) who are accountable for the development and performance of models within the framework set by our policies, standards and procedures. MDOs are responsible for collecting, defining and documenting model requirements, collecting and reviewing data, testing and evaluating, designing model performance monitoring, and documenting.

Our models are required to be independently reviewed and comprehensively evaluated by the Enterprise Model Risk Management (EMRM) team. EMRM is responsible for the review and challenge of the methodology underpinning the estimation of the parameters. EMRM issues a report at the end of each validation exercise that documents the scope, approach and findings of the review. The parameters reviewed by EMRM are presented to the Credit Models Governance Committee and approved by RBC's senior risk management committee.

Back-testing of Parameters and Model Performance

The IRB credit risk parameters are reviewed, at a minimum, annually and more frequently if deemed necessary. In order to ensure that any material events are identified in a timely fashion, we engage in regular monitoring of realized results against established estimates. In cases where the actual results exceed predefined thresholds, a review of the results will be conducted and documented which may lead to a re-calibration of the parameters. Any recommended changes to the parameters would be approved by the Credit Models Governance Committee, and OSFI as applicable.



The following table provides the key parameters used for the calculation of capital requirements for credit risk exposures under the IRB approach, broken down by asset class and PD range.

		а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	1
(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	PD scale ¹	Original on- balance sheet gross exposure	Off-balance sheet exposures pre CCF	Average CCF (%)	EAD post CRM and post-CCF	Average PD (%)	Number of obligors ²	Average LGD (%)	Average maturity (in years)	RWA	RWA density (%)	EL	Provision
Asset Classes													
Sovereigns													
	0.00 to < 0.15	292,985	23,982	53.54	383,408	0.02	1,030	17.27	1.98	14,402	3.8	12	
	0.15 to < 0.25	119	75	57.75	162	0.24	36	28.86	2.19	51	31.3	-	
	0.25 to < 0.50	352	15	53.10	360	0.49	227	26.04	2.60	156	43.4	-	
	0.50 to < 0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	0.75 to < 2.50	100	97	50.81	112	1.37	42	34.04	2.90	93	82.3	1	
	2.50 to < 10.00	-	6	60.56	4	5.40	9	58.55	1.02	7	186.1	-	
	10.00 to < 100.00	-	3	56.74	2	18.42	6	47.48	1.06	4	245.0	-	
	100.00 (default)	39	-	15.25	39	100.00	7	44.94	2.49	1	1.5	35	
Total Sovereigns		293,595	24,178	53.54	384,087	0.03	1,357	17.29	1.98	14,714	3.8	48	
Banks													
	0.00 to < 0.15	23,960	2,658	51.10	34,798	0.05	248	32.44	2.43	5,938	17.1	4	
	0.15 to < 0.25	101	90	51.61	158	0.24	13	54.87	3.30	124	78.2	-	
	0.25 to < 0.50	34	403	47.82	221	0.49	30	34.21	1.04	101	45.4	-	
	0.50 to < 0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	0.75 to < 2.50	185	178	50.27	291	1.25	38	49.09	1.85	311	106.7	2	
	2.50 to < 10.00	54	12	50.30	59	2.76	9	44.51	1.50	73	124.0	1	
	10.00 to < 100.00	-	-	-	-	30.86	3	45.00	1.00	1	277.1	-	
	100.00 (default)	-	-	-	-	100.00	4	45.00	1.09	1	596.3	-	
Total Banks		24,334	3,341	50.67	35,527	0.07	345	32.71	2.42	6,549	18.4	7	
Corporates													
	0.00 to < 0.15	78,089	213,759	52.30	189,198	0.08	26,520	39.93	2.28	47,042	24.9	59	
	0.15 to < 0.25	30,908	29,606	49.13	42,183	0.24	9,132	37.30	2.54	18,528	43.9	38	
	0.25 to < 0.50	26,681	21,658	50.04	35,250	0.49	8,220	33.61	2.46	18,317	52.0	58	
	0.50 to < 0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	0.75 to < 2.50	75,110	60,418	47.68	92,988	1.34	21,813	34.93	2.44	71,948	77.4	437	
	2.50 to < 10.00	13,514	12,727	49.54	15,735	4.01	6,790	35.32	2.55	16,966	107.8	218	
	10.00 to < 100.00	1,227	676	51.97	1,366	26.43	1,345	37.96	1.96	2,663	195.0	136	
	100.00 (default)	1,275	761	38.92	1,446	100.00	1,297	37.14	2.08	4,216	291.5	273	
Total Corporates		226,805	339,604	50.92	378,166	1.09	75,117	37.61	2.38	179,680	47.5	1,219	1,
Total Wholesale		544,734	367,123	51.09	797.780	0.53	76.819	27.61	2.19	200.943	25.2	1,274	1,

¹ Refer to "Internal ratings map" under the Credit Risk Assessment section in our 2022 Annual Report MD&A.

² Number of obligors is defined as the number of borrowers in each PD band. For Retail exposures, a borrower can appear in multiple PD bands if the borrower has more than one type of product with the bank. In addition, Retail obligors include borrowers where the portion of the exposure has been securitized given CAR guideline requirements related to retained interests. Wholesale obligors are reflected as unique borrowers. For example, sovereign obligors include central banks or agencies, public sector entities and multilateral development banks which are each reflected as unique borrowers in the sovereign asset class. Retail borrowers with both Visa and Mastercard are counted as one borrower in the asset class qualifying

³ Provisions reflect IFRS 9, Stage 1, 2 & 3 allowances under IRB portfolio.



	1 and 3 nd		а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	i	k	1
	(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	PD scale ¹	Original on- balance sheet gross exposure	Off-balance sheet exposures pre CCF	Average CCF (%)	EAD post CRM and post-CCF	Average PD (%)	Number of obligors ²	Average LGD (%)	Average maturity (in years)	RWA	RWA density (%)	EL	Provisions ³
	Asset Classes													
5	Retail insured exposure secured by real estate													
		0.00 to < 0.15	13,551			1,087	0.14	95,783	16.44		58	5.4	-	
		0.15 to < 0.25	-			-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
		0.25 to < 0.50	43,576			1,931	0.32	179,153	20.01		233	12.0	1	
		0.50 to < 0.75	-			-	-	•	-		-	-	-	
		0.75 to < 2.50	5,677			121	1.24	27,167	14.11		26	21.3	-	
		2.50 to < 10.00	2,440			3	4.96	14,494	14.69		1	49.8	-	
		10.00 to < 100.00	507			2	29.74	2,583	16.17		2	95.2	-	
		100.00 (default)	171			2	100.00	1,094	13.53		4	179.3	-	
	Total Retail insured exposure secured by real estate		65,923			3,146	0.39	320,274	18.54		324	10.3	2	7
6	Uninsured residential mortgages													
		0.00 to < 0.15	219,098	282	100.00	219,380	0.13	643,362	18.43		12,219	5.6	51	
		0.15 to < 0.25	142	76	100.00	218	0.22	87	72.24		72	32.9	-	
		0.25 to < 0.50	17	124	100.00	141	0.34	536	17.14		17	11.8	-	
		0.50 to < 0.75	-	-	-	-	-	•	-		-	-	-	
		0.75 to < 2.50	16,181	202	100.00	16,383	0.91	45,857	19.26		3,930	24.0	29	
		2.50 to < 10.00	4,358	8	100.00	4,367	4.30	15,794	18.52		2,570	58.9	35	
		10.00 to < 100.00	1,041	1	100.00	1,042	24.48	3,556	18.07		1,079	103.6	46	
		100.00 (default)	208	-	-	208	100.00	941	17.28		32	15.2	38	
	Total Uninsured residential mortgages		241,045	693	100.00	241,738	0.45	710,133	18.53		19,919	8.2	199	221

¹ Refer to "Internal ratings map" under the Credit Risk Assessment section in our 2022 Annual Report MD&A.

² Number of obligors is defined as the number of borrowers in each PD band. For Retail exposures, a borrower can appear in multiple PD bands if the borrower has more than one type of product with the bank. In addition, Retail obligors include borrowers where the portion of the exposure has been securitized given CAR guideline requirements related to retained interests. Wholesale obligors are reflected as unique borrowers. For example, sovereign obligors include central banks or agencies, public sector entities and multilateral development banks which are each reflected as unique borrowers in the sovereign asset class. Retail borrowers with both Visa and Mastercard are counted as one borrower in the asset class qualifying

³ Provisions reflect IFRS 9, Stage 1, 2 & 3 allowances under IRB portfolio.



			а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	
	(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	PD scale ¹	Original on- balance sheet gross exposure	Off-balance sheet exposures pre CCF	Average CCF (%)	EAD post CRM and post-CCF	Average PD (%)	Number of obligors ²	Average LGD (%)	Average maturity (in years)	RWA	RWA density (%)	EL	Provisions
	Asset Classes													
7	HELOCs													
		0.00 to < 0.15	31,807	118,142	91.51	139,916	0.08	842,658	24.34		7,357	5.3	28	
		0.15 to < 0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
		0.25 to < 0.50	-	-	-	ı	-	-	-		-	-	ı	
		0.50 to < 0.75	2,156	1,543	92.04	3,576	0.71	38,049	24.81		936	26.2	6	
		0.75 to < 2.50	-	-	-	ı	-	-	-		-	-	ı	
		2.50 to < 10.00	840	299	91.73	1,114	4.52	12,770	25.06		884	79.4	13	
		10.00 to < 100.00	166	14	93.42	180	33.04	1,341	24.05		257	143.1	14	
		100.00 (default)	74	1	-	74	100.00	651	25.51		43	58.7	19	
	Total HELOCs		35,043	119,999	91.51	144,860	0.22	895,469	24.36		9,477	6.5	80	7
В	Qualifying revolving retail													
		0.00 to < 0.15	8,284	57,988	77.16	53,030	0.12	4,894,741	93.97		3,584	6.8	58	
		0.15 to < 0.25	9,289	43,362	84.07	45,745	0.18	3,422,665	88.19		4,237	9.3	74	
		0.25 to < 0.50	1,027	6,059	97.07	6,910	0.39	3,817,952	88.06		1,179	17.1	24	
		0.50 to < 0.75	28	121	93.12	141	0.59	7,706	101.52		38	27.3	1	
		0.75 to < 2.50	8,561	9,448	82.15	16,323	1.28	2,288,306	91.26		7,163	43.9	190	
		2.50 to < 10.00	4,139	2,461	77.40	6,043	3.74	1,247,735	90.38		5,658	93.6	202	
		10.00 to < 100.00	985	361	51.38	1,171	28.71	437,584	91.26		3,114	265.9	306	
		100.00 (default)	65	-	-	65	100.00	40,187	87.11		166	255.2	44	
	Total Qualifying revolving retail		32,378	119,802	81.01	129,428	0.78	16,156,876	91.08		25,139	19.4	898	1,38
9	Other retail													
		0.00 to < 0.15	47,743	5,402	85.02	52,304	0.12	372,259	30.70		4,803	9.2	19	
		0.15 to < 0.25	3,827	9,438	86.13	11,957	0.21	142,175	80.40		4,159	34.8	20	
		0.25 to < 0.50	10,484	1,396	109.91	11,840	0.32	434,526	64.34		4,474	37.8	25	
		0.50 to < 0.75	2,404	1,057	91.15	2,706	0.59	146,403	82.01		1,844	68.1	13	
T		0.75 to < 2.50	16,984	3,576	93.03	19,459	1.16	456,899	57.09		12,709	65.3	137	
		2.50 to < 10.00	5,369	1,410	87.65	6,013	4.07	205,358	62.83		5,734	95.4	151	
		10.00 to < 100.00	1,504	282	97.19	1,521	18.39	38,089	58.79		1,919	126.2	174	
		100.00 (default)	259	35	-	132	100.00	8,940	73.31		252	190.5	80	
	Total Other retail		88,574	22,596	88.76	105,932	0.97	1,804,649	48.51		35,894	33.9	618	61
0	Total retail		462,963	263,090	86.52	625,104	0.55	19,887,401	40.00	-	90,753	14.5	1,797	2,31
寸	Total		1,007,697	630,213	65.80	1,422,884	0.55	19,964,220	33.42	2.19	291,696	20.8	3,071	3,563

¹ Refer to "Internal ratings map" under the Credit Risk Assessment section in our 2022 Annual Report MD&A.

² Number of obligors is defined as the number of borrowers in each PD band. For Retail exposures, a borrower can appear in multiple PD bands if the borrower has more than one type of product with the bank. In addition, Retail obligors include borrowers where the portion of the exposure has been securitized given CAR guideline requirements related to retained interests. Wholesale obligors are reflected as unique borrowers. For example, sovereign obligors include central banks or agencies, public sector entities and multilateral development banks which are each reflected as unique borrowers in the sovereign asset class. Retail borrowers with both Visa and Mastercard are counted as one borrower in the asset class qualifying

³ Provisions reflect IFRS 9, Stage 1, 2 & 3 allowances under IRB portfolio.



		а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	1
(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	PD scale ¹	Original on- balance sheet gross exposure	Off-balance sheet exposures pre CCF	Average CCF (%)	EAD post CRM and post-CCF	Average PD (%)	Number of obligors ²	Average LGD (%)	Average maturity (in years)	RWA	RWA density (%)	EL	Provision
Asset Classes													
Sovereigns													
	0.00 to < 0.15	269,508	25,305	52.08	361,083	0.02	1,437	17.29	2.00	13,544	3.8	11	
	0.15 to < 0.25	107	87	56.12	156	0.24	81	26.30	2.02	43	27.7	-	
	0.25 to < 0.50	519	12	49.96	524	0.49	471	26.16	2.64	231	44.0	1	
	0.50 to < 0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	0.75 to < 2.50	109	96	50.34	120	1.14	68	33.69	2.94	94	78.2	-	
	2.50 to < 10.00	-	8	51.63	4	6.02	14	42.57	1.04	6	148.7	-	
	10.00 to < 100.00	-	2	56.44	1	18.71	6	48.15	1.10	4	249.6	-	
	100.00 (default)	39	69	0.10	39	100.00	4	60.00	2.49	1	1.5	35	
Total Sovereigns		270,282	25,579	51.94	361,927	0.03	2,081	17.32	2.00	13,923	3.8	47	
Banks							<u> </u>						
	0.00 to < 0.15	21,178	2,820	50.71	31,951	0.06	251	33.19	2.47	5,610	17.6	3	
	0.15 to < 0.25	43	91	51.63	101	0.24	15	49.72	1.25	46	45.4	-	
	0.25 to < 0.50	367	358	47.50	535	0.49	26	39.57	1.01	264	49.3	1	
	0.50 to < 0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	0.75 to < 2.50	175	221	51.50	303	1.23	41	47.99	2.10	327	108.0	2	
	2.50 to < 10.00	59	15	50.01	65	2.78	9	44.59	1.61	84	128.5	1	
	10.00 to < 100.00	-	-	-	-	30.41	3	45.00	1.00	1	276.7	-	
	100.00 (default)	-	-	-	-	100.00	3	45.00	1.09	1	596.3	-	
Total Banks		21,822	3,505	50.45	32,955	0.08	348	33.51	2.44	6,333	19.2	7	
Corporates													
	0.00 to < 0.15	77,718	204,050	52.47	183,942	0.08	25,981	39.92	2.29	45,956	25.0	57	
	0.15 to < 0.25	29,873	30,732	49.16	42,097	0.24	9,044	37.17	2.60	18,467	43.9	38	
	0.25 to < 0.50	27,265	21,878	50.51	35,892	0.49	8,282	33.48	2.58	18,963	52.8	58	
	0.50 to < 0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	0.75 to < 2.50	69,585	61,254	47.39	88,123	1.34	21,316	35.02	2.39	67,609	76.7	416	
	2.50 to < 10.00	13,531	13,177	49.08	15,914	3.94	7,092	35.70	2.67	17,520	110.1	219	
	10.00 to < 100.00	1,032	540	51.07	1,119	26.04	1,099	37.23	1.75	2,116	189.2	109	
	100.00 (default)	1,040	882	33.53	1,196	100.00	1,262	36.49	2.08	3,412	285.2	209	
Total Corporates	, , ,	220,045	332,514	50.91	368,283	1.01	74,076	37.60	2.39	174,043	47.3	1,105	1
Total Wholesale		512,149	361,598	50.98	763,165	0.51	76,505	27.81	2.21	194,299	25.5	1,159	1

¹Refer to "Internal ratings map" under the Credit Risk Assessment section in our 2022 Annual Report MD&A.

² Number of obligors is defined as the number of borrowers in each PD band. For Retail exposures, a borrower can appear in multiple PD bands if the borrower has more than one type of product with the bank. In addition, Retail obligors include borrowers where the portion of the exposure has been securitized given CAR guideline requirements related to retained interests. Wholesale obligors are reflected as unique borrowers. For example, sovereign obligors include central banks or agencies, public sector entities and multilateral development banks which are each reflected as unique borrowers in the sovereign asset class. Retail borrowers with both Visa and Mastercard are counted as one borrower in the asset class qualifying revolving retail.

³ Provisions reflect IFRS 9, Stage 1, 2 & 3 allowances under IRB portfolio.



		а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	1
(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	PD scale ¹	Original on- balance sheet gross exposure	Off-balance sheet exposures pre CCF	Average CCF (%)	EAD post CRM and post-CCF	Average PD (%)	Number of obligors ²	Average LGD (%)	Average maturity (in years)	RWA	RWA density (%)	EL	Provisions
Asset Classes													
Retail insured exposure secured by real estate													
	0.00 to < 0.15	14,191			1,135	0.14	99,314	16.53		61	5.4	-	
	0.15 to < 0.25	-			-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
	0.25 to < 0.50	43,736			1,910	0.32	180,189	22.30		256	13.4	1	
	0.50 to < 0.75	-			-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
	0.75 to < 2.50	6,073			110	1.22	28,713	14.40		24	21.5	-	
	2.50 to < 10.00	2,527			3	4.99	15,056	16.03		1	54.4	-	
	10.00 to < 100.00	485			2	30.27	2,557	16.30		2	96.2	-	
	100.00 (default)	164			2	100.00	1,052	15.97		4	211.6	-	
Total Retail insured exposure secured by real estate		67,176			3,162	0.37	326,881	19.94		348	11.0	2	
Uninsured residential mortgages													
	0.00 to < 0.15	215,672	293	100.00	215,965	0.13	637,306	18.46		12,051	5.6	51	
	0.15 to < 0.25	149	68	100.00	217	0.22	94	72.24		71	32.9	-	
	0.25 to < 0.50	19	158	100.00	178	0.34	653	16.57		20	11.3	-	
	0.50 to < 0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
	0.75 to < 2.50	17,326	233	100.00	17,559	0.91	48,119	20.13		4,402	25.1	32	
	2.50 to < 10.00	4,368	15	100.00	4,382	4.28	16,004	18.59		2,585	59.0	35	
	10.00 to < 100.00	915	1	100.00	915	23.91	3,426	17.93		933	101.9	39	
	100.00 (default)	178	-	-	178	100.00	844	17.72		31	17.7	33	
Total Uninsured residential mortgages		238,627	768	100.00	239,394	0.43	706,446	18.63		20,093	8.4	190	1

¹ Refer to "Internal ratings map" under the Credit Risk Assessment section in our 2022 Annual Report MD&A.

² Number of obligors is defined as the number of borrowers in each PD band. For Retail exposures, a borrower can appear in multiple PD bands if the borrower has more than one type of product with the bank. In addition, Retail obligors include borrowers where the portion of the exposure has been securitized given CAR guideline requirements related to retained interests. Wholesale obligors are reflected as unique borrowers. For example, sovereign obligors include central banks or agencies, public sector entities and multilateral development banks which are each reflected as unique borrowers in the sovereign asset class. Retail borrowers with both Visa and Mastercard are counted as one borrower in the asset class qualifying

³ Provisions reflect IFRS 9, Stage 1, 2 & 3 allowances under IRB portfolio.



		а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	
(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	PD scale ¹	Original on- balance sheet gross exposure	Off-balance sheet exposures pre CCF	Average CCF (%)	EAD post CRM and post-CCF	Average PD (%)	Number of obligors ²	Average LGD (%)	Average maturity (in years)	RWA	RWA density (%)	EL	Provisions
Asset Classes													
7 HELOCs													
	0.00 to < 0.15	32,617	114,845	91.48	137,677	0.08	837,726	24.43		7,267	5.3	28	
	0.15 to < 0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
	0.25 to < 0.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
	0.50 to < 0.75	2,211	1,624	92.00	3,705	0.71	40,122	25.13		983	26.5	7	
	0.75 to < 2.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
	2.50 to < 10.00	852	295	91.59	1,122	4.47	12,992	25.20		890	79.4	13	
	10.00 to < 100.00	137	12	93.09	149	33.27	1,085	24.08		213	143.2	12	
	100.00 (default)	66	1	-	66	100.00	584	25.32		38	57.0	17	
Total HELOCs		35,883	116,779	91.49	142,719	0.21	892,509	24.46		9,391	6.6	76	7
Qualifying revolving retail													
	0.00 to < 0.15	8,616	56,204	77.01	51,898	0.12	4,824,082	93.96		3,506	6.8	56	
	0.15 to < 0.25	9,296	42,726	84.07	45,216	0.18	3,391,636	88.18		4,188	9.3	73	
	0.25 to < 0.50	994	5,993	97.02	6,809	0.39	3,778,185	88.05		1,162	17.1	23	
	0.50 to < 0.75	26	115	93.47	134	0.59	7,353	101.26		37	27.3	1	
	0.75 to < 2.50	8,380	9,390	82.11	16,090	1.28	2,278,680	91.23		7,067	43.9	187	
	2.50 to < 10.00	4,155	2,522	76.59	6,087	3.74	1,257,008	90.37		5,703	93.7	204	
	10.00 to < 100.00	948	365	50.31	1,131	28.47	439,336	91.37		3,010	266.0	294	
	100.00 (default)	58	-	-	58	100.00	37,343	86.90		159	273.5	39	
Total Qualifying revolving retail		32,474	117,315	80.94	127,423	0.77	16,013,623	91.06		24,832	19.5	877	1,29
Other retail													
	0.00 to < 0.15	46,737	5,183	85.32	51,126	0.12	361,800	30.84		4,690	9.2	19	
	0.15 to < 0.25	3,732	9,078	86.03	11,542	0.21	138,431	80.53		4,018	34.8	19	
	0.25 to < 0.50	10,317	1,378	109.91	11,649	0.32	431,814	64.39		4,408	37.8	24	
	0.50 to < 0.75	2,333	1,051	91.62	2,661	0.59	144,801	82.59		1,825	68.6	13	
	0.75 to < 2.50	17,260	3,687	93.05	19,863	1.16	461,203	56.84		12,899	64.9	138	
	2.50 to < 10.00	5,600	1,445	87.52	6,251	4.02	206,613	62.07		5,878	94.0	153	
	10.00 to < 100.00	1,496	299	97.28	1,528	17.61	37,991	58.48		1,887	123.6	166	
	100.00 (default)	211	35	-	105	100.00	8,217	72.28		194	185.1	63	
Total Other retail		87,686	22,155	88.89	104,725	0.95	1,790,870	48.60		35,799	34.2	595	60
0 Total retail		461,846	257,017	86.47	617,423	0.54	19,730,329	40.02	-	90,463	14.7	1,740	2,17
Total		973.995	618.615	65.73	1.380.588	0.52	19.806.834	33.27	2.21	284.762	20.6	2.899	3.561

¹ Refer to "Internal ratings map" under the Credit Risk Assessment section in our 2022 Annual Report MD&A.

² Number of obligors is defined as the number of borrowers in each PD band. For Retail exposures, a borrower can appear in multiple PD bands if the borrower has more than one type of product with the bank. In addition, Retail obligors include borrowers where the portion of the exposure has been securitized given CAR guideline requirements related to retained interests. Wholesale obligors are reflected as unique borrowers. For example, sovereign obligors include central banks or agencies, public sector entities and multilateral development banks which are each reflected as unique borrowers in the sovereign asset class. Retail borrowers with both Visa and Mastercard are counted as one borrower in the asset class qualifying

³ Provisions reflect IFRS 9, Stage 1, 2 & 3 allowances under IRB portfolio.



CR7: IRB - Effect on RWA of credit derivatives used as CRM techniques

The following table provides the effect of credit derivatives used as mitigation techniques in determining RWA amounts. Currently no credit derivatives are used for mitigation.

As at January 31, 2023

		а	b
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	Pre-credit derivatives RWA	Actual RWA
2	Sovereign - AIRB	-	-
4	Banks - AIRB	-	-
6	Corporate - AIRB	-	-
8	Specialised lending - AIRB	-	-
9	Retail - qualifying revolving (QRRE)	-	-
10	Retail - residential mortgage exposures	-	-
11	Retail - SME	-	-
12	Other retail exposures	-	-
14	Equity - AIRB	-	-
16	Purchased receivables - AIRB	-	-
17	Total	-	-

As at October 31, 2022

		а	b
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	Pre-credit derivatives RWA	Actual RWA
2	Sovereign - AIRB	-	-
4	Banks - AIRB	-	-
6	Corporate - AIRB	-	-
8	Specialised lending - AIRB	-	-
9	Retail - qualifying revolving (QRRE)	-	-
10	Retail - residential mortgage exposures	-	-
11	Retail - SME	-	-
12	Other retail exposures	-	-
14	Equity - AIRB	-	-
16	Purchased receivables - AIRB	-	-
17	Total	-	-

CR8: RWA flow statements of credit risk exposures

The following table presents the changes in Standardized and IRB RWA amounts over the reporting period for the key drivers of credit risk.

		RWA an	nounts ¹
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	As at January 31, 2023	As at October 31, 2022
1	RWA as at end of previous reporting period	446,254	429,452
2	Asset size ²	14,231	7,020
3	Asset quality ³	709	(750)
4	Model updates ⁴	-	-
5	Methodology and policy ⁵	-	-
6	Acquisitions and disposals	-	377
7	Foreign exchange movements	(3,130)	11,568
8	Other	(459)	(1,413)
9	RWA as at end of reporting period	457,605	446,254

¹ RWA flow amounts include both IRB and Standardized Approach figures reflecting our approved roll-out plan for transition to IRB.

² Organic changes in portfolio size and composition (including new business and maturing loans).

³ Quality of book changes caused by experience such as underlying customer behaviour or demographics and credit mitigation.

⁴ Updates to the model to reflect recent experience, model implementation, change in model scope or any change to address model malfunctions including changes through model calibrations/realignments.

⁵ Methodology changes to the calculations driven by regulatory policy changes.



COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK

CCRA: Qualitative disclosure related to counterparty credit risk

The table below presents an overview of Pillar 3 disclosure requirements that have been met within our 2022 Annual Report and incorporated by reference into this Pillar 3 report. Our 2022 Annual Report is available free of charge on our website at http://www.rbc.com/investorrelations

F	Pillar 3 disclosures requirement	RBC 2022 Annual Report section	Sub-section
	Risk management objectives and	Credit risk	Credit risk assessment – Counterparty credit risk
a)	policies related to counterparty credit risk	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 9 - Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities – <i>Derivative-related credit risk</i>
b)	The method used to assign the operating limits defined in terms of internal capital for counterparty credit exposures and for CCP exposures	Credit risk	Credit risk assessment – Counterparty credit risk
	Policies relating to guarantees and	Credit risk	Credit risk assessment – Counterparty credit risk
c)	other risk mitigants and assessments concerning counterparty credit risk, including	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 9 - Derivative financial instruments and hedging activities – <i>Derivative-related credit risk</i>
	exposures towards CCPs	osinositudos i mansiai otatomonio	Note 30 - Offsetting financial assets and financial liabilities
d)	Policies with respect to wrong-way risk exposures	Credit risk	Credit risk assessment – Wrong-way risk
e)	The impact in terms of the amount of collateral that the bank would be required to provide given a credit rating downgrade	Liquidity and funding risk	Credit ratings



CCR1: Analysis of counterparty credit risk (CCR) exposure by approach

The following table provides a comprehensive view of the methods used to calculate counterparty credit risk exposures and the main parameters used within each method, if applicable. Refer to CCR 8 for our central counterparty clearing house exposures. Figures below reflect both house and client trades.

As at January 31, 2023

		а	b	С	d	е	f
	(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	Replacement Cost	Potential future exposure	EEPE	Alpha used for computing regulatory EAD	EAD post-CRM¹	RWA ²
1	SA-CCR (for derivatives) ^{1,2}	18,590	36,644		1.4	76,968	21,308
1a	Current Exposure Method (CEM - for derivatives)						
2	Internal Model Method (for derivatives and SFTs)						
3	Simple Approach for credit risk mitigation (for SFTs)						
4	Comprehensive Approach for credit risk mitigation (for SFTs)					195,897	9,680
5	VaR for SFTs						
6	Total						30,988

¹ Effective Q1 2020, specific wrong way risk is reflected as per OSFI SA-CCR guideline requirement.

		а	b	С	d	е	f
	(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	Replacement Cost	Potential future exposure	EEPE	Alpha used for computing regulatory EAD	EAD post-CRM¹	RWA ²
1	SA-CCR (for derivatives) ^{1,2}	27,369	36,551		1.4	89,052	25,143
1a	Current Exposure Method (CEM - for derivatives)						
2	Internal Model Method (for derivatives and SFTs)						
3	Simple Approach for credit risk mitigation (for SFTs)						
4	Comprehensive Approach for credit risk mitigation (for SFTs)					195,993	9,089
5	VaR for SFTs						
6	Total						34,232

¹ Effective Q1 2020, specific wrong way risk is reflected as per OSFI SA-CCR guideline requirement.

² RWA includes a calibration adjustment of 1.06% as prescribed by OSFI under the Basel III framework.

² RWA includes a calibration adjustment of 1.06% as prescribed by OSFI under the Basel III framework.



CCR2: Credit valuation adjustment (CVA) capital charge

The following table presents a breakdown of the CVA capital charge by advanced and standardized approaches.

As at January 31, 2023

	ournay 61, 2020	а	h
		EAD post-CRM ¹	RWA ¹
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	EAD post-CRIVI	NVA.
	Total portfolios subject to the Advanced CVA capital charge		
1	(i) VaR component (including the 3x multiplier)		
2	(ii) Stressed VaR component (including the 3x multiplier)		
3	All portfolios subject to the Standardized CVA capital Charge	77,327	13,469
4	Total subject to the CVA capital charge	77,327	13,469

¹ Effective Q1 2019, OSFI has allowed a 0.7 scalar to be applied to the exposure amount determined under SA-CCR for the purpose of determining CVA.

		а	b
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	EAD post-CRM ¹	RWA ¹
	Total portfolios subject to the Advanced CVA capital charge		
1	(i) VaR component (including the 3x multiplier)		
2	(ii) Stressed VaR component (including the 3x multiplier)		
3	All portfolios subject to the Standardized CVA capital Charge	89,488	15,682
4	Total subject to the CVA capital charge	89,488	15,682

¹ Effective Q1 2019, OSFI has allowed a 0.7 scalar to be applied to the exposure amount determined under SA-CCR for the purpose of determining CVA.



CCR3: Standardized approach - CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk weights

The following table presents a breakdown of counterparty credit risk exposures calculated according to the standardized approach by portfolio and risk weight.

As at January 31, 2023

	а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
Risk weight Regulatory portfolio (Millions of Canadian dollars)	0%	10%	20%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Others	Total credit exposure
Sovereigns	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non-central government public sector entities (PSEs)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Multilateral development banks (MDBs)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Banks	-	-	-	-	-	23	-	-	23
Securities firms	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	-	15
Corporates	-	-	292	-	-	1,625	-	-	1,917
Regulatory retail portfolios	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	292	-	-	1,663	-	-	1,955

A3 at October 31, 2022									
	а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i
Risk weight Regulatory portfolio (Millions of Canadian dollars)	0%	10%	20%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Others	Total credit exposure
Sovereigns	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Non-central government public sector entities (PSEs)	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	3
Multilateral development banks (MDBs)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Banks	-	-	-	-	-	61	-	-	61
Securities firms	-	-	-	-	-	31	-	-	31
Corporates	-	-	91	-	-	2,187	-	-	2,278
Regulatory retail portfolios	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	91	-	-	2,282	-	-	2,373



CCR4: IRB – CCR exposures by portfolio and PD scale

The following table presents a detailed view of CCR exposures subject to IRB approach by asset classes and PD scale.

		а	b	С	d	е	f	g
(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	PD scale ¹	EAD post-CRM	Average PD (%)	Number of obligors	Average LGD (%)	Average maturity (in years)	RWA	RWA density (%)
Asset classes								
Sovereigns	0.00 to < 0.15	40,748	0.05	321	15.44	1.36	1,964	4.8
	0.15 to < 0.25	104	0.24	13	43.43	1.55	43	41.4
	0.25 to < 0.50	2	0.50	5	35.74	1.00	1	43.8
	0.50 to < 0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.75 to < 2.50	2	1.52	4	45.00	2.48	3	123.5
	2.50 to < 10.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	10.00 to < 100.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	100.00 (default)	-	100.00	1	45.00	1.00	1	596.3
Total sovereigns		40,856	0.05	344	15.52	1.36	2,012	4.9
Banks								
	0.00 to < 0.15	111,695	0.06	292	14.38	0.71	6,471	5.8
	0.15 to < 0.25	251	0.24	20	40.97	1.50	112	44.8
	0.25 to < 0.50	2,028	0.50	20	5.13	0.56	141	7.0
	0.50 to < 0.75		-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.75 to < 2.50	350	1.05	28	23.42	0.67	154	44.0
	2.50 to < 10.00	-	2.74	1	45.00	5.00	-	165.8
	10.00 to < 100.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	100.00 (default)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total banks		114,324	0.07	361	14.30	0.71	6,878	6.0
Corporates								
•	0.00 to < 0.15	105,702	0.06	8,462	32.55	0.77	12,858	12.2
	0.15 to < 0.25	2,292	0.24	584	41.78	1.51	1,022	44.6
	0.25 to < 0.50	2,192	0.50	305	43.48	1.59	1,535	70.0
	0.50 to < 0.75	, · · -	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.75 to < 2.50	5,003	1.34	674	37.56	1.68	4,101	82.0
	2.50 to < 10.00	516	3.02	181	48.67	3.02	802	155.6
	10.00 to < 100.00	26	21.92	14	43.74	1.26	59	230.7
	100.00 (default)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total corporates		115,731	0.15	10,220	33.24	0.85	20,377	17.6
Total		270,911	0.07	10,925	22.58	0.87	29,267	10.8

¹ Refer to "Internal ratings map" in the Credit risk assessment section in our 2022 Annual Report MD&A.



CCR4: IRB – CCR exposures by portfolio and PD scale (continued)

		а	b	С	d	е	f	g
(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	PD scale ¹	EAD post-CRM	Average PD (%)	Number of obligors	Average LGD (%)	Average maturity (in years)	RWA	RWA density (%)
Asset classes								
Sovereigns	0.00 to < 0.15	36,912	0.04	318	14.57	1.45	1,723	4.7
	0.15 to < 0.25	91	0.24	12	44.02	1.34	36	39.4
	0.25 to < 0.50	18	0.50	4	37.09	1.00	8	45.4
	0.50 to < 0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.75 to < 2.50	8	1.85	5	45.00	1.28	10	123.5
	2.50 to < 10.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	10.00 to < 100.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	100.00 (default)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total sovereigns		37,029	0.04	339	14.66	1.45	1,777	4.8
Banks								
	0.00 to < 0.15	119,693	0.06	303	13.09	0.70	6,421	5.4
	0.15 to < 0.25	309	0.24	19	39.52	2.23	137	44.2
	0.25 to < 0.50	2,124	0.50	21	4.72	0.56	131	6.2
	0.50 to < 0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.75 to < 2.50	641	1.16	29	25.43	0.70	325	50.7
	2.50 to < 10.00	-	2.74	3	45.00	2.85	-	151.2
	10.00 to < 100.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	100.00 (default)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total banks		122,767	0.08	375	13.08	0.70	7,014	5.7
Corporates								
	0.00 to < 0.15	110.893	0.06	8.668	34.38	0.84	14.806	13.4
	0.15 to < 0.25	3,479	0.24	562	40.92	1.14	1,396	40.1
	0.25 to < 0.50	1,666	0.50	299	39.00	1.48	938	56.3
	0.50 to < 0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.75 to < 2.50	6,144	1.37	653	36.31	1.61	4,835	78.7
	2.50 to < 10.00	674	3.00	196	49.95	3.38	1,120	166.2
	10.00 to < 100.00	18	19.21	11	44.98	1.16	43	231.8
	100.00 (default)	-	100.00	1	45.00	1.00	2	596.3
Total corporates		122,874	0.16	10,390	34.81	0.91	23,140	18.8
Total		282,670	0.08	11,104	22.73	0.89	31,931	11.3

¹ Refer to "Internal ratings map" in the Credit risk assessment section in our 2022 Annual Report MD&A.



CCR6: Credit derivatives exposures

The following table presents credit derivatives bought or sold by notional and fair values.

As at January 31, 2023

a	b
Protection bought	Protection sold
9,118	15,307
28,030	15,389
-	-
225	133
-	-
37,373	30,829
36	319
26	50
	Protection bought 9,118 28,030 - 225 - 37,373

As at October 31, 2022

As at October 51, 2022		
	а	b
(Millions of Canadian dollars)	Protection bought	Protection sold
Notionals		
Single-name credit default swaps	8,740	11,595
Index credit default swaps	15,620	5,802
Total return swaps	-	-
Credit options	1,758	-
Other credit derivatives	-	-
Total notionals	26,118	17,397
Fair values		
Positive fair value (asset)	118	296
Negative fair value (liability)	23	103

CCR7: RWA flow statements of CCR exposures under the Internal Model Method (IMM)

We currently do not apply the IMM to our counterparty credit risk exposures.



CCR8: Exposures to central counterparties

The following table presents a comprehensive view of our exposures to central counterparty clearing houses (CCPs), including due to operations, margins and contributions to default funds, and related RWA.

	January 31, 2023	а	b
(Millio	ns of Canadian dollars)	EAD (post-CRM)	RWA
1	Exposures to QCCPs (total)	50,937	679
2	Exposures for trades at QCCPs (excluding initial margin and default fund contributions); of which	30,306	652
3	(i) OTC derivatives	11,802	282
4	(ii) Exchange-traded derivatives	14,059	281
5	(iii) Securities financing transactions	4,445	89
6	(iv) Netting sets where cross-product netting has been approved	-	-
7	Segregated initial margin	8,651	
8	Non-segregated initial margin	4,833	-
9	Pre-funded default fund contributions	1,373	27
10	Unfunded default fund contributions1	5,774	-
11	Exposures to non-QCCPs (total)		
12	Exposures for trades at non-QCCPs (excluding initial margin and default fund contributions); of which		
13	(i) OTC derivatives		
14	(ii) Exchange-traded derivatives		
15	(iii) Securities financing transactions		
16	(iv) Netting sets where cross-product netting has been approved		
17	Segregated initial margin		
18	Non-segregated initial margin		
19	Pre-funded default fund contributions		
20	Unfunded default fund contributions		

¹ Unfunded default fund contributions are risk weighted at 0%.



CCR8: Exposures to central counterparties (continued)

		a	b
(Millio	ns of Canadian dollars)	EAD (post-CRM)	RWA
1	Exposures to QCCPs (total)	53,469	699
2	Exposures for trades at QCCPs (excluding initial margin and default fund contributions); of which	32,616	671
3	(i) OTC derivatives	8,090	181
4	(ii) Exchange-traded derivatives	19,870	397
5	(iii) Securities financing transactions	4,656	93
6	(iv) Netting sets where cross-product netting has been approved	-	-
7	Segregated initial margin	8,420	
8	Non-segregated initial margin	5,478	-
9	Pre-funded default fund contributions	1,479	28
10	Unfunded default fund contributions ¹	5,476	-
11	Exposures to non-QCCPs (total)		
12	Exposures for trades at non-QCCPs (excluding initial margin and default fund contributions); of which		
13	(i) OTC derivatives		
14	(ii) Exchange-traded derivatives		
15	(iii) Securities financing transactions		
16	(iv) Netting sets where cross-product netting has been approved		
17	Segregated initial margin		
18	Non-segregated initial margin		
19	Pre-funded default fund contributions		
20	Unfunded default fund contributions		

¹ Unfunded default fund contributions are risk weighted at 0%.



SECURITIZATION

SECA: Qualitative disclosure requirements related to securitization exposures

The table below presents an overview of Pillar 3 disclosure requirements that have been met within our 2022 Annual Report and incorporated by reference into this Pillar 3 report. Our 2022 Annual Report is available free of charge on our website at http://www.rbc.com/investorrelations

F	Pillar 3 disclosures requirement	RBC 2022 Annual Report section	Sub-section
		Off-balance sheet arrangements	Off-balance sheet arrangements
a)	Objectives in relation to securitization activities	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 7 - Derecognition of financial assets
		Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 8 - Structured entities
b)	List of SPEs where RBC is sponsor / provides implicit support	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 8 - Structured entities
c)	Accounting policies for securitization	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 2 - Summary of significant accounting policies, estimates and judgments - Basis of consolidation - Derecognition of financial assets
		Critical accounting policies and estimates	Consolidation of structured entities
d)	The names of external credit assessment institution (ECAIs) used for securitizations and the types of securitization exposure for which each agency is used	Capital management (also refer to CRD in this document)	Regulatory capital approach for securitization exposures
	Use of Basel IAA for capital	Credit risk	n/a
e)	purposes	Capital management	Regulatory capital approach for securitization exposures
f)	Use of other internal assessment for capital purposes	Credit risk	Credit risk assessment



SEC1: IRB - Securitization exposures in the banking book

The following table presents the breakdown of our balance sheet banking book carrying values by our role and type.

As at	January 31, 2023										
		а	b	С	е	f	g	i	j	k	
		Bank	acts as origin	ator1	Ban	k acts as spor	nsor ²	Ban	k acts as inve	cts as investor ³	
(Millio	ns of Canadian dollars)	Traditional	Synthetic	Sub-total	Traditional	Synthetic	Sub-total	Traditional	Synthetic	Sub-total	
1	Retail (total) - of which	-	•	•	46,484	•	46,484	318	•	318	
2	residential mortgage	-	-	-	3,403	-	3,403	2	-	2	
3	credit card	-	-	-	9,174	-	9,174	-	-	-	
4	other retail exposures	-	-	-	33,907	-	33,907	316	-	316	
4a	of which student loans	-	-	-	4,456	-	4,456	247	-	247	
4b	of which auto loans and leases	-	-	-	21,573	-	21,573	69	-	69	
4c	of which consumer loans	-	-	-	7,878	-	7,878	-	-	-	
4d	of which other retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	Wholesale (total) – of which	-	-	-	22,120	-	22,120	11,262	-	11,262	
7	loans to corporates	-	-	-	3,463	-	3,463	9,961	-	9,961	
8	commercial mortgage	-	-	-	-	-	-	77	-	77	
9	lease and receivables	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10	other wholesale	-	-	-	18,657	-	18,657	1,224	-	1,224	
10a	of which dealer floor plan receivable	-	-	-	2,169	-	2,169	-	-	-	
10b	of which equipment receivable	-	-	-	5,524	-	5,524	-	-	-	
10c	of which trade receivable	-	-	-	276	-	276	-	-	-	
10d	of which other wholesale	-	-	-	10,688	-	10,688	1,224	-	1,224	
11	re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10d	receivable of which other wholesale			- - -		-	10,688	1,224	- - -		

¹ Bank acts as originator reflects securitization activities in which we securitize our own assets (e.g. Golden credit card securitization).

² Bank acts as sponsor reflects securitization activities in which RBC works with its client to originate securitization transactions. RBC provides the liquidity and credit enhancement facilities to the SPE.

 $^{^{3}\,\}mathrm{Bank}$ acts as investor reflects purchases of securitization assets from the market.



SEC1: IRB – Securitization exposures in the banking book (continued)

As at	October 31, 2022											
		а	b	С	е	f	g	İ	j	k		
		Bank	acts as origin	ator1	Banl	k acts as spon	isor ²	Ban	k acts as inve	acts as investor ³		
(Millio	ns of Canadian dollars)	Traditional	Synthetic	Sub-total	Traditional	Synthetic	Sub-total	Traditional	Synthetic	Sub-total		
1	Retail (total) - of which	-	-	-	44,531	-	44,531	361	-	361		
2	residential mortgage	-	-	-	3,583	-	3,583	3	-	3		
3	credit card	-	-	-	9,372	-	9,372	-	-	-		
4	other retail exposures	-	-	-	31,576	-	31,576	358	-	358		
4a	of which student loans	-	-	-	3,325	-	3,325	285	-	285		
4b	of which auto loans and leases	-	-	-	20,525	-	20,525	73	-	73		
4c	of which consumer loans	-	-	-	7,726	-	7,726	-	-	-		
4d	of which other retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
5	re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
6	Wholesale (total) – of which	-	-	-	18,169	-	18,169	11,778	-	11,778		
7	loans to corporates	-	-	-	3,040	-	3,040	10,390	-	10,390		
8	commercial mortgage	-	-	-	-	-	-	79	-	79		
9	lease and receivables	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
10	other wholesale	-	-	-	15,129	-	15,129	1,309	-	1,309		
10a	of which dealer floor plan receivable	-	-	-	2,151	-	2,151	-	-	-		
10b	of which equipment receivable	-	-	-	4,711	-	4,711	-	-	-		
10c	of which trade receivable	-	-	-	263	-	263	-	-	-		
10d	of which other wholesale	-	-	-	8,004	-	8,004	1,309	-	1,309		
11	re-securitization	-			-	-	-	-	-	=		

¹ Bank acts as originator reflects securitization activities in which we securitize our own assets (e.g. Golden credit card securitization).

² Bank acts as sponsor reflects securitization activities in which RBC works with its client to originate securitization transactions. RBC provides the liquidity and credit enhancement facilities to the SPE.

 $^{^{\}rm 3}\,\textsc{Bank}$ acts as investor reflects purchases of securitization assets from the market.



SEC2: IRB – Securitization exposures in the trading book

The following table presents the breakdown of our balance sheet trading book carrying values by our role and type.

As at	January 31, 2023									
		а	b	С	е	f	g	i	j	k
		Bank	acts as origir	nator ¹	Bank acts as sponsor ²		nsor ²	Bank acts as inve		stor ³
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	Traditional	Synthetic	Sub-total	Traditional	Synthetic	Sub-total	Traditional	Synthetic	Sub-total
1	Retail (total) - of which	-	-	-	-	-	-	161	-	161
2	residential mortgages	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	3
3	credit cards	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	-	24
4	other retail exposures	-	-	-	-	-	-	134	-	134
4a	of which student loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	55	-	55
4b	of which auto loans and leases	-	-	-	-	-	-	75	-	75
4c	of which consumer loans	-	-	•	-	-	-	4	=	4
4d	of which other retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Wholesale (total) - of which	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,317	-	5,317
7	loans to corporates	-	-	-	-	-	-	187	-	187
8	commercial mortgages	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,887	-	3,887
9	leases and receivables	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	other wholesale exposures	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,243	-	1,243
10a	of which dealer floor plan receivables	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10b	of which equipment receivables	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	1	7
10c	of which trade receivables	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10d	of which other wholesale	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,236	-	1,236
11	re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹ Bank acts as originator reflects securitization activities in which we securitize our own assets.

² Bank acts as sponsor reflects securitization activities in which RBC works with its client to originate securitization transactions. RBC provides the liquidity and credit enhancement facilities to the SPE.

³ Bank acts as investor reflects purchases of securitization assets from the market.



SEC2: IRB – Securitization exposures in the trading book (continued)

As at	October 31, 2022									
		а	b	С	е	f	g	i	j	k
		Bank	acts as origir	nator ¹	Bank	cacts as spor	nsor ²	Bank	cacts as inve	stor ³
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	Traditional	Synthetic	Sub-total	Traditional	Synthetic	Sub-total	Traditional	Synthetic	Sub-total
1	Retail (total) - of which	-	-	-	-	-	-	300	-	300
2	residential mortgages	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	7
3	credit cards	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	-	17
4	other retail exposures	-	-	-	-	-	-	276	-	276
4a	of which student loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	101	-	101
4b	of which auto loans and leases	-	-	-	-	-	-	149	-	149
4c	of which consumer loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	-	26
4d	of which other retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Wholesale (total) - of which	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,885	-	5,885
7	loans to corporates	-	-	-	-	-	-	239	-	239
8	commercial mortgages	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,245	-	4,245
9	leases and receivables	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	other wholesale exposures	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,401	-	1,401
10a	of which dealer floor plan receivables	-	-	=	-	-	-	-	-	=
10b	of which equipment receivables	-	-	•	-	-	-	7	-	7
10c	of which trade receivables	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10d	of which other wholesale	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,394	-	1,394
11	re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
			-1							

¹ Bank acts as originator reflects securitization activities in which we securitize our own assets.

² Bank acts as sponsor reflects securitization activities in which RBC works with its client to originate securitization transactions. RBC provides the liquidity and credit enhancement facilities to the SPE.

³ Bank acts as investor reflects purchases of securitization assets from the market.



SEC3: Securitization exposures in the banking book and associated regulatory capital requirements - bank acting as originator or as sponsor

The following table presents a breakdown of securitization exposures in the banking book by risk weight and by regulatory approach when we act as originator or sponsor, and the associated capital requirements.

As	at January 31, 2023																	
		а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	I	m	n	0	р	q
				posure valu y RW band			(b	Exposur y regulato	e values ry approacl	٦)	(b	RW y regulator		n)	C (b	capital char	ge after ca ry approacl	p n)
(Mill	ions of Canadian dollars)	≤20% RW	>20% to 50% RW	>50% to 100% RW	>100% to <1250% RW	1250% RW	SEC – IRBA ^{1,4}	SEC – ERBA ^{1,2}	SEC – SA¹	1250%	SEC – IRBA ^{1,4}	SEC – ERBA ^{1,2}	SEC - SA1	1250%	SEC – IRBA ^{1,4}	SEC – ERBA ^{1,2}	SEC – SA¹	1250%
1	Total exposures	61,636	4,949	1,595	423	-	-	55,028	13,575	-	-	7,689	2,865	-	-	615	229	-
2	Traditional securitization	61,636	4,949	1,595	423	-	-	55,028	13,575	-	-	7,689	2,865	-	-	615	229	-
3	Of which securitization	61,636	4,949	1,595	423	-	-	55,028	13,575	-	-	7,689	2,865	-	-	615	229	-
4	Of which retail underlying	43,875	1,952	410	245	-	-	41,133	5,350	-	-	5,448	715	-	-	436	57	-
5	Of which wholesale	17,761	2,997	1,185	178	-	-	13,895	8,225	-	-	2,241	2,150	-	-	179	172	-
6	Of which re-securitization	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Of which senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Of which non-senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Synthetic securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Of which securitization	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Of which retail underlying	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Of which wholesale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Of which re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Of which senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Of which non-senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹OSFI adopted BCBS Revised Securitization Framework in Q1 2019. Effective Q1 2020, transitional grandfathering is no longer allowed.

² As per disclosure requirements Internal assessment approach (IAA) exposures have been included with securitization external rating based approach.

³ Under the revised securitization framework, OSFI has removed the 1.06% IRB scalar for securitization exposures not risk weighted at 1250%.

⁴ SEC-IRBA exposures reflect exposures where we have underlying IRB approval currently.



73	at October 31, 2022									i								
		а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	I	m	n	0	р	q
				posure valu y RW band			(b		e values ry approac	h)	(b	RW y regulator		h)		apital char y regulatoi		
(Mill	ions of Canadian dollars)	≤20% RW	>20% to 50% RW	>50% to 100% RW	>100% to <1250% RW	1250% RW	SEC – IRBA 1,4	SEC – ERBA ^{1,2}	SEC – SA1	1250%	SEC – IRBA ^{1,4}	SEC – ERBA ^{1,2}	SEC – SA¹	1250%	SEC – IRBA ^{1,4}	SEC – ERBA ^{1,2}	SEC – SA¹	1250%
1	Total exposures	56,277	4,503	1,365	555	-	-	50,131	12,569	-	-	7,036	2,741	-	-	562	219	-
2	Traditional securitization	56,277	4,503	1,365	555	-	-	50,131	12,569	-	-	7,036	2,741	-	-	562	219	-
3	Of which securitization	56,277	4,503	1,365	555	-	-	50,131	12,569	-	-	7,036	2,741	-	-	562	219	-
4	Of which retail underlying	41,712	2,286	173	360	-	-	38,738	5,793	-	-	5,244	815	-	-	420	65	-
5	Of which wholesale	14,565	2,217	1,192	195	-	-	11,393	6,776	-	-	1,792	1,926	-	-	142	154	-
6	Of which re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Of which senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Of which non-senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Synthetic securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Of which securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Of which retail underlying	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
12	Of which wholesale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Of which re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Of which senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Of which non-senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹OSFI adopted BCBS Revised Securitization Framework in Q1 2019. Effective Q1 2020, transitional grandfathering is no longer allowed.

² As per disclosure requirements Internal assessment approach (IAA) exposures have been included with securitization external rating based approach.

³ Under the revised securitization framework, OSFI has removed the 1.06% IRB scalar for securitization exposures not risk weighted at 1250%.

⁴ SEC-IRBA exposures reflect exposures where we have underlying IRB approval currently.



SEC4: Securitization exposures in the banking book and associated capital requirements - bank acting as investor

The following table presents a breakdown of securitization exposures in the banking book by risk weight and by regulatory approach when we act as investor, and the associated capital requirements.

73	at January 31, 2023	_		_	-1	_										_		
		а	b	С	d	е	ī	g	h	I	J	K	I	m	n	0	р	q
				posure valu y RW band			(b	Exposur by regulato	e values ry approac	n)	(t	RW oy regulator		h)		Capital char by regulator		
(Mill	ions of Canadian dollars)	≤20% RW	>20% to 50% RW	>50% to 100% RW	>100% to <1250% RW	1250% RW	SEC – IRBA ^{1,4}	SEC – ERBA ^{1,2}	SEC – SA1	1250%	SEC – IRBA¹,4	SEC – ERBA ^{1,2}	SEC – SA1	1250%	SEC – IRBA ^{1,4}	SEC – ERBA ^{1,2}	SEC – SA1	1250%
1	Total exposures	11,375	140	20	26	19	-	11,561	-	19	-	2,418	-	237	-	194	-	19
2	Traditional securitization	11,375	140	20	26	19	-	11,561	-	19	-	2,418	-	237	-	194	-	19
3	Of which securitization	11,375	140	20	26	19	-	11,561	-	19	-	2,418	-	237	-	194	-	19
4	Of which retail underlying	276	41	-	2	-	-	319	-	-	-	72	-	-	-	6	-	-
5	Of which wholesale	11,099	99	20	24	19	-	11,242	-	19	-	2,346	-	237	-	188	-	19
6	Of which re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Of which senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Of which non-senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Synthetic securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Of which securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Of which retail underlying	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Of which wholesale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Of which re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Of which senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Of which non-senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

¹OSFI adopted BCBS Revised Securitization Framework in Q1 2019. Effective Q1 2020, transitional grandfathering is no longer allowed.

² As per disclosure requirements Internal assessment approach (IAA) exposures have been included with securitization external rating based approach.

³ Under the revised securitization framework, OSFI has removed the 1.06% IRB scalar for securitization exposures not risk weighted at 1,250%.

⁴ SEC-IRBA exposures reflect exposures where we have underlying IRB approval currently.



As	at	Oct	oher	31	2022

73	at October 31, 2022	а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	i	k	1	m	n	О	n	q
			Exp	oosure valu y RW band	ıes		(b	Exposur y regulator		1)	(b	RW y regulator			C	apital char y regulator	ge after ca	p .
(Mil	lions of Canadian dollars)	<20% RW	>20% to 50% RW	>50% to 100% RW	>100% to <1250% RW	1250% RW	SEC – IRBA ^{1,4}	SEC – ERBA ^{1,2}	SEC – SA1	1250%	SEC – IRBA ^{1,4}	SEC – ERBA ^{1,2}	SEC - SA1	1250%	SEC – IRBA ^{1,4}	SEC – ERBA ^{1,2}	SEC – SA¹	1250%
1	Total exposures	11,844	220	34	23	18	-	12,121	-	18	-	2,534	-	232	-	203	-	19
2	Traditional securitization	11,844	220	34	23	18	-	12,121	-	18	-	2,534	-	232	-	203	-	19
3	Of which securitization	11,844	220	34	23	18	-	12,121	-	18	-	2,534	-	232	-	203	-	19
4	Of which retail underlying	310	49	-	2	-	-	361	-	-	-	82	-	-	-	7	-	-
5	Of which wholesale	11,534	171	34	21	18	-	11,760	-	18	-	2,452	-	232	-	196	-	19
6	Of which re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Of which senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Of which non-senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Synthetic securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Of which securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	Of which retail underlying	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Of which wholesale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	Of which re-securitization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Of which senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-
15	Of which non-senior	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-

¹OSFI adopted BCBS Revised Securitization Framework in Q1 2019. Effective Q1 2020, transitional grandfathering is no longer allowed.

² As per disclosure requirements Internal assessment approach (IAA) exposures have been included with securitization external rating based approach.

³ Under the revised securitization framework, OSFI has removed the 1.06% IRB scalar for securitization exposures not risk weighted at 1,250%.

⁴ SEC-IRBA exposures reflect exposures where we have underlying IRB approval currently.



MARKET RISK

MRA: Qualitative disclosure requirements related to market risk

Market risk management strategies and processes

Market risk arises from our trading and non-trading portfolios. The primary objective of trading is to generate an optimal return on our capital while ensuring that risks remain within our risk appetite. Trading activities involve market making, facilitating client transactions and hedging risks generated from these activities.

Market risk also arises from our non-trading portfolio as a result of managing interest rate risk from client-originating banking products (such as loans and deposits) and related hedge transactions, portfolios used for asset-liability management and liquidity management, exposures designated as FVOCI, and exposures from our insurance operations.

To ensure that market risk remains within our risk appetite, we hedge our market risk exposures where appropriate. We use cash and derivative financial instruments, as permitted by regulatory and jurisdictional requirements, to manage the market risk related to our trading and non-trading activities.

The table below presents an overview of Pillar 3 disclosure requirements that have been met within our 2022 Annual Report and incorporated by reference into this Pillar 3 report. Our 2022 Annual Report is available free of charge on our website at http://www.rbc.com/investorrelations

	Pillar 3 disclosures requirement	RBC 2022 Annual Report section	Sub-Section					
			Market risk controls – FVTPL positions					
			Stress tests					
			Market risk measures – FVTPL positions					
	Processes implemented to identify,		Market risk measures for assets and liabilities of RBC Insurance					
	measure, monitor and control the bank's market risks	Market Risk	Market risk controls – Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book (IRRBB) positions					
a)			IRRBB measurement					
			Market risk measures – IRRBB Sensitivities					
			Market risk measures for other material non-trading portfolios					
	Policies for hedging risk and strategies/processes for monitoring the continuing effectiveness of hedges	Consolidated Financial Statements	Note 2 - Summary of significant accounting policies, estimates and judgements – Hedge accounting					



MRA: Qualitative disclosure requirements related to market risk (continued)

Market risk management structure and organization

The Enterprise Market Risk Management Framework is the governance and control framework for the management of market risk within the bank. The market risk management structure is designed to ensure strong corporate governance over all market risk in the context of each business considering operating environment, industry best practices, and regulatory requirements. Drivers of market risk are considered in the bank's policies, practices and standards which are continuously updated given dynamic market and regulatory conditions.

The table below presents an overview of Pillar 3 disclosure requirements that have been met within our 2022 Annual Report and incorporated by reference into this Pillar 3 report. Our 2022 Annual Report is available free of charge on our website at http://www.rbc.com/investorrelations

	Pillar 3 disclosures requirement	RBC 2022 Annual Report section	Sub-Section
			Risk governance
	Description of the market risk		Risk appetite
	governance structure established to implement the strategies and	Enterprise Diek Management	Risk measurement
		Enterprise Risk Management	Risk control
	processes of the bank		Risk measurement - Stress testing
b)			Culture and conduct risk
	Description of the relationships and the communication mechanisms		Risk governance
	between the different parties involved in market risk management	Enterprise Risk Management	Risk control

Scope and nature of risk reporting and/or measurement systems

The table below presents an overview of Pillar 3 disclosure requirements that have been met within our 2022 Annual Report and incorporated by reference into this Pillar 3 report. Our 2022 Annual Report is available free of charge on our website at http://www.rbc.com/investorrelations

I	Pillar 3 disclosures requirement	RBC 2022 Annual Report section	Sub-Section
			Risk measurement
		Enterprise Risk Management	Risk control
			Risk measurement – Stress testing
			Market risk controls – FVTPL positions
			Stress tests
			Market risk measures – FVTPL positions
c)	Scope and nature of risk reporting and/or measurement systems		Market risk measures for assets and liabilities of
	nu/or measurement systems		RBC Insurance
		Market Risk	Market risk controls – Interest Rate Risk in the
			Banking Book (IRRBB) positions
			IRRBB measurement
			Market risk measures – IRRBB Sensitivities
			Market risk measures for other material non-trading
			portfolios



MRB: Qualitative disclosures for banks using the Internal Models Approach (IMA)

Internal models used for measuring Market Risk

Measure	Description	Percentage of market risk regulatory capital ¹
Regulatory Value at Risk (VaR)	VaR is a statistical measure of potential loss for a financial portfolio computed at a given level of confidence and over a defined holding period. We measure VaR at the 99th percentile confidence level and for regulatory capital measurement we take the more conservative of the one-day holding period scaled up to a ten-day holding period or the direct ten-day holding period. The measure is computed daily, using a full-revaluation approach to generate potential profit or loss values arising from historically observed daily market movements. The historical period used to compute VaR is comprised of the recent two years of equally weighted market data, and is rolled forward on a weekly basis. A mix of absolute and relative returns are used in generating the historical market changes.	8%
Stressed VaR (SVaR)	SVaR is calculated daily in a similar manner as VaR, but based on a ten-day holding period directly and using a one year period of heightened volatility. We currently use the historical period between 2019 and 2020 covering the market volatility observed during Q2 2020. This historical period chosen reflects the one year period of greatest potential loss for our portfolio.	30%
Incremental Risk Charge (IRC)	IRC captures the risk of losses under default or rating changes for issuers of traded instruments. IRC is measured over a one-year horizon at a 99.9% confidence level, and captures different liquidity horizons for instruments and concentrations in issuers under a constant level of risk assumption.	12%

¹ As at January 31, 2023.

VaR and SVaR

The VaR and SVaR models are used for computing regulatory capital for trading book positions across the enterprise, where we have obtained approval from our regulator. We model a general market risk measure, a debt specific risk measure, and an equity total risk measure; along with a total correlated risk measure which combines the above distributions. For portions of our portfolio for which we do not have regulatory approval for models based capital, we use the Standardized Approach to compute regulatory capital.

For management purposes, VaR and SVaR are both computed with one-day holding periods and are applied to all positions that impact the bank's revenue across the trading book and non-trading book. A sensitivity-ladder interpolation approach is applied for some positions instead of full-revaluation, and inactive non-trading book positions are refreshed monthly.

The table below presents an overview of Pillar 3 disclosure requirements that have been met within our 2022 Annual Report and incorporated by reference into this Pillar 3 report. Our 2022 Annual Report is available free of charge on our website at http://www.rbc.com/investorrelations

	Pillar 3 disclosures requirement	RBC 2022 Annual Report section	Sub-Section
С	General description of the models (VaR/stressed VaR)	Market Risk	Market Risk Controls – FVTPL positions
g	Description of stress testing applied to the modelling parameters	Market Risk	Stress tests

The VaR and SVaR models are governed by our model risk governance framework, which requires that models are validated on a regular basis by a model validation group that is independent of the model developers. The VaR and SVaR models are also subject to ongoing model performance monitoring. The VaR model is back tested by comparing changes in the mark-to-market amounts to the computed VaR on a daily basis, in order to ensure that actual outcomes in trading revenue do not exceed the VaR projections beyond the expectations of the applied confidence interval. Backtesting is also performed using a hypothetical profit and loss calculation which allows for comparisons to the total correlated VaR, the general market risk VaR, and asset class VaR measures separately.

While the majority of market risks are reflected in our VaR models, there is the potential for certain risks to be inadequately captured. This can occur due to infrastructure limitations, lack of historical market data or missing risk factors within our VaR models. These Risks Not in VaR (RNIV) are identified through backtesting and other model monitoring processes, and are incorporated into the VaR models, where possible. An assessment of residual RNIV materiality is reviewed and monitored against thresholds at least quarterly.



MRB: Qualitative disclosures for banks using the Internal Models Approach (continued)

Incremental Risk Charge

Our IRC model is applied to debt instruments, credit products, and credit derivatives within our trading portfolios. A probability modelling technique known as the Monte Carlo simulation process is used to generate a statistically relevant number of loss scenarios due to issuer ratings migration and default in order to establish the losses at that confidence level. These scenarios are determined using a transition probability matrix which is calibrated using recent 20 years of historical issuer ratings migration and default observations. Correlations between issuer regions and sectors are calibrated using eight years of historical equity time series data. For the Monte Carlo process, each position is assigned a liquidity horizon (the length of time to close out a position) of three months, six months, or one year, depending on its issuer type, credit rating, and maturity profile.

The IRC model is also subject to the same independent vetting, validation procedures and model risk governance framework as the VaR and SVaR models. Model performance monitoring includes reviews and stress testing of model assumptions, which includes stress testing the historical correlation and liquidity assumptions. Due to the long time horizon and high confidence level of the risk measure, we do not perform backtesting of the IRC model as we do for the VaR measure.

MR1: Market risk under standardized approach

The following table presents the components of the capital requirement under the standardized approach for market risk.

		RV	VA
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	As at January 31, 2023	As at October 31, 2022
	Outright products		
1	Interest rate risk (general and specific)	4,853	4,714
2	Equity risk (general and specific)	565	552
3	Foreign exchange risk	2,904	2,884
4	Commodity risk	1,197	1,630
	Options		
5	Simplified approach	-	-
6	Delta-plus method	-	-
7	Scenario approach	5,346	5,686
8	Securitization	1,284	1,787
9	Total	16,149	17,253

Total standardized approach RWA for market risk decreased this quarter due to data quality improvements as well as lower commodity prices.



MR2: RWA flow statements of market risk exposures under the Internal Models Approach (IMA)

The following table presents variations in the Market RWA determined under the Internal Models Approach.

As at January 31, 2023

		а	b	С	d	е	f
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	VaR	Stressed VaR	IRC	CRM	Other	Total RWA
1	RWA at previous quarter end	2,605	11,295	4,189	-	-	18,089
2	Movement in risk levels ¹	(235)	(1,826)	(392)	-	-	(2,453)
3	Model updates/changes ²	391	404	8	-	-	803
4	Methodology and policy ³	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Acquisitions and disposals	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Foreign exchange movements ⁴	-	-	47	-	-	47
7	Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	RWA at end of Reporting Period	2,761	9,873	3,852	-	-	16,486

¹Change in risk due to position changes and averaging in of prior quarter model updates.

		а	b	С	d	е	f
	(Millions of Canadian dollars)	VaR	Stressed VaR	IRC	CRM	Other	Total RWA
1	RWA at previous quarter end	2,714	9,614	4,492	-	-	16,820
2	Movement in risk levels ¹	(725)	1,571	(81)	-	-	765
3	Model updates/changes ²	616	110	(403)	-	-	323
4	Methodology and policy ³	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Acquisitions and disposals	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Foreign exchange movements ⁴	-	-	181	-	-	181
7	Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	RWA at end of Reporting Period	2,605	11,295	4,189	-	-	18,089

¹ Change in risk due to position changes and averaging in of prior quarter model updates.

² Updates to the model to reflect recent market volatility, model implementation, change in model scope or any change to address model malfunctions including changes through model calibrations/realignments.

³ Methodology changes to the calculations driven by regulatory policy changes. Please note that these changes may be temporary.

⁴ Foreign exchange movements for VaR and Stressed VaR are embedded within movement in risk levels.

² Updates to the model to reflect recent market volatility, model implementation, change in model scope or any change to address model malfunctions including changes through model calibrations/realignments.

³ Methodology changes to the calculations driven by regulatory policy changes. Please note that these changes may be temporary.

⁴ Foreign exchange movements for VaR and Stressed VaR are embedded within movement in risk levels.



MR3: IMA values for trading portfolios

The following table presents minimum, maximum, average and period-end regulatory 10 day VaR, regulatory 10 day stressed VaR, incremental risk charge and comprehensive risk capital charge. These measures are based on the scope of the global trading book with internal models approach (IMA) approval from OSFI for calculating regulatory market risk capital.

(Millio	ns of Canadian dollars)	Va	Value			
VaR ((10 day 99%) ^{1,2}	As at January 31, 2023	As at October 31, 2022			
1	Maximum value	93	95			
2	Average value	73	68			
3	Minimum value	50	46			
4	Period end	52	73			
Stres	sed VaR (10 day 99%) ¹					
5	Maximum value	361	399			
6	Average value	263	296			
7	Minimum value	152	191			
8	Period end	168	276			
Incre	mental Risk Charge (99.9%)					
9	Maximum value	389	468			
10	Average value	307	340			
11	Minimum value	224	279			
12	Period end	303	327			
Com	prehensive Risk capital charge (99.9%)					
13	Maximum value	-	-			
14	Average value	-	-			
15	Minimum value	-	-			
16	Period end	-	-			
17	Floor (standardized measurement method)	-	-			

¹The portfolio included in regulatory VaR and SVaR represents a subset of the portfolio captured in management VaR and SVaR reported in the Market Risk section of the 2022 Annual Report.

IMA measures trended down this quarter due to reductions in fixed income exposures.

² VaR shown this quarter reflects the more conservative of either a one-day holding period scaled up to a ten-day holding period or the direct ten-day holding period.



LEVERAGE

LR1: Summary comparison of accounting assets vs leverage ratio exposure measure

The following table presents a reconciliation of our total assets per our published financial statements to our leverage ratio exposure measure.

LE	VERAGE RATIO ¹					
Sur	mmary comparison of accounting assets vs. leverage ratio exposure measure	Q1/2023	Q4/2022	Q3/2022	Q2/2022	Q1/2022
(Mil	lions of Canadian dollars)					
1	Total consolidated assets as per published financial statements	\$1,933,019	\$1,917,219	1,842,092	1,848,572	1,752,469
2	Adjustment for investments in banking, financial, insurance or commercial entities that are consolidated for accounting purposes but outside the scope of regulatory consolidation	(17,362)	(16,073)	(16,940)	(16,400)	(17,701)
3	Adjustment for securitized exposures that meet the operational requirements for the recognition of risk transfer ²	-	-	-	-	
4	Adjustment for fiduciary assets recognized on the balance sheet pursuant to the operative accounting framework but excluded from the leverage ratio exposure measure	1	-	-	-	1
5	Adjustments for derivative financial instruments	(36,321)	(51,188)	(23,150)	(51,622)	(246)
6	Adjustment for securities financing transactions (SFT) (i.e. repo assets and similar secured lending)	17,762	16,624	15,711	15,557	16,430
7	Adjustments for off-balance sheet items (i.e., credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures)	258,399	251,034	239,621	235,629	228,707
8	Other adjustments ³	(234,187)	(219,437)	(217,489)	(219,307)	(219,030)
9	Leverage Ratio Exposure	\$1,921,310	\$1,898,179	1,839,845	1,812,429	1,760,629

¹ Based on OSFI's LR guideline issued in October 2018.

² OSFI's October 2018 LR guideline allows for the exclusion of securitized exposures that meet the operational requirements for risk transference.

³ Includes OSFI permitted exclusion of central bank reserves that qualify as high quality liquid assets and exposures related to the US Government Payment Protection Program (PPP).



LR2: Leverage ratio common disclosure template

The following table presents a detailed breakdown of the components of our leverage ratio. Maintaining a prescribed minimum level of leverage helps neutralizes leverage risk in the event of unexpected economic crises. OSFI requires maintenance of a minimum leverage ratio of 3% at all times.

Fotal Exposures (sum of lines 3,11,16 and 19) Leverage ratio Basel III leverage ratio	1,921,310	1,898,179 4.4%	1,839,845 4.6%	1,812,429 4.7%	1,760,629 4.8%
Total Exposures (sum of lines 3,11,16 and 19)	1,921,310	1,898,179	1,839,845	1,812,429	1,760,629
	1,921,310	1,898,179	1,839,845	1,812,429	1,760,629
p				i .	
Fier 1 capital with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied	85,357	84,070	84,073	84,242	84,298
Fier 1 capital	85,357	84,242	84,207	84,345	84,493
Capital and Total Exposures					
Off-balance sheet items (sum of lines 17 and 18)	258,399	251,034	239,621	235,629	228,707
Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts)	(490,667)	(482,529)	(466,962)	(458,464)	(448,054)
Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amount	749,066	733,563	706,583	694,093	676,761
Other off-balance sheet exposures			•		
Fotal securities financing transaction exposures (sum of lines 12 to 15)	348,934	337,438	337,341	335,718	331,520
Agent transaction exposures	-	-	_	-	_
Counterparty credit risk (CCR) exposure for SFTs	17,762	16,624	15,710	15,557	16,430
, ,	(87,211)	(94,203)	(95,262)	(81,211)	(84,466)
Gross SFT assets recognized for accounting purposes (with no recognition of netting),	418,383	415,017	416,893	401,372	399,556
,	10,100		23,001		
,	93.798	103.249	98.907	104.582	92,073
Adjusted effective notional offsets and add-on deductions for written credit	_	_	_	_	
Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives	2,271	1,897	1,023	872	381
Exempted central counterparty (CCP)-leg of client-cleared trade exposures)	-	-	-	-	-
Add-on amounts for potential future exposure (PFE) associated with all derivatives	62,973	60,172	62,798	60,134	64,451
Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions	28,554	41,180	35,086	43,576	27,241
sum of lines 1 and 4) Derivatives exposures	1,220,179	1,200,430	1,103,970	1,130,500	1,100,329
Fotal on-balance sheet exposure (excluding derivatives and SFTs)	, , ,	` ′	, ,	, ,	1,108,329
transactions)	, , ,	` ′	, ,	, , ,	(15,829)
assets pursuant to the operative accounting framework (IFRS)	-	-	-	-	-
securitization exposures, but including collateral)	1,262,959	1,254,962	1,203,354	1,179,634	1,141,785
On-balance sheet exposures		1			
ns of Canadian dollars, except percentages)	Q1/2023	Q4/2022	Q3/2022	Q2/2022	Q1/2022
	On-balance sheet exposures On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives, SFTs and grandfathered securitization exposures, but including collateral) Gross-up for derivatives collateral provided where deducted from balance sheet assets pursuant to the operative accounting framework (IFRS) Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions) Asset amounts deducted in determining Basel III Tier 1 capital) Fotal on-balance sheet exposure (excluding derivatives and SFTs) sum of lines 1 and 4) Derivatives exposures Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions i.e., net of eligible cash variation margin) Add-on amounts for potential future exposure (PFE) associated with all derivatives ransactions Exempted central counterparty (CCP)-leg of client-cleared trade exposures) Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives Fotal derivative exposures (sum of lines 6 to 10) Securities financing transaction exposures Gross SFT assets recognized for accounting purposes (with no recognition of netting), after adjusting for sale accounting transactions Netted amounts of cash payables and cash receivables of gross SFT assets) Counterparty credit risk (CCR) exposure for SFTs Agent transaction exposures Fotal securities financing transaction exposures (sum of lines 12 to 15) Other off-balance sheet exposures Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amount Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts) Off-balance sheet items (sum of lines 17 and 18) Capital and Total Exposures Fire 1 capital	ns of Canadian dollars, except percentages) On-balance sheet exposures On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives, SFTs and grandfathered securitization exposures, but including collateral) Gross-up for derivatives collateral provided where deducted from balance sheet ssels pursuant to the operative accounting framework (IFRS) Gross-up for derivatives collateral provided where deducted from balance sheet ssels pursuant to the operative accounting framework (IFRS) Gross-up for derivatives collateral provided where deducted from balance sheet ssels pursuant to the operative accounting framework (IFRS) Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions (21,342) Asset amounts deducted in determining Basel III Tier 1 capital) Cotal on-balance sheet exposure (excluding derivatives and SFTs) It 220,179 Derivatives exposures Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions I.e., net of eligible cash variation margin) Add-on amounts for potential future exposure (PFE) associated with all derivatives ransactions Exempted central counterparty (CCP)-leg of client-cleared trade exposures) Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives 2,271 Adjusted effective notional offsets and add-on deductions for written credit terivatives) Cotal derivative exposures (sum of lines 6 to 10) Securities financing transaction exposures Gross SFT assets recognized for accounting purposes (with no recognition of netting), after adjusting for sale accounting transactions Netted amounts of cash payables and cash receivables of gross SFT assets) (87,211) Counterparty credit risk (CCR) exposure for SFTs 17,762 Agent transaction exposures Fotal securities financing transaction exposures (sum of lines 12 to 15) 348,934 Deter off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amount 749,066 Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts) (490,667) Capital and Total Exposures	ns of Canadian dollars, except percentages) On-balance sheet exposures On-balance sheet litems (excluiding derivatives, SFTs and grandfathered eccuritization exposures, but including collateral) On-balance sheet litems (excluiding derivatives, SFTs and grandfathered eccuritization exposures, but including collateral) Oross-up for derivatives collateral provided where deducted from balance sheet sasets pursuant to the operative accounting framework (IFRS) Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions) Asset amounts deducted in determining Basel III Tier 1 capital) Oriotal on-balance sheet exposure (excluding derivatives and SFTs) sum of lines 1 and 4) Derivatives exposures Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions Le., net of eligible cash variation margin) Add-on amounts for potential future exposure (PFE) associated with all derivatives ransactions Le., net of eligible cash variation margin) Add-on amounts for potential future exposure (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future exposure (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future exposure (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future exposures (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future exposures (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future exposures (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future exposures (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future exposures (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future exposures (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future exposures (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future exposures (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future exposures (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future exposures (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future exposures (PFE) associated with all derivatives for potential future for for foreit for foreit for foreit for foreit for forei	ns of Canadian dollars, except percentages) On-balance sheet exposures On-balance sheet litems (excluding derivatives, SFTs and grandfathered ecurtization exposures, but including collateral) Ton-balance sheet litems (excluding derivatives, SFTs and grandfathered ecurtization exposures, but including collateral) Ton-balance sheet litems (excluding derivatives, SFTs and grandfathered ecurtization exposures, but including collateral) Ton-balance sheet sposures (excluding derivatives) Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives (21,342) (26,414) (20,944) Asset amounts deducted in determining Basel III. Tier 1 capital) (21,438) (22,090) (18,434) Total on-balance sheet exposure (excluding derivatives and SFTs) (1,220,179) (1,266,458) (1,163,976) Perivatives exposures Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions (28,554) (41,180) (35,086) (29,73) (60,172) (62,798) Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions (28,554) (41,180) (35,086) (29,73) (60,172) (62,798) (62,798) (62,793) (60,172) (62,798) (62,798) (62,793) (60,172) (62,798) (62	1,2023 04/2022 03/2022 02/2022 03/20

¹ Based on OSFI's LR guideline issued October 2018.



Our Leverage ratio of 4.4% was flat from last quarter, as net internal capital generation was offset by growth in leverage exposure and the impact of the Canada Recovery Dividend and other tax related adjustments.

Leverage exposures increased by \$23 billion from last quarter, mainly driven by business growth in repo-style transactions, undrawn commitments, wholesale and retail loans, as well as securities. These factors were partially offset by the higher regulatory modification for central bank reserves and the impact of foreign exchange translation.

TOTAL LOSS ABSORBING CAPACITY (TLAC) DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

KM2: Key metrics - TLAC requirements (at resolution group level)

The following summary table provides information about our TLAC available, and TLAC requirements applied, at the resolution group level under a Single Point of Entry. TLAC requirements establish two minimum standards, which are required to be met effective November 1, 2021: the risk-based TLAC ratio, which builds on the risk-based capital ratios described in the CAR guideline, and the TLAC leverage ratio, which builds on the leverage ratio described in OSFI's LR guideline. The risk-based TLAC ratio is defined as TLAC divided by Total risk-weighted assets (RWA) while the TLAC leverage ratio is defined as TLAC divided by the Leverage ratio exposure. OSFI requires systemically important banks to maintain a minimum TLAC ratio of 24% (inclusive of the domestic stability buffer of 2.5%) and a TLAC leverage ratio of 6.75%. On December 8, 2022, OSFI announced the DSB would further increase to 3% of RWA effective February 1 2023. Thus our TLAC ratio minimum requirement subsequent to Q1 2023 will be 24.5%. Our TLAC leverage ratio minimum requirement subsequent to Q1 2023 will be 7.25% reflecting incorporation of a 50bps leverage buffer, as discussed in the Leverage Framework section. We began issuing TLAC eligible debt in Q4 2018 and our TLAC ratio is expected to increase through normal course refinancing of maturing debt.

		а	b	С	d	е	f
		January 31	October 31	July 31	April 30	January 31	Change
(Million	ns of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	2023	2022	2022	2022	2022	(a) - (b)
Reso	lution group ¹						
1	Total loss-absorbing capacity (TLAC) available	173,179	160,961	162,284	158,140	150,136	12,218
1a	Total loss-absorbing capacity (TLAC) available with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied	173,179	160,961	162,284	158,140	150,136	12,218
2	Total RWA at the level of the resolution group	614,250	609,879	589,050	585,839	569,285	4,371
3	TLAC ratio: TLAC as a percentage of RWA (row 1/row 2) (%)	28.2%	26.4%	27.6%	27.0%	26.4%	1.8%
3a	TLAC ratio: TLAC as a percentage of RWA (row 1a / row 2) (%) available with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied	28.2%	26.4%	27.6%	27.0%	26.4%	1.8%
4	Leverage ratio exposure measure at the level of the resolution group	1,921,310	1,898,179	1,839,845	1,812,429	1,760,629	23,131
5	TLAC Leverage Ratio: TLAC as a percentage of leverage ratio exposure measure (row 1/row 4) (%)	9.0%	8.5%	8.8%	8.7%	8.5%	0.5%
5a	TLAC Leverage Ratio: TLAC as a percentage of leverage ratio exposure measure (row 1a/row 4) (%) with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied	9.0%	8.5%	8.8%	8.7%	8.5%	0.5%
6a	Does the subordination exemption in the antepenultimate paragraph of Section 11 of the FSB TLAC Term Sheet apply?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	-
6b	Does the subordination exemption in the penultimate paragraph of Section 11 of the FSB TLAC Term Sheet apply?	No	No	No	No	No	-
6c	If the capped subordination exemption applies, the amount of funding issued that ranks pari passu with Excluded Liabilities and that is recognized as external TLAC, divided by funding issued that ranks pari passu with Excluded Liabilities and that would recognized as external TLAC if no cap was applied (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-

¹ Lines 1, 3 and 5 incorporate expected credit loss (ECL) transitional modification provided by OSFI as announced on March 27, 2020. Lines 1a, 3a and 5a represent TLAC available with transitional arrangements for ECL provisioning not applied.

Our TLAC ratio of 28.2% was up 180 bps from last quarter, reflecting a favourable impact from the net issuance of external TLAC instruments, partially offset by the factors noted under KM1.

Our TLAC leverage ratio of 9.0% was up 50 bps from last quarter, reflecting a favourable impact from the net issuance of external TLAC instruments and the issuance of subordinated debentures, as well as the factors noted under the Leverage ratio (LR2).



TLAC1: TLAC composition (at resolution group level)

The following table presents details of the composition of our TLAC.

As at January 31, 2023

	January 31, 2023 s of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	Amount
	Regulatory capital elements of TLAC and adjustments	
1	Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1)	78,055
2	Additional Tier 1 capital (AT1) before TLAC adjustments	7,302
3	AT1 ineligible as TLAC as issued out of subsidiaries to third parties	
4	Other adjustments	
5	AT1 instruments eligible under the TLAC framework	7,302
6	Tier 2 capital (T2) before TLAC adjustments	11,08
7	Amortised portion of T2 instruments where remaining maturity > 1 year	1,19
8	T2 capital ineligible as TLAC as issued out of subsidiaries to third parties	
9	Other adjustments	
10	T2 instruments eligible under the TLAC framework	12,278
11	TLAC arising from regulatory capital	97,635
	Non-regulatory capital elements of TLAC	
12	External TLAC instruments issued directly by the bank and subordinated to excluded liabilities	
13	External TLAC instruments issued directly by the bank which are not subordinated to excluded liabilities but meet all other TLAC term sheet requirements	75,864
14	Of which: amount eligible as TLAC after application of the caps	75,864
15	External TLAC instruments issued by funding vehicles prior to January 1, 2022	
16	Eligible ex ante commitments to recapitalise a G-SIB in resolution	
17	TLAC arising from non-regulatory capital instruments before adjustments	75,864
	Non-regulatory capital elements of TLAC: adjustments	
18	TLAC before deductions	173,499
19	Deductions of exposures between MPE resolution groups that correspond to items eligible for TLAC (not applicable to SPE G-SIBs and D-SIBs)	
20	Deduction of investments in own other TLAC liabilities	(320
21	Other adjustments to TLAC	-
22	TLAC available after deductions	173,179
	Risk-weighted assets and leverage exposure measure for TLAC purposes	
23	Total risk-weighted assets adjusted as permitted under the TLAC regime	614,250
24	Leverage exposure measure	1,921,310
	TLAC ratios and buffers	
25	TLAC Ratio (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets adjusted as permitted under the TLAC regime, row 22 / row 23)	28.2%
26	TLAC Leverage Ratio (as a percentage of leverage exposure)	9.0%
27	CET1 (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets) available after meeting the resolution group's minimum capital and TLAC requirements	7.7%
28	Institution-specific buffer (capital conservation buffer plus countercyclical buffer plus higher loss absorbency, expressed as a percentage of risk-weighted assets)	3.5%
29	Of which: capital conservation buffer	2.5%
30	Of which: bank specific countercyclical buffer	0.0%
31	Of which: higher loss absorbency	1.0%



TLAC1: TLAC composition (at resolution group level) (continued)

Millior	s of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	Amount
	Regulatory capital elements of TLAC and adjustments	
1	Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1)	76,945
2	Additional Tier 1 capital (AT1) before TLAC adjustments	7,297
3	AT1 ineligible as TLAC as issued out of subsidiaries to third parties	-
4	Other adjustments	-
5	AT1 instruments eligible under the TLAC framework	7,297
6	Tier 2 capital (T2) before TLAC adjustments	9,608
7	Amortised portion of T2 instruments where remaining maturity > 1 year	818
8	T2 capital ineligible as TLAC as issued out of subsidiaries to third parties	
9	Other adjustments	-
10	T2 instruments eligible under the TLAC framework	10,426
11	TLAC arising from regulatory capital	94,668
	Non-regulatory capital elements of TLAC	
12	External TLAC instruments issued directly by the bank and subordinated to excluded liabilities	-
13	External TLAC instruments issued directly by the bank which are not subordinated to excluded liabilities but meet all other TLAC term sheet requirements	66,528
14	Of which: amount eligible as TLAC after application of the caps	66,528
15	External TLAC instruments issued by funding vehicles prior to January 1, 2022	
16	Eligible ex ante commitments to recapitalise a G-SIB in resolution	
17	TLAC arising from non-regulatory capital instruments before adjustments	66,528
	Non-regulatory capital elements of TLAC: adjustments	
18	TLAC before deductions	161,196
19	Deductions of exposures between MPE resolution groups that correspond to items eligible for TLAC (not applicable to SPE G-SIBs and D-SIBs)	
20	Deduction of investments in own other TLAC liabilities	(235
21	Other adjustments to TLAC	-
22	TLAC available after deductions	160,961
	Risk-weighted assets and leverage exposure measure for TLAC purposes	
23	Total risk-weighted assets adjusted as permitted under the TLAC regime	609,879
24	Leverage exposure measure	1,898,179
	TLAC ratios and buffers	
25	TLAC Ratio (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets adjusted as permitted under the TLAC regime, row 22 / row 23)	26.4%
26	TLAC Leverage Ratio (as a percentage of leverage exposure)	8.5%
27	CET1 (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets) available after meeting the resolution group's minimum capital and TLAC requirements	7.4%
28	Institution-specific buffer (capital conservation buffer plus countercyclical buffer plus higher loss absorbency, expressed as a percentage of risk-weighted assets)	3.5%
29	Of which: capital conservation buffer	2.5%
30	Of which: bank specific countercyclical buffer	0.0%
31	Of which: higher loss absorbency	1.0%



TLAC2: Material subgroup entity - creditor ranking at legal entity level (G-SIBs only)

TLAC 2 is a G-SIB disclosure requirement to provide the ranking of the liability structure of all our material subsidiaries in foreign jurisdictions as defined by the FSB TLAC term sheet. RBC US Group Holdings LLC ("RBC IHC") is a material subsidiary entity for which TLAC 2 disclosure would be required. Effective January 1, 2021, RBC IHC must comply with the Federal Reserve TLAC rules which require reporting of TLAC ratios for calendar quarters on or after June 2021. OSFI has advised RBC it can align its IHC TLAC 2 disclosure requirements to similarly commence in Q3 2021 and will require only disclosure of IHC calendar quarter TLAC ratios. OSFI does require us to disclose TLAC 2 for any other material subsidiary identified, however, at this time RBC IHC is our only material subsidiary.

As at January 31, 2023

	at January 31, 2023	Creditor ranking						
(Mill	ions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	1	2	3	4	5	Sum	
	ed on US GAAP	(most junior)						
1	Is the resolution entity the creditor/investor? (yes or no)	yes	-	no	yes	-	-	
2	Description of creditor ranking	Common shares	Preferred shares and Limited Recourse Capital Notes	Subordinated Debt	Bail-in Debt¹	Other Liabilities excluding Bail- in Debt and Subordinated Debt ²		
3	Total capital and liabilities net of credit risk mitigation	23,167	-	-	15,699	-	38,866	
4	Subset of row 3 that are excluded liabilities	-	-	-	-	-	-	
5	Total capital and liabilities less excluded liabilities (row 3 minus row 4)	23,167	-	-	15,699	-	38,866	
6	Subset of row 5 that are eligible as TLAC	23,167	-	-	15,699	-	38,866	
7	Subset of row 6 with 1 year ≤ residual maturity < 2 years			-	-	-	-	
8	Subset of row 6 with 2 years ≤ residual maturity < 5 years			-	9,602	-	9,602	
9	Subset of row 6 with 5 years ≤ residual maturity < 10 years			-	6,097	-	6,097	
10	Subset of row 6 with residual maturity ≥ 10 years, but excluded perpetual securities			-	-	-	=	
11	Subset of row 6 that is perpetual securities	23,167	-	-	-	-	23,167	

¹ Under the Bail-in regime, claims of some creditors whose claims otherwise rank equally with those of the holders holding bail-inable notes would be excluded from a bail-in conversion and thus the holders and beneficial owners of bail-inable notes will have to absorb losses ahead of these other creditors as a result of the bail-in conversion. Bail-in-Debt represents TLAC Eligible Long-Term Debt based on U.S. TLAC rules.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}\!$ Completion of this column is not required by OSFI at this time.



TLAC2: Material subgroup entity - creditor ranking at legal entity level (G-SIBs only) (continued)

1.0	at October 31, 2022	Creditor ranking					
(Mill	ions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)	1	2	3	4	5	Sum
	ed on US GAAP	(most junior)					
1	Is the resolution entity the creditor/investor? (yes or no)	yes	-	no	yes	-	-
2	Description of creditor ranking	Common shares	Preferred shares and Limited Recourse Capital Notes	Subordinated Debt	Bail-in Debt ¹	Other Liabilities excluding Bail- in Debt and Subordinated Debt ²	
3	Total capital and liabilities net of credit risk mitigation	23,863	-	-	16,030	-	39,893
4	Subset of row 3 that are excluded liabilities	1	-	-	-	-	-
5	Total capital and liabilities less excluded liabilities (row 3 minus row 4)	23,863	-	-	16,030	-	39,893
6	Subset of row 5 that are eligible as TLAC	23,863	-	-	16,030	-	39,893
7	Subset of row 6 with 1 year ≤ residual maturity < 2 years			-	-	-	-
8	Subset of row 6 with 2 years ≤ residual maturity < 5 years			-	9,321	-	9,321
9	Subset of row 6 with 5 years ≤ residual maturity < 10 years			-	6,710	-	6,710
10	Subset of row 6 with residual maturity ≥ 10 years, but excluded perpetual securities			-	-	-	-
11	Subset of row 6 that is perpetual securities	23,863	-	-	-	-	23,863

¹ Under the Bail-in regime, claims of some creditors whose claims otherwise rank equally with those of the holders holding bail-inable notes would be excluded from a bail-in conversion and thus the holders and beneficial owners of bail-inable notes will have to absorb losses ahead of these other creditors as a result of the bail-in conversion. Bail-in-Debt represents TLAC Eligible Long-Term Debt based on U.S. TLAC rules.

² Completion of this column is not required by OSFI at this time.



TLAC3: Resolution entity - creditor ranking at legal entity level

The following table provides information regarding the ranking of our unsecured liabilities structure at the resolution entity level.

As at January 31, 2023

	at January 31, 2023	Creditor ranking					
		1	2	3	4	5	Sum
(Mill	(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)						
1	Description of creditor ranking	Common shares	Preferred shares and Limited Recourse Capital Notes	Subordinated Debt	Bail-in Debt ¹	Other Liabilities excluding Bail- in Debt and Subordinated Debt ²	
2	Total capital and liabilities net of credit risk mitigation	17,112	7,323	11,951	93,892	-	130,508
3	Subset of row 2 that are excluded liabilities	-	-	26	13,698	-	14,113
4	Total capital and liabilities less excluded liabilities (row 2 minus row 3)	17,112	7,323	11,925	80,194	-	116,395
5	Subset of row 4 that are <i>potentially</i> eligible as TLAC	17,112	7,323	11,925	80,194	-	116,395
6	Subset of row 5 with 1 year ≤ residual maturity < 2 years			-	24,356	-	24,356
7	Subset of row 5 with 2 years ≤ residual maturity < 5 years			1,995	39,412	-	41,407
8	Subset of row 5 with 5 years ≤ residual maturity < 10 years			7,975	7,842	-	15,817
9	Subset of row 5 with residual maturity ≥ 10 years, but excluding perpetual securities			1,955	8,584	-	10,539
10	Subset of row 5 that is perpetual securities	16,953	7,323	-	-	-	24,276

¹ Under the Bail-in regime, claims of some creditors whose claims otherwise rank equally with those of the holders holding bail-inable notes would be excluded from a bail-in conversion and thus the holders and beneficial owners of bail-inable notes will have to absorb losses ahead of these other creditors as a result of the bail-in conversion.

² Completion of this column is not required by OSFI at this time.



TLAC3: Resolution entity – creditor ranking at legal entity level (continued)

	·	Creditor ranking					
		1	2	3	4	5	Sum
(Millions of Canadian dollars, except as otherwise noted)		(most junior)					
1	Description of creditor ranking	Common shares	Preferred shares and Limited Recourse Capital Notes	Subordinated Debt	Bail-in Debt¹	Other Liabilities excluding Bail- in Debt and Subordinated Debt ²	
2	Total capital and liabilities net of credit risk mitigation	17,318	7,323	10,566	84,819	-	120,026
3	Subset of row 2 that are excluded liabilities	334	5	37	12,189	-	12,565
4	Total capital and liabilities less excluded liabilities (row 2 minus row 3)	16,984	7,318	10,529	72,630	-	107,461
5	Subset of row 4 that are <i>potentially</i> eligible as TLAC	16,984	7,318	10,469	72,630	-	107,401
6	Subset of row 5 with 1 year ≤ residual maturity < 2 years			-	18,709	-	18,709
7	Subset of row 5 with 2 years ≤ residual maturity < 5 years			2,045	38,751	-	40,796
8	Subset of row 5 with 5 years ≤ residual maturity < 10 years			6,963	9,023	-	15,986
9	Subset of row 5 with residual maturity ≥ 10 years, but excluding perpetual securities			1,461	6,147	-	7,608
10	Subset of row 5 that is perpetual securities	16,984	7,318	-	-	-	24,302

¹ Under the Bail-in regime, claims of some creditors whose claims otherwise rank equally with those of the holders holding bail-inable notes would be excluded from a bail-in conversion and thus the holders and beneficial owners of bail-inable notes will have to absorb losses ahead of these other creditors as a result of the bail-in conversion.

 $^{^{\}rm 2}\!$ Completion of this column is not required by OSFI at this time.



OPERATIONAL RISK

The table below presents an overview of Pillar 3 disclosure requirements that have been met within our 2022 Annual Report and incorporated by reference into this Pillar 3 report. Our 2022 Annual Report is available free of charge on our website at http://www.rbc.com/investorrelations

Pillar 3 disclosures requirement		RBC 2022 Annual Report section	Sub-section
a)	Details of the approach for operational risk capital assessment for which the bank qualifies	Operational risk	Operational risk capital
b)	Description of the advanced measurement approaches for operational risk (AMA) ¹	n/a	n/a
c)	For banks using the AMA, a description of the use of insurance for the purpose of mitigating operational risk ¹	n/a	n/a

¹ Effective November 1, 2019, OSFI discontinued the AMA approach.

INTEREST RATE RISK IN THE BANKING BOOK

The table below presents an overview of Pillar 3 disclosure requirements that have been met within our 2022 Annual Report and incorporated by reference into this Pillar 3 report. Our 2022 Annual Report is available free of charge on our website at http://www.rbc.com/investorrelations

Pillar 3 disclosures requirement RBC 2022 Annual Report section		Sub-section Sub-section		
Interest rate risk in the banking book	Market Risk	Market Risk		